ANNUAL REPORT

- S 1035-1 (L) (A) -

Colorado Judiciary

July 1, 1980
to
June 30, 1981

Office of the
State Court Administrator

Office Of The State Court Administrator

Colorado Judicial Department

JAMES D. THOMAS
STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

TWO EAST FOURTEENTH AVENUE
DENVER, COLORADO 80203
(303) 861-1111

E. KEITH STOTT, JR.
DEPUTY STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

September 15, 1981

To the Honorable Members of the Supreme Court:

It is my pleasure to transmit herewith the Annual Report of the Colorado Judiciary for the 1980-1981 fiscal year.

This report includes activities of the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, district courts, water courts, county courts and probation departments. Progress in many areas of development has been noted, and a description of several notable programs is given. We have analyzed briefly the expenditures and budget of the judicial department.

The Planning and Analysis Division of this office deserves special commendation for the content and spirit of the report, and for the many days of hard work and diligent research which went into its preparation. Particular thanks are due the many district administrators, court clerks and probation officers who supply the original data to permit the various analyses and compilations. Recognition is due to several members of the State Court Administrator's staff for assistance in writing and assembling the narrative, lists and photographs herein.

Sincerely,

James D. Thomas

James D. Thomas
State Court Administrator

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COLORADO JUDICIARY

July 1, 1980

TO

June 30, 1981

Office of the State Court Administrator
Two East Fourteenth Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203

Paul V. Hodges
Chief Justice
Colorado Supreme Court

James D.Thomas
State Court Administrator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHIEF JUSTICE'S MESSAGE	1
THE SUPREME COURT	2
THE COURT OF APPEALS	3
CHIEF JUDGES	4
THE COLORADO JUDICIARY	7
COURT JURISDICTION	21
PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES	33
FISCAL SERVICES SUMMARY	45
SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY	49
APPENDIX: TABLES	i

CHIEF JUSTICE PAUL V. HODGES

COLORADO SUPREME COURT

"These are troubled times in the world, the nation and the state. The role which the courts play is equally awesome with that of the executive and legislative branches. All of us must work together to arrive at solutions to the problems, to balance innovation with solidarity of purpose, to maintain and improve upon the gains made in the administration of justice. We constantly strive to do the best job possible, and with your strong support we have been able to uphold high standards in our judicial system, and to serve the citizens of our state in as economical and efficient a manner as possible.

"Daniel Webster uttered these significant words: 'Justice is the great concern of man on earth. Whoever labors to strengthen the pillars of justice connects himself in name and fame and character with that which is as durable as the frame of human society!'

"I ask everyone in the court system to join in our efforts and aspirations to give the people of Colorado the finest system of justice, in the best tradition of our great state. Your efforts toward improving the administration of justice places you within the context of Webster's memorable words." Excerpts from the address on "The State of the Colorado Judiciary" delivered to the General Assembly by Chief Justice Paul V. Hodges, January 9, 1981.

THE SUPREME COURT

Justice Joseph R. Quinn

Justice Robert B. Lee

Justice George E. Lohr

Chief Justice
Paul V. Hodges



Justice William H. Erickson

Justice Jean E. Dubofsky



Justice Luis D. Rovira

THE COURT OF APPEALS

Judge Ralph H. Coyte

Judge Dale P. Tursi

Judge Charles D. Pierce

Judge Howard M. Kirshbaum

Chief Judge David W. Enoch

Judge Donald P. Smith, Jr.



Judge Alan L. Sternberg

Judge Aurel M. Kelly

Judge Edwin P. VanCise



Judge Norman E. Berman

CHIEF JUDGES

FISCAL YEAR 1980 - 81



Daniel J. Shannon
1st District

Clifton A. Flowers
2nd District

Harry R. Sayre
3rd District

Donald E. Campbell
4th District

William L. Jones
5th District

William S. Eakes
6th District

Jerry D. Lincoln
7th District

J. Robert Miller
8th District

Gavin D. Litwiller
9th District



Matt J. Kikel
10th District

Paul J. Keohane
11th District

Robert W. Ogburn
12th District

Dean Johnson
13th District

Claus J. Hume
14th District

Robert F. Sanderson
15th District

Lewis T. Babcock
16th District

CHIEF JUDGES

FISCAL YEAR 1980 - 81

Philip F. Roan
17th District

Robert F. Kelley
18th District

Robert A. Behrman
19th District

William D. Neighbors
20th District

James J. Carter
21st District

Willard W. Rusk, Jr.
22nd District

Charles E. Bennett
Denver Superior Court

Orrelle R. Weeks
Denver Juvenile Court

James R. Wade
Denver Probate Court

THE COLORADO JUDICIARY

THE SUPREME COURT

CHIEF JUSTICE

Paul V. Hodges

JUSTICES

Robert B. Lee

William H. Erickson

Luis D. Rovira

Jean Dubofsky

George E. Lohr

Joseph R. Quinn

THE COURT OF APPEALS

CHIEF JUDGE

David W. Enoch

JUDGES

Ralph H. Coyte

Charles D. Pierce

Donald P. Smith, Jr.

Norman E. Berman

Edwin P. Van Cise

Aurel M. Kelly

Alan L. Sternberg

Howard M. Kirshbaum

Dale P. Tursi[1]

[1]Replaced Edwin G. Ruland 1/5/81

THE DISTRICT COURTS

DISTRICT	JUDGE
One	Daniel J. Shannon, Chief Judge Ronald J. Hardesty Joseph P. Lewis Gaspar Franz Perricone Michael C. Villano Anthony F. Vollack Robert K. Willison Winston W. Wolvington
Two	Clifton A. Flowers, Chief Judge[1] Gilbert A. Alexander Susan Graham Barnes John Brooks, Jr. Edward E. Carelli Roger Cisneros James C. Flanigan Robert P. Fullerton Raymond D. Jones Robert T. Kingsley Alvin D. Lichtenstein Paul A. Markson, Jr.[2] Warren O. Martin[3] Karen S. Metzger Leonard P. Plank Harold D. Reed Sandra I. Rothenberg John F. Sanchez Henry E. Santo Daniel B. Sparr
Denver Juvenile	Orrelle R. Weeks, Presiding Judge Morris E. Cole Dana U. Wakefield
Denver Superior	Charles E. Bennett
Denver Probate	James R. Wade

[1]Appointed Chief Judge 1/1/81

[2]Replaced Joseph N. Lilly 1/13/81

[3]Replaced Joseph R. Quinn 7/2/80

DISTRICT	JUDGE
Three	Harry R. Sayre, Chief Judge Albert J. Tomsic
Four	Donald E. Campbell, Chief Judge[1] Bernard R. Baker Joe A. Cannon Robert M. Elliott[2] John F. Gallagher Richard V. Hall Hunter D. Hardeman David D. Parrish[3] Matt M. Railey William E. Rhodes
Five	William L. Jones, Chief Judge Richard H. Hart
Six	William S. Eakes, Chief Judge Al H. Haas[4]
Seven	Jerry D. Lincoln, Chief Judge Robert A. Brown
Eight	J. Robert Miller, Chief Judge William F. Dressel John A. Price John-David Sullivan
Nine	Gavin D. Litwiller, Chief Judge Judson E. DeVilbiss Thomas W. Ossola
Ten	Matt J. Kikel, Chief Judge Donald F. Abram Philip J. Cabibi Thomas F. Phelps Richard D. Robb Jack F. Seavy
Eleven	Paul J. Keohane, Chief Judge[5] John E. Anderson, III[6] Howard E. Purdy

[1]Appointed Chief Judge 11/1/80

[2]Replaced Robert W. Johnson 1/2/81

[3]Replaced William M. Calvert 1/13/81

[4]Replaced Frederic B. Emigh 4/1/81

[5]Appointed to new position 9/8/80 and as Chief Judge 11/1/80

[6]Replaced Max C. Wilson 1/19/81

DISTRICT	JUDGE
Twelve	Robert W. Ogburn, Chief Judge[1] O. John Kuenhold[2] Whitford W. Myers[3]
Thirteen	Dean Johnson, Chief Judge Carl J. Absmeier Waino Johnson James R. Leh
Fourteen	Claus J. Hume, Chief Judge Richard P. Doucette[4]
Fifteen	Robert F. Sanderson, Chief Judge John C. Statler
Sixteen	Lewis T. Babcock, Chief Judge Fred E. Sisk
Seventeen	Philip F. Roan, Chief Judge[5] Dorothy E. Binder Harlan R. Bockman Abraham Bowling Oyer G. Leary Michael A. Obermeyer[6]
Eighteen	Robert F. Kelley, Chief Judge[7] Charles A. Friedman John P. Gately Richard D. Greene Richard L. Kaylor George B. Lee, Jr. Marcus O. Shivers, Jr. Joyce S. Steinhardt Kenneth K. Stuart[8]

[1]Appointed Chief Judge 1/5/81

[2]Replaced the late Whitford W. Myers 2/27/81

[3]Deceased 12/21/80

[4]Replaced John J. Wilkinson 1/12/81

[5]Replaced James J. Delaney 9/18/80 and appointed Chief Judge 3/4/81

[6]Replaced Jean J. Jacobucci 4/14/81

[7]Appointed Chief Judge 9/22/80

[8]Replaced Richard L. Eason 6/22/81

DISTRICT	JUDGE
Nineteen	Robert A. Behrman, Chief Judge John J. Althoff Hugh H. Arnold Jonathan W. Hays
Twenty	William D. Neighbors, Chief Judge[1] Richard W. Dana Richard C. McLean[2] Murray Richtel Rex H. Scott
Twenty-one	James J. Carter, Chief Judge Charles A. Buss William M. Ela
Twenty-two	Willard W. Rusk, Jr., Chief Judge

[1]Appointed Chief Judge 6/1/81

[2]Replaced Horace B. Holmes 1/5/81

THE WATER COURTS

WATER DIVISION	JUDGE
One	Robert A. Behrman
Two	John C. Statler
Three	Robert W. Ogburn
Four	Robert A. Brown
Five	Gavin D. Litwiller
Six	Claus J. Hume
Seven	William S. Eakes
Special	Marcus O. Shivers, Jr.

THE COUNTY COURTS

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
One	Gilpin	Andrew J. Krodshen*
	Jefferson	Kim H. Goldberger
		Francis C. Jackson
		Joseph E. Maker
		Henry E. Nieto
		James D. Zimmerman
Two	Denver	Larry L. Bohning**
		Brian Campbell**
		Theodore H. Chrysler**
		Robert H. Close
		John Coughlin**
		Robert B. Crew, Jr.
		Irving Ettenberg
		Anthony F. Greco
		Samuel M. Kirbens**
		Larry Lopez-Alexander
		George A. Manerbino
		Gregory A. Mueller
		Edward A. Simons**
		Ted Soja**
		James D. Urso
		L. Paul Weadick
Three	Huerfano	Floyd K. Murr
	Las Animas	George A. Newnam
Four	El Paso	Peter W. Booth
		Marilyn C. Martin
		Jerry C. Nelson
		David D. Parrish
		Jack L. Roeser
		D. Richard Toth[1]
		Richard C. Webster
	Teller	Margaret T. Tekavee*

[1]Replaced David D. Parrish 2/5/81

* Nonattorney

** These seven judges in Denver County Court handle municipal ordinances only and thus their caseloads are not reflected in our statistics.

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Five	Clear Creek	George R. Gaubatz
	Eagle	Roland L. Gerard*
		James B. O'Toole+*
	Lake	Joseph A. Fattor
	Summit	Jewell Kaye Smith
Six	Archuleta	Bert E. Hyde*
	La Plata	James D. Childress
	San Juan	Cynthia K. S. Francisco*
Seven	Delta	Frederick L. French
	Gunnison	John M. Levin
	Hinsdale	Larry Everett Vickers*
	Montrose	Richard J. Brown
		John C. Davidson#1
	Ouray	Paul David Smith
	San Miguel	Thomas A. Goldsmith
Eight	Jackson	John A. Lustig
	Larimer	Don Leland Nelson
		Ronald L. Schultz
		William E. Smoke
Nine	Garfield	Stephen L. Carter#
		Victor M. Zerbi, Jr.
	Pitkin	Fitzhugh Scott III
	Rio Blanco	Keith F. Dunbar*
		Charles P. Siner#2
Ten	Pueblo	Gordon R. Cooper
		Eugene T. Halaas, Jr.
		John R. Tracey

[1]Replaced Gordon N. Barrett 1/13/81

[2]Replaced Charles T. Lupton, Jr. 4/6/81

* Nonattorney
\+ Assistant County Judge
\# Associate County Judge

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Eleven	Chafee	Mack Witty
	Custer	Whitney B. Sullivan*
	Fremont	Wallace Lundquist
	Park	Stanley J. Mayhew
Twelve	Alamosa	Jean Paul Jones
	Conejos	Gordon J. Bosa[1]
	Costilla	William A. Martinez[2]
	Mineral	Robert M. Wardell*
	Rio Grande	Gordon H. Rowe, Jr.
	Saguache	Michael H. Trujillo
Thirteen	Kit Carson	J. Curt Penny, Jr
	Logan	Baxter W. Arnold
	Morgan	Edgar H. Brandenburg
	Phillips	David Colver
	Sedgwick	Max Eldon Carlson
	Washington	Royal C. Donnen
	Yuma	Kent J. Fennie
Fourteen	Grand	Larry L. Peterson*
	Moffat	Harry A. Tucker, Jr.[3]
		Joann K. Neal+*
	Routt	Roger D. Borland
Fifteen	Baca	Warren E. Schmidt
	Cheyenne	Norman L. Arends[4]
	Kiowa	Keith E. Wissel*
	Prowers	John J. Lefferdink
Sixteen	Bent	Thomas F. Marmon
	Crowley	William T. Jones*
	Otero	George L. Strain
Seventeen	Adams	Ovid R. Beldock[5]
		Jennifer Kay Brown[6]
		Thomas R. Ensor
		John F. Horan
		Howard J. Otis

[1]Replaced Susan R. Broyles 9/2/80
[2]Replaced Henry Leo Lobato 1/13/81
[3]Replaced Herbert J. Mathers 1/12/81
[4]Replaced Earl T. Hogan 7/14/81
[5]Replaced Michael A. Obermeyer 5/1/81
[6]Replaced Maurine Hallock 11/14/80

* Nonattorney
\+ Assistant County Judge

DISTRICT	COUNTY	JUDGE
Eighteen	Arapahoe	Richard B. Cossaboom
		Thomas C. Levi
		Chris G. Rallis
		Ralph C. Taylor
	Douglas	Richard D. Turelli
	Elbert	Donna Marie Kirby*
	Lincoln	Garnet E. Foster*
Nineteen	Weld	Alvin A. Borg, Jr.
		Scott Clugston
		Willis K. Kulp
Twenty	Boulder	Patricia E. Burrows[1]
		Martin I. Steinberg
		David R. Torke
		Marsha B. Yeager
Twenty-one	Mesa	Vance O. Kilmer
		Harold P. Moss
Twenty-two	Dolores	Bob G. Johnson*[2]
	Montezuma	George R. Armstrong[3]
		James R. Aiken[4]

[1]Appointed to new position 7/1/80

[2]Replaced W. Paul Spitzer 1/31/81

[3]Deceased 8/8/80

[4]Replaced the late George R. Armstrong 9/23/80

* Nonattorney

COURT JURISDICTION

INTRODUCTION

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. A special probate court and juvenile court exist in the City and County of Denver, along with a separate superior court. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.

The state judicial system was reorganized in 1965 as a result of a constitutional amendment adopted in 1962. The county court as it existed prior to the amendment was eliminated, and juvenile, probate, and mental health jurisdiction was transferred to district court, except in the City and County of Denver, where separate juvenile and probate courts were created. The amendment also clarified the appellate jurisdiction, supervisory and administrative authority and rule-making powers of the Supreme Court.

Another constitutional amendment approved in 1966 established a system in which candidates for judgeships are screened by local nominating commissions and vacancies are filled by appointment. Justices and judges then run for retention in office on noncompetitive ballots. This amendment also created a Judicial Qualifications Commission with authority to recommend to the Supreme Court the removal or retirement of a justice or judge of a court of record for willful misconduct and other specific reasons in the amendment.

In 1970, the State of Colorado assumed the full responsibility for funding all courts of record, including juvenile and adult probation, other than the Denver County Court and municipal courts. A statewide public defender system was initiated and became funded by the state at the same time.

THE SUPREME COURT

The Colorado Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve ten-year terms. The number of justices may be increased to nine upon request of the Court and concurrence of two-thirds of the members of each house of the General Assembly. Justices of the Supreme Court must be qualified electors of the state and licensed to practice law in this state for at least five years prior to their appointment. The Court selects a chief justice from among its members, who serves at the pleasure of the Court.

Generally, the Supreme Court's original jurisdiction is restricted to special writs. Appellate review by the Supreme Court of final judgments of the district courts, the Denver Probate Court, the Denver Superior Court, and the Denver Juvenile Court is a matter of right; however, the Constitution does not prescribe the method of appellate review. The Supreme Court has initial appellate jurisdiction over: cases in which the constitutionality of a statute, a municipal charter provision, or an ordinance is in question; cases concerned with decisions or actions of the Public Utilities Commission; writs of habeas corpus; water cases involving priorities or adjudications; and summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code. The Supreme Court also has certiorari review over appeals which are initiated in the Court of Appeals or in a district court or in the Denver Superior Court.

County court appeals are first initiated in the district court (or the Denver Superior Court in appeals from the Denver County Court). Further review by the Supreme Court may be had only upon a writ of certiorari issued at the discretion of the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court may sit en banc or in departments, but the Court must sit en banc to hear any case involving the United States or Colorado constitutions. When the Court sits in departments, each department has the full power and authority of the Court in the determination of causes, issuance of writs, and the exercise of all powers, subject to the general control of the Court en banc. Any decisions by a department of the Supreme Court must be concurred in by three members.

In addition, the Supreme Court promulgates rules governing practice procedure in civil and criminal cases and governing the administration of all courts. The only exception to this authority is that the General Assembly may provide by statute simplified procedures in county courts for claims not exceeding $500 and in the trials of misdemeanors.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

The Court of Appeals is composed of ten judges who serve eight-year terms and have the same qualifications as Supreme Court justices. The Court of Appeals sits in divisions of three judges to hear and determine all matters before the Court. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court appoints one judge of the Court of Appeals to be Chief Judge of that Court. The Chief Judge assigns the judges to the three divisions and rotates these assignments from time to time. Besides handling administrative duties, the Chief Judge provides backup coverage for all of the divisions by substituting during vacations, illnesses, and disqualifications. The Court of Appeals is located in Denver, but a division may sit in any county seat to hear oral argument.

The Court of Appeals has initial appellate jurisdiction over appeals from final judgments of the district courts and the Denver Probate, Juvenile, and Superior Courts, except for those matters which are the initial jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. The Court of Appeals does not have any original jurisdiction as such, but has the authority to issue any writs, directives, orders, and mandates necessary to the determination of cases within its jurisdiction. The court reviews sentences in criminal cases under the presumptive sentencing provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The Court of Appeals also has initial jurisdiction over appeals from awards or actions of the Industrial Commission in workmen's compensation and unemployment compensation cases and appeals regarding charters for new state banks granted or denied by the Banking Board. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction to review actions of the state boards of medical examiners and dental examiners in refusing to grant, or in revoking or suspending a license, or in placing a holder of a license on probation. The Court also has initial jurisdiction to review actions of the Civil Rights Commission, Insurance Commissioner, and actions of school boards under the Teacher Tenure Act.

Appeals of the decisions of the Court of Appeals are directed to the Supreme Court by writ of certiorari. Under certain circumstances, the Court of Appeals may certify a case to the Supreme Court prior to final determination which the Supreme Court may refuse to accept. The Supreme Court may also order the Court of Appeals to present any case before the Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court for final determination.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Judges appointed to a district bench serve in any or all of the counties within that district, as assigned by the chief judge of that district. There are 107 judges serving in 22 judicial districts and the special courts in Denver. Some counties, depending upon the size and geography of a particular district, do not have a resident district judge.

District court judges must be qualified electors of the district in which they are appointed and must have been licensed to practice law in Colorado for five years prior to their appointment. District judges serve six-year terms.

GENERAL JURISDICTION

The district courts are Colorado's trial courts of general jurisdiction. District courts have trial jurisdiction in domestic relations, civil, juvenile, probate, mental health, and criminal cases, except in the City and County of Denver, where probate and mental health matters are heard by the Probate Court and juvenile matters by the Juvenile Court.

District courts have appellate jurisdiction over final judgments of county courts except in Denver where county court appeals are heard in the Superior Court.

The court reviews such cases on the record, except that, in its discretion, it may remand the case for a new trial with such instructions as it may deem necessary, or it may hear the case de novo itself. If a municipal court is a court of record, its appeals lie to the district court in its county in the same manner as county court appeals.

The jurisdiction of the Denver District Court is different from other district courts because of three special courts. The Denver Probate Court has exclusive jurisdiction within the city and county over all matters of probate and the adjudication

of the mentally ill. The Denver Juvenile Court has exclusive jurisdiction over juvenile matters arising in the city and county, including delinquency, children in need of oversight, dependency and neglect, relinquishment, adoption, parentage, and support. The Denver Superior Court, created by statute, has original jurisdiction concurrent with the district court in civil actions where the amount involved is not less than $1,000 nor more than $5,000. In addition, the Denver Superior Court has concurrent jurisdiction with Denver District and County Courts. The court also has appellate jurisdiction over cases appealed from the Denver County Court. The judges of these three special courts must have the same qualifications, serve the same length of time, and are subject to the same requirements for appointment and retention in office as are district judges.

WATER JURISDICTION

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 set up seven water divisions according to drainage patterns of the rivers in the state. Each division was assigned a water engineer and the location of the court was designated. Water judges are district judges appointed by the Supreme Court to hear these matters. Water records of the seven divisions are located in the following cities and counties:

Division 1	Greeley	Weld County
Division 2	Pueblo	Pueblo County
Division 3	Alamosa	Alamosa County
Division 4	Montrose	Montrose County
Division 5	Glenwood Springs	Garfield County
Division 6	Steamboat Springs	Routt County
Division 7	Durango	La Plata County

The water judges have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, uses and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction.

THE COUNTY COURTS

As of this report, 109 judges serve in the county courts, including Denver. They serve four-year terms, and their qualifications are set by statute. In the metropolitan counties or counties with large caseloads, county judges are required to be attorneys, licensed to practice in Colorado and serve full time. In all other counties, county judges are not required to be lawyers, but must be high school graduates. (Presently, 17 county judges are not lawyers.) If judges are licensed attorneys, they may engage in the practice of law in courts other than the county court. Qualified judges also may serve as substitute district court judges in their own districts if so appointed by the chief judge, or may serve temporarily outside their districts by appointment of the Chief Justice. Each county judge must be a resident of the county in which his court is located, and every county court has at least one judge.

County courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, as set by statute. With certain exceptions, they have concurrent original jurisdiction with district courts in civil

actions (including torts) in which the debt, damage, or the value of the personal property claimed does not exceed $1,000.*

The small claims division of the county court hears civil actions in which the debt, damage, tort, injury, or value of personal property does not exceed $500.* Parties may not be represented by attorneys, jury trials are not permitted, and judges or referees hearing the cases are not bound by formal rules of procedure or evidence other than those adopted by the Supreme Court specifically for the small claims court.

County courts have concurrent original jurisdiction with district courts over misdemeanors and the issuance of warrants, conduct of preliminary examinations, and setting bail.

County courts also have appellate jurisdiction over municipal courts not of record. Such cases are tried de novo in county courts. (Appeals from municipal courts of record are heard in the district court on record.)

THE MUNICIPAL COURTS

There are approximately 210 municipal courts in Colorado with an estimated 240 judges. Where feasible, they must be lawyers, otherwise, the minimum qualification is graduation from high school.

The Constitution authorizes the creation of municipal courts in home rule cities, and charter provisions or ordinances governing the operation of these courts supersede statutes except in rules of procedure promulgated by the Supreme Court, the right to trial by jury, appellate procedure, and the method of compensating judges. Colorado statutes also require municipal courts in towns and cities and set out general provisions governing their operation.

Municipal court jurisdiction is limited to municipal ordinance violations. Appeals from municipal courts are handled in two ways: if the municipal court is a court of record the appeal is heard by the district court on the record; if the municipal court is not of record the appeal is heard by trial de novo in the county court.

Municipal courts are funded and administered on a local basis and therefore statistics on their operations are not included in this report.

ADMINISTRATION

The Constitution specifies the Chief Justice as the executive head of the judicial system. The Constitution requires the Supreme Court to appoint a state court administrator and such other personnel as the Supreme Court may deem necessary to

*Effective July 1, 1981, the jurisdiction limit for county court civil actions was raised to $5,000 while the small claims limit was increased to $1,000.

administer the courts. The State Court Administrator's Office handles a wide variety of administrative functions for the entire judicial system, including budgeting, fiscal administration, public communications, planning, personnel, research and statistics, data processing, facilities management, and general support functions for the trial courts. In addition, it serves as liaison to various committees and boards created by the Supreme Court.

The Chief Justice appoints a chief judge from the district judges in each judicial district. The chief judge is the primary administrative authority of the district, and exercises substantial executive authority delegated by the Chief Justice. In each district, the chief judge and the other district judges appoint a district administrator who is responsible for the day-to-day administration of the courts in the district. Other administrative and clerical tasks are performed by clerks, deputy clerks, and local support personnel. Administration of probation services is carried out by chief probation officers and probation staff. On a statewide basis, approximately 1,450 people perform these non-judicial services.

PROBATION

Probation is a dispositional/sentencing alternative available to the courts. The offender serves his or her sentence in the community, rather than in a correctional institution, subject to the conditions imposed by the court, and is supervised by a probation officer. Probation officers are responsible for providing background investigative information on persons brought before the court. Should the probationer breach the conditions imposed, the court may revoke probation and institutionalize the offender or modify the conditions of probation.

Supervision of adult and juvenile offenders may result from probation being granted as a sentence or incarceration being ordered and then suspended, with the defendant being placed under the supervision of the probation department. Deferred judgment and sentence orders place the defendant under the probation department's supervision for a specified period. Defendants under deferred prosecution may also be supervised by the probation department. Juvenile offenders may be supervised under an informal adjustment, continued adjudication, and probation.

Organizational Chart of the Colorado Judicial System



This is a composite representation of 22 judicial districts. The Second Judicial District, which includes the Denver District, Juvenile, Superior, County and Probate Courts, varies substantially from this composite.

Judicial Districts of Colorado





WATER DISTRICTS of COLORADO

PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES

THE SUPREME COURT

The period July 1, 1980 through June 30, 1981, has been a period of consolidation and development. New systems aimed at increasing productivity are in the final stages of planning or the first steps of implementation.

During FY1980-81 all planning and programming for implementation of an on-line computer system to handle the annual registration of all Colorado attorneys was completed. Initial testing of the system which will be in place in the early fall of 1981, is now under way. In addition to the attorney registration functions performed by the Clerk of the Supreme Court, the system will be used by the Supreme Court Grievance Committee, the Board of Continuing Legal and Judicial Education, and the Colorado State Board of Law Examiners for the tracking of discipline, continuing education credits, and admissions information.

The Court continued its experimentation with the use of word processing equipment and funding has been acquired for expansion of such usage during FY 1981-82. The system has greatly expedited the preparation of written opinions.

In conjunction with the Colorado Court of Appeals and the Data Processing Division of the State Court Administrator's Office, the Court has begun the implementation of an on-line appellate court case processing system. This system will replace many of the manual functions now performed by the clerk's office personnel, such as the creation of docket sheets, indexing, issue tracking, and statistical reports.

In summary, FY1980-81 has been a year of planning and development of systems which will enable the Court to increase productivity in the face of a rising caseload and burgeoning administrative responsibilities.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

The Honorable Dale P. Tursi, a private practitioner in Pueblo, Colorado and a former State Senator, was appointed as the newest member of the Court and took office in January, 1981, replacing the Honorable Edwin G. Ruland, a member of the Court for over seven years. During his career with the Court, Judge Ruland was instrumental in the creation of the court's accelerated docket. He returned to private practice in January of 1981.

The Court's caseload increased again this year, as it has over the past few years. As a result, an evaluation of the case disposition system is being conducted to determine present and future needs. The Court, assisted by the State Court

Administrator's Office, will provide recommendations to the Supreme Court and General Assembly in accordance with the study results.

During this year, the Court implemented the use of word processing equipment, one of many efforts to improve the quality and efficiency of caseload management. This equipment has expedited the re-drafting, editing, and proofing of opinions.

Finally, in conjunction with the Supreme Court and Data Processing Division, planning is underway for an on-line case management system which will provide faster and more accurate case monitoring than the present manual case tracking methods. The implementation of this system is scheduled for early 1982.

LEGISLATION OF CONCERN TO THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM

The 1981 session of the Colorado General Assembly began January 7 and worked through June 6, recessing until June 29, when gubernatorial vetoes and some unfinished bills were acted upon. The legislature recessed again until July 31, and then once more until September 14. The Congressional redistricting issue has prevented adjournment thus far.

Legislation of interest to the courts may be divided into five basic categories: administrative and personnel; civil and criminal procedure; juvenile; traffic; and water.

No new judgeships were created by the 1981 session. Bills were introduced calling for nine to twelve additional judges, but the measures were defeated. The question of judicial salaries was addressed by H.B. 1365, which increases the salaries of all justices and judges paid by the state by 11.2 percent. The increase is in accordance with the wage survey applicable to other state employees. The raises are effective January 1, 1982, but the automatic provision expires July 1, 1982. An interim committee has the issue on its agenda for consideration. A small increase in the state contribution to health insurance was granted and several bills passed pertaining to the retirement program. The "Long Bill" increased the appropriation to the Judicial Department by nearly nine million dollars for the 1981-82 fiscal year. The diversion program of Community Corrections was transferred back to the Judicial Department, which accounts for two and one-half million dollars of the increased appropriation. Additional personnel were authorized for the trial courts, probation and the public defender. Further equalization of probation officers' salary grades was approved and a salary inequity of several years standing for 105 court employees was resolved.

The most important legislative action in the civil area was the raising of the County Court jurisdiction limit from $1000 to $5000, and that of the Small Claims Court from $500 to $1000, as reflected in H.B. 1087. A bill was passed clarifying Determination of Death -- H. B. 1437. The criminal procedures area had many bills of importance. Penalties were changed in several instances, particularly in the area of crimes against the elderly and handicapped. A victim compensation system fund was established in H. B. 1476, which will have considerable impact upon the court system.

Juvenile legislative matters included the sentencing of violent juvenile offenders, child abuse reporting, compulsory school attendance and detention.

Several bills relative to traffic offenses and alcohol or drug related cases passed; however, bills instituting major changes in this area were not enacted.

Some pieces of legislation concerning water determination were passed, coincidental to the great importance of water rights and related litigation at this time.

An interim committee on judicial caseload and juvenile sentencing was set up by HJR 1034. This committee was charged with the responsibility of studying "various ways and means of assisting the Judicial Department in expediting the disposition of the current caseload and to examine ways in which the judicial system can be improved, including compensation of judges". A study of juvenile sentencing in both the criminal and children's codes was also authorized in the resolution.

The liaison between the judicial branch and the legislature has been maintained and expanded during the year, including many informational discussions between various court officials and members of the General Assembly. Locally, judges and court personnel have had an excellent working relationship with their senators and representatives. Judges and court officials have testified before committees when requested, and have provided needed data to the Legislative Council staff and appropriate committees on matters of concern to the courts.

THE JUDICIAL PLANNING COUNCIL

In 1977, the Judicial Planning Committee was created to comply with the requirements of the amended Federal Omnibus Crime Control & Safe Streets Act. The Judicial Planning Council (JPC) was created in 1979 by Chief Justice Directive in place of the committee and was charged with the following duties:

1) to study the needs and problems of the judicial system;
2) to define problems and recommend improvements in the judicial system and its administration;
3) to suggest priorities in achieving the recommended improvements;
4) to provide policy guidance and supervision for programs and activities as directed by the Chief Justice; and
5) to coordinate planning efforts among groups and associations concerned with improvements in the judicial system.

FY 1981-82 marks the first year that the Judicial Planning Council will be state funded. In addition, various past activities of the JPC committees have now received funding from the state legislature.

Much of the JPC's work is done by committees. During FY 1980-81 the committees of the Judicial Planning Council were reorganized to reflect the changing needs of the court system. The standing committees on administration and adjudication were dissolved, having completed their assignments, while the Committee on Counsel for Indigent Persons was formed.

The other committees of the JPC completed a number of projects during the year. Those committees include the Committee on Law Library Standards, the Committee on Public Education, the Committee on Training and the Committee on Judicial Performance.

COMMITTEE ON LAW LIBRARY STANDARDS

The Committee on Law Library Standards presented its final report in April 1981. Included in that report was a determination of the existing status, needs and costs of state-funded local law libraries and development of alternatives and costs for the existing law library system. The Judicial Planning Council will consider the recommendations made in the report in the first quarter of FY 1981-82.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC EDUCATION

The Public Education Committee published a brochure "You and Your Colorado Courts", prepared by a group of judges, public members and staff of the State Court Administrator's Office, in cooperation with professional journalists. Seventy-five thousand copies were printed, 5000 of which were in Spanish. At the end of FY 1980-81, one-half had been distributed. Nearly every court in the state received the books, and many jury commissioners distribute them to every potential juror. The bar associations and many private attorneys have handed out copies, and the State Capitol tour guides give a copy to every adult who comes to the Capitol. Copies are available through libraries, schools and civic organizations. Speakers on court matters use them in talking to service clubs and organizations.

The Committee has prepared an audio visual program on the courts, and copies will be made available to many court locations for use in programs throughout the state. Video tapes and movies of the show are being prepared. The Speaker's Bureau is getting under way in areas which did not previously have organized groups, although several of these existed in the larger districts. The Denver Bar Association, in cooperation with the Denver judges, has spearheaded the speaking program in Denver, and each judicial district has a listed representative for the public to call for a speaker. A pamphlet outlining the speaking program will be printed in September and distributed to every service club and organization in the state which has need for speakers. The General Assembly has funded the Committee to permit a reprinting of the brochure when needed later in the fiscal year, and to further the public education program. Exploration of the television and radio media and enhanced work with the press will be undertaken in coming months to bring the message of the judicial system to the public as fully as possible.

COMMITTEE ON TRAINING

The Committee on Training conducted a survey to determine the training needs of all court personnel. Questionnaires addressing various training techniques, topics and approaches were sent to all employees. The results of the questionnaire provided guidelines for training programs and was used to develop its final report. The Judicial Planning Council adopted the committee's training proposal and, as a result of the committee's work, the Chief Justice has organized a Committee on Training to act as an advisory body to him and will report through the State Court Administrator's Office. A separate committee will continue to plan and organize the annual Judicial Conference.

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE

The Committee's work officially began with its creation in June, 1979. In addition to many meetings, the Committee conducted seven "town meetings" in Greeley, Grand Junction, Durango, Pueblo, Colorado Springs, Denver, and Boulder. The Committee activities and public meetings, all of which were designed to encourage broad based input, involved more than 200 citizens from diverse backgrounds.

Committee activities included: attending presentations on judicial performance evaluation methods including an intensive review of the Denver Bar Association judicial survey, meetings with representatives of the news media and public relations experts to discuss the dissemination of information about judicial performance, considering the possible effects of the Criminal Sentencing Act on aspects of performance evaluation related to criminal sentencing, meetings with state representatives interested in judicial performance evaluation and attendance at presentations by the League of Women Voters and other interested public groups which included participating in discussions on citizen-orientated evaluation methods such as juror surveys and court-watching.

In addition to the judges performance evaluation survey conducted in late September 1980, performance evaluation programs in Alaska and New Jersey and the District of Columbia were studied as were the methods used in government and industry to evaluate executive performance.

Final recommendations from this extensive research were presented to the Judicial Planning Council in August, 1980. The Council adopted the report and the Chief Justice referred the report to the legislature for its consideration.

COMMITTEE ON COUNSEL FOR INDIGENT PERSONS

The Committee on Counsel for Indigent Persons first met in September, 1980 to make an in-depth study and report on the state's responsibility for providing counsel to all indigent persons before its courts.

The Committee released its preliminary report and recommendations in June, 1981. The report highlighted two major problems in Colorado:

1) The law has expanded the state's responsibility for providing counsel in criminal cases, and the legislature has mandated numerous guardian ad litem appointments for juveniles by statute;

2) The cost of court appointed counsel has risen at an alarming rate over a three year period.

The Committee recommended two pilot projects, one for criminal cases to test contracting with private lawyers and firms in Mesa County and another to test a number of juvenile guardian ad litem model projects in Denver.

The Chief Justice approved both projects and instructed the JPC to form advisory committees to assist the State Court Administrator's Office in contract

preparation and to evaluate the projects. The Mesa County Bar Association will form a similar advisory committee.

In addition to the work of its committees the JPC undertook a major study of the jurisdiction of the Colorado courts. Technical assistance was provided by LEAA funds which were used to contract with the University of Denver College of Law to address two major areas. First, the report analyzed the problems of the overlapping jurisdictions of the trial and appellate courts caused by statutory and constitutional provisions. The report made a number of recommendations to address these problems.

The second area focused on the non-dispute resolving duties now performed by judges. The report identified those duties and made recommendations as to which agencies might be better suited to perform them.

The JPC will form a committee in the first quarter of FY 1981-82 to review the recommendations contained in the report and present its conclusions to the Council.

TRIAL COURTS

VISITING AND SENIOR JUDGES

The judges of Colorado trial courts are assisted in their work by both visiting judges from other courts and senior judges. Under the Colorado Constitution, the Chief Justice may assign a sitting judge to another court when he thinks such assignment would facilitate the disposition of judicial business. Senior judges may be assigned to courts under the same Constitutional section as a sitting judge or under a special statutory provision. Under the Constitution, senior judges may be assigned to temporary judicial duties and are compensated at the daily rate of a regular full-time sitting judge. Under the statutory provision, eligible senior judges who agree to serve 60 days of temporary service during a twelve-month period receive a 20 percent increase in their judicial retirement compensation.

During FY 1980-81, 22 senior judges participated in the 60-day service program and provided over 1,054 days of temporary service in the appellate, district and county courts of Colorado, an increase of 74 days over the 1979-80 total of 980 days of service. Three of those judges had served on the Colorado Supreme Court, one served on the Court of Appeals, 15 served in district courts, and three had been county court judges prior to retiring.

Senior and visiting judges are appointed for a variety of reasons including accumulation of judicial business, illness or death of an active judge, and disqualification of one or more judges. Both senior and visiting judges greatly facilitate case processing in Colorado courts by providing services in emergencies.

JUDGE AND COURT STAFF DEVELOPMENT

Training in new skills and upgrading of existing skills is recognized as one method for increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of the services provided by the judicial branch of government. Colorado's training program, administered by the State Court Administrator's Office, is supported by two LEAA grants. One grant is for the training of judges, the second for probation and trial court staff. Training programs will be state funded in FY 1981-82.

The judges' training grant sponsored the attendance of 15 judges at the National Judicial College in Reno, Nevada; three judges at workshops and seminars; and five appellate judges at national seminars.

The probation and trial court personnel training grant provided orientation, in-service, and management and supervisory training. Workshop topics included: problem-solving, training for staff trainers, and affirmative action procedures. In-service topics included: counseling skills, communication skills, stress management, legal issues, civil and criminal procedures, and interviewing skills. Since July, 1974, 3,442 participants have attended 45,092 hours of training.

FINANCIAL SERVICES

In FY 1980-81, two districts achieved access to an automated voucher system through their remote computer terminals for monthly payments. This service, which will be expanded to other front range courts, eliminates several steps in the accounting process and records budgetary status on a more timely basis.

PERSONNEL

The Personnel Services Division of the State Court Administrator's Office continued to review all personnel classifications in use by the Colorado judicial system. The purpose of this review is to revise all classifications to make them accurate and current. Full surveys were conducted to assess all secretarial classifications, as well as the positions of Administrative Technician, Volunteer Service Coordinator, and clerical personnel employed in the water courts.

The Colorado Judicial System Personnel Rules were also rewritten during FY1980-81, including proposals for additional rules. The proposed rules are currently being considered by the Supreme Court.

COURT FACILITIES

The second edition of the Colorado Long Range Judicial Facility Plan was printed and distributed in FY 1980-81. This edition provides revised estimates of court facility needs for each county to the year 2000, and is based on the population projections of the Department of Local Affairs. The plan was distributed to each board of county commissioners and the city council in Denver. Each judicial district received a copy for its planning needs. It is also reviewed by Colorado Counties, Inc. and the Joint Budget Committee of the Colorado General Assembly.

Construction of new or renovated court facilities was completed or is in process in Alamosa, Baca, Conejos, Garfield (Rifle), and Logan counties. Additional facilities

are needed in those counties that are experiencing rapid caseload growth. However, these counties are having difficulty securing the funding necessary for construction or renovation. Legislation was introduced in the 1981 legislative session which would have eased the financial burden by authorizing state reimbursement to counties for their costs in providing facilities for the state courts. The bill failed to pass, but similar legislation is expected to be considered in the future.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES BENCH BOOK

A year-long project to develop, print and distribute a district court judges' bench book is scheduled for completion in the first quarter of FY 1981-82. The need for a practical usable manual had long been recognized by the trial bench. A committee of judges developed an outline of the subject matter areas to be included. The bench book will be a practical compendium of law and procedures. It should promote more efficient use of a judge's time and bring the most up-to-date cases and procedures within easy reach. It will also be used extensively in the orientation of new judges.

JUROR UTILIZATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The Juror Utilization and Management Program is designed to assess the level of juror usage and to implement systems designed to make improvements in jury operations. There are five locations participating in the program: Brighton, Colorado Springs, Denver, Fort Collins, and Grand Junction.

Results indicate that the use of jurors has been significantly more efficient in the courts participating in the program. In December 1980, the five courts submitted action plans to the State Court Administrator outlining proposed changes in their jury systems to reduce the cost of operating the jury system, to improve juror satisfaction, and to make jury service more equitable. The action plans were approved by the State Court Administrator and implemented during the third quarter of FY 1980-81 in every participating court except Brighton. The implementation of the action plans in the four other courts has resulted in the achievement or near achievement of the goals of the program and the standards for effective jury management.

To assist in juror orientation and to make more efficient use of jurors' time, a $30,000 supplemental grant from LEAA was used to purchase equipment for the distribution of the juror orientation slide show and telephone call-in systems.

The juror orientation slide show, developed in El Paso County and distributed to nine courts, describes the role and responsibilities of jurors, the history of the use of jurors in the judicial system, and explains the legal and judicial process.

The telephone call-in systems are used by the jury commissioners to record messages instructing jurors to report to the courthouse. These systems have been installed in seventeen counties. Jurors have expressed their satisfaction with both the orientation slide show and the procedures used in connection with the telephone systems.

ELIGIBILITY INVESTIGATION PROGRAM

The Judicial Department initiated a program on April 1, 1980 to investigate claims of indigency by defendants asking for court-appointed counsel. In FY 1980-81

the Department had 4.5 FTE's assigned to these programs in Colorado Springs, Brighton and Denver.

Working closely with the Public Defender's Office, the Eligibility Investigators conduct a detailed interview with all applicants for legal representation at State expense; do a follow-up investigation of the financial status of the applicants; and make a recommendation to the court as to whether each applicant is indigent, partially-indigent or non-indigent.

In the period July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981, 3,423 applications for court-appointed counsel were investigated, with the following results:

		Number	Percent
1.	Applications Withdrawn After Interview	435	13%
2.	Declared NOT Indigent	625	18%
3.	Delcared Partially-Indigent	578	17%
4.	Declared Indigent	1,785	52%

In 1,060 cases (items 1. and 2.), or 31 percent of all cases investigated, the State avoided all costs for requested court-appointed counsel. In another 578 cases (item 3.), or 17 percent, partial payments to the state were recommended to the court by the investigators.

LETTER SIZE PAPER CONVERSION

On December 1, 1980, the Supreme Court commenced a program to investigate the possible conversion of all court documents from legal to letter size paper. Included in this conversion program were additional features providing for the use of 'plain language', a revised pleading format, and single spacing for some court documents.

The experimental program is continuing and will be fully evaluated before a decision is reached to implement all or some of its features.

WATER COURT MODERNIZATION

Rules, statutes, and procedures governing the operation of the seven water courts were reviewed during the year. Recommendations for changes are being presented to the water judges and the Supreme Court for approval. A standard case definition, and a uniform method for assessing filing fees were approved for use. These changes will provide a more equitable water court case processing system for attorneys, applicants and court personnel.

INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRAM

Twenty-four fiscal, performance and special audits were conducted in the Judicial Department over the past year. Eleven of these audits were conducted by the Judicial Department's internal audit staff in the following districts and court offices: the Third, Fifth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth, and Twenty-first Judicial Districts, the Public Defender's Office, the Supreme Court Grievance Committee, the Payroll Office

of the Judicial Department, Eagle County, Adams County and the Moffat County Combined Court.

The twelve audits performed by the State Auditor's Office included the State Court Administrator's Office, as the official record keeping organization of the entire Judicial Department, and eleven other courts and court offices: the Second, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Thirteenth, and Fifteenth Judicial Districts, Denver Juvenile Court, Denver Probate Court, Denver Superior Court, the Denver Adult Probation Department, and the Supreme Court Library.

DATA PROCESSING

The conversion of all Judicial Department data processing systems to the General Government Computer Center (GGCC) was completed during FY 1980-81. All systems analysis and programming is done by Judicial Department staff and the remainder of the computer services are provided by the GGCC staff at their location.

On-line data processing is integrated with the day-to-day operation of the nine large front range district courts, three county courts and three probation departments. The Denver County Court maintains its criminal case files on the Judicial Department data base. The remainder of the state submits data and receives reports that are batch processed. In addition, district attorney offices in Jefferson, Denver and El Paso counties, the Denver Police ID Bureau, the Bonding Office in the Sheriff's Detention Center and several social service agencies have on-line inquiry capability for criminal, juvenile, domestic relations, and alimony and support information. Other services which are used for management purposes at the state level are payroll, budget, and property management reports.

Additional on-line services include the processing of approximately 45 million dollars in alimony and support payments and management of the jury selection systems for the entire state.

Several improvements were made in existing systems during FY 1980-81 to provide additional management reports for the trial courts and the State Court Administrator's Office. The alimony and support system was redesigned to ease court access to files by providing additional coding as well as improving reporting capability. State vouchering system changes now allow the on-line locations to directly enter payment information, eliminating check writing and the balancing of bank accounts in the courts. The attorney registration and the court appointed counsel systems were both redesigned this year. In addition, a study is being conducted to determine the feasibility of providing an automated case management system to the appellate courts.

PROBATION

ADULT WEIGHTED CASELOAD SYSTEM

The adult weighted caseload system was instituted two years ago to provide a classification system of probationers. This system was designed to make the most efficient use of probation department resources.

The weighted caseload system takes into consideration the nature of the offense committed; prior criminal record; client stability factors, such as employment history and special problems; availability of community referral resources; and the probation officer's subjective impression of client motivation. The factors were selected to reflect community demand for offender supervision and client need for support services. Based on a numerical score, each client is placed in one of three supervision levels corresponding to the estimated amount of supervision time needed per month to assure community safety and address client needs. The scoring is completed at the time the adult is placed under supervision.

This instrument is used by probation officers supervising adults as a caseload management tool and by the State Court Administrator's Office as a budgetary tool to determine and justify the need for probation officers.

A grant from the National Institute of Corrections provided technical assistance for the purposes of verification of reliability and validity of the classification system, development of an on-going reassessment capability, and assessment of the feasibility of the instrument serving as a caseload management information system.

The study will provide a revised weighted caseload instrument and a reassessment instrument as a two-part classification system for adult probationers. The system is designed not only to assess the initial level of supervision required for each probationer, but to also re-evaluate each case at 90-day intervals. The new system will assist each probation officer in determining how to allocate his limited time. The final report of this study will be completed in October, 1981.

JUVENILE WEIGHTED CASELOAD SYSTEM

A similar instrument for juvenile offenders is being developed, and is now being field tested to determine its reliability as a viable management and budgetary tool.

ALCOHOL AND DRUG DRIVING SAFETY PROGRAM

The Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Programs initiated in 1979 are administered by the local probation departments and funded by a special fee assessed against defendants convicted of drunk driving. These funds are appropriated to the Colorado Division of Highway Safety which contracts with the Judicial Department for administering the program in 19 of the 22 judicial districts. In the Second Judicial District, the Denver County Court Probation Department administers the program, and other agencies provide program services in the Thirteenth and Twenty-first Districts.

The purpose of the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program is to identify problem drinkers and to encourage education and treatment as sentencing alternatives

for both social and problem drinkers. Evaluations are required of all Colorado residents convicted of driving under the influence of, or while ability is impaired by, alcohol or drugs. The requirement also applies to defendants granted deferred prosecution and deferred sentences. Alcohol and drug evaluators conduct the evaluations, prepare sentencing recommendations for the courts, and supervise and monitor probationers assigned to alcohol education and treatment.

FINANCIAL SERVICES SUMMARY
FY 1980 - 1981
ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE STATE

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE GENERAL FUND

The Judicial Department is statutorily responsible for the collection of certain fees, taxes, services and fines. These funds are transmitted to the State of Colorado Treasurer and through it to the General Fund, Highway Users Fund and the Game, Fish and Parks Fund.

Tax - Civil Cases	$	154,060
Tax - Vital Statistics		35,009
Copy Work, Certifications, etc.		273,327
Water Case Filings		101,261
Civil Docket Fees		3,294,770
Probate Fees		222,780
Water Case Mailings		8,481
Judgment Fees		2,485
Jury Fees		171,865
Criminal Fees, Court Costs, Bond Forfeits		1,756,749
Probation Fees		314,699
Partial Attorney Fee Paid by Indigent		80,699[a]
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines		618,001
Fish & Game Fines (50%)		40,890
Miscellaneous Fees and Fines		90,639
Unclaimed Funds		61,562
Bad Debt Expense		71,499
Collection Service Fees		(13,554)
TOTAL	$	7,285,222

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE HIGHWAY USERS FUND

Traffic Fines and Forfeits	$	2,503,909
D.U.I. - Outside City Limits		473,317
D.U.I. - Inside City Limits (50%)		326,803
Bad Debt Expense		2,484
Collections Service Fees		(20,274)
TOTAL	$	3,286,239

ACCRUED REVENUE TO THE GAME, FISH AND PARKS FUNDS

Fish & Game Fines (50%)	$	40,890
Collection Service Fees		(309)
Bad Debt Expense		(1,111)
TOTAL	$	39,470
GRAND TOTAL	$	10,610,931

[a]The amount collected ($59,165) was authorized as an addition to the General Fund appropriation by cash funding. The balance of $21,534 is accrued as an Accounts Receivable.

EXPENDITURES FY 1971-72 THROUGH FY 1980-81

	TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES	JUDICIAL SYSTEM EXPENDITURES FROM THE GENERAL FUND[a]	% OF JUDICIAL TO GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES
FY 1971-72	$ 447,242,508	$ 17,093,633	3.82
FY 1972-73	509,074,634	18,895,926	3.71
FY 1973-74	700,389,391	20,753,573	2.96
FY 1974-75	774,281,729	23,985,106	3.10
FY 1975-76	863,041,322	26,787,411	3.10
FY 1976-77	874,528,000	31,273,172	3.58
FY 1977-78	957,609,000	34,534,408	3.61
FY 1978-79	1,039,745,205	36,186,896	3.48
FY 1979-80	1,173,554,077	38,821,055	3.31
FY 1980-81	1,258,111,409	45,168,430[b]	3.59

[a]Does not include Public Defender or Judicial/Heritage Complex maintenance.
[b]Pre-closing estimates.



DISTRIBUTION OF EXPENDITURES FOR FY 1980-81*



	STATE GENERAL FUND	AUGMENTING FUNDS	TOTAL FUNDS
SUPREME COURT	$1,090,837	$ 0	$1,090,837
COURT OF APPEALS			
Administration	1,226,779	29,175	1,255,954
Printing opinions of both appellate courts	11,670	0	11,670
TRIAL COURTS			
Direct Services	32,778,246	246,236	33,024,482
ADP Services	489,327	105,000	594,327
PROBATION	8,485,214	574,845	9,060,059
ADMINISTRATION	1,086,357	152,883	1,239,240
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	45,168,430	1,108,139	46,276,569
JUDICIAL/HERITAGE COMPLEX MAINTENANCE	$ 219,142	0	$ 219,142
PUBLIC DEFENDER	$3,637,291	$ 271,050	$3,908,341

*Projected figures. Final expenditures were not available at publication date.

SUMMARY OF CASELOAD ACTIVITY

THE SUPREME COURT

For the sixth consecutive year the Supreme Court has seen an increase in the number of appeals filed. The 966 cases filed in the Court during FY 1980-81 represents a 75 percent increase over FY 1974-75, the first year of the expansion of the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court had a 2 percent increase in filings during FY 1980-81. This increase was caused by a 20 percent increase in the number of original proceedings and a 21 percent increase in the number of petitions for certiorari, counter-balanced by a 52 percent decrease in the number of criminal appeals.

The Court increased its case disposition rate again this year. Terminations rose by nearly 10 percent to 979, which represents an average of nearly 140 dispositions per justice. This jump in the number of terminations resulted in a 3 percent reduction in the number of pending cases at the close of FY 1980-81.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

The Court of Appeals experienced an increase in the number of appeals filed again this year. Because of the steady increase in the number of filings and a rise in the number of cases reaching at issue status (ready for determination), the time required to dispose of an appeal is increasing at a rapid rate.

The Court of Appeals disposed of more cases in FY 1980-81 than in any previous year of the Court's existence. The 1,213 cases terminated reflects an average of 121 per judge. Of these terminations, 791, or 65 percent, were disposed of by written opinions. This represents an increase of 134 percent in the number of written opinions since FY 1973-74, the year before the expansion of the Court of Appeals to ten judges.

Despite the Court's efforts pending cases have again increased. The 1,199 cases pending reflects a 220 percent increase since FY 1973-74. During the same period, total terminations have increased 175 percent while filings have increased 187 percent. The Court is currently developing a plan to reduce the number of pending cases which will result in a constant rate of production and a steady decrease in the Court's backlog.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Case filings for the district courts have increased 33.1 percent since FY 1976-77. This upward trend in case filings continued during FY 1980-81 with a 10.1 percent increase for the state. This is the largest increase since FY 1973-74 and comes after a 10 percent overall increase in FY 1979-80. Civil cases alone increased by 14.3 percent while criminal filings grew at a rate of 11.9 percent. This is the second consecutive year of significant criminal case increase following a five-year period of stability.

District court activity changed in the following percentages this year:

Case Type	Filings	Terminations
Civil	14.3	30.4
Domestic Relations	4.7	7.3
Probate	6.9	-3.6
Criminal	11.9	19.9
Juvenile	12.1	9.7
Mental Health	7.5	13.4
TOTAL	10.1	15.7

Civil and domestic relation cases continue to comprise the majority of cases filed in district court. Civil cases represent more than a third of the total filings while domestic relation cases make up nearly 30 percent.

Caseload growth was equally distributed in all regions of the state. Urban and rural districts both had 10 percent increases in case filings. The Twenty-first District experienced the highest increase of the urban courts jumping 18 percent in filings from last year, while the Twenty-second District headed the rural courts with a 26 percent increase.

In the past several years, the State Court Administrator's Office has used a sophisticated caseload projection model for budgeting and resource allocation. Through statistical analysis of historical trends, the model indicates the existence of relationships between outside forces or events and trial court filings. The model selects those historical relationships that are the most significant for each case type in each county in the state. The factors vary significantly from county to county in the model. Those that occur most frequently through all counties are listed below.

Case Type	Factors
Civil	Residential & Commercial Valuation Population
Domestic Relations	Liquor Sales Marriages Time
Criminal	Unemployment Liquor Sales Time
Probate	Time
Juvenile	Births Marriages Divorces Welfare
Mental Health	Liquor Sales Marriages Unemployment

While there is no reason to expect that outside factors cause the increase in court filings, the relationship between the above factors and court filings is strong enough for prediction of court workload.

CIVIL

The largest increase in district court filings was in civil cases. An increase of 5,358 case filings accounted for 47.5 percent of the total growth in district court filings. Fiscal year 1980-81 is the fourth consecutive year of civil filings increases, with a 65.8 percent increase since FY 1976-77.

Civil filings, such as contract disputes and personal injury cases, continue to dominate the total number of district court filings, with 34.7 percent of the total filings. There may be a decrease in the number of civil case filings next year, however, due to an expected increase in the number of cases filed in county court as a result of the higher jurisdictional limit of $5,000 approved by the General Assembly during the 1981 session.

Increases occurred in nearly all districts. In the urban courts, increases ranged from 20.9 percent in the Twentieth District to 6.2 percent in the Tenth District. In the rural districts, the Twenty-Second District's civil filings jumped 92.7 percent while the Sixth District had a 5.5 percent increase.

Filing increases were seen in most case types except Personal Injury: Motor Vehicle which decreased by 6.5 percent and Wrongful Death which dropped by 9.3 percent. Those increases occurred in the following percentages:

Case Type	Increase
Personal Injury: Other	13.5%
Property Damage	50.1%
Real and Personal Property	28.0%
Money Demands	9.0%
Miscellaneous	19.3%

Despite the 30.4 percent increase in terminations, the courts were unable to keep up with the continuing growth in filings; there were 4,870 more civil cases filed than terminated this year. Unfortunately, this deficit comes on the heels of 8,333 more filings than terminations during FY 1979-80.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS

There was a 4.7 percent increase in domestic relations filings this year after a 2 percent increase in FY 1979-80. Domestic relations cases comprised 29.4 percent of the total district court filings.

The Twenty-first District led the urban courts in case filings in this category with a 10.7 percent increase, while the Sixth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth rural districts each had increases of over 20 percent in FY 1980-81.

The 7.3 percent increase in terminations this year was not enough to compensate for the increase in filings, with 2,687 fewer cases being terminated than filed. This has been a continuing problem in domestic relations cases with last years deficit of 3,321 and the FY 1978-79 figures at 4,920.

PROBATE

Probate cases continued their pattern of growth this year with a 6.9 percent increase in filings. Probate activity has now increased by 31.7 percent since FY 1976-77.

The First District realized a 21.3 percent growth in Probate filings to lead the urban districts, while the Fourteenth District's 34.6 percent increase heads the rural courts. Only one district, the Fifteenth, experienced a significant reduction in filings compared to four districts last year.

The number of cases terminated decreased by 3.6 percent this year. This drop was accounted for by a decline in terminations in eight districts.

CRIMINAL

After an apparent stabilization of criminal filings for five fiscal years, FY 1980-81 continued last year's large upward trend with an increase of 11.9 percent, marking a total increase of 27.5 percent over FY 1978-79.

Except for Offenses Involving Governmental Operations, which dropped 15.3 percent, the remaining offense case types experienced the following percentage increases over FY 1980-81.

Case Type	Increase
Offenses Against the Person	7.6%
Offenses Against Property	6.4%
Offenses Involving Fraud	8.5%
Drug and Narcotic Offenses	27.5%

The largest increases were seen in the Sixteenth District (57.9 percent), the Fourteenth District (50.6 percent), the Fifth District (43.5 percent), the Twenty Second District (27.4 percent) and the Eighth District (23.9 percent). Criminal Filings in Denver District Court increased 15.3 percent this year.

Despite the efforts of the district court judges in terminating over 19.9 percent more cases this year, criminal filings outnumbered dispositions by 2,588 cases.

JUVENILE

Juvenile filings rose 12.1 percent this year. This was the largest increase in six years when there was a 27 percent increase in this type of case.

CHINO (Children in Need of Oversight) petitions, formerly referred to as CHINS, decreased dramatically for the second year, with a 67% drop in FY 1980-81. This continued reduction is probably the result of Senate Bill 101, enacted during the 1978 session of the legislature and effective July 1, 1979. The bill redefined Children in Need of Supervision as Children in Need of Oversight, and limited the dispositional alternatives for these children.

Offsetting the decrease in CHINO petitions was a 5.5 percent increase in delinquency petitions and a 2.7 percent increase in dependency and neglect petitions. These two case types represent nearly 50 percent of the total juvenile filings. This is the third year an increase has occurred in dependency and neglect cases after an apparent stabilization in this area in FY 1978-79.

There were 15,012 terminations this year. However, these terminations lagged 3,691 cases behind filings.

MENTAL HEALTH

There was a 7.5 percent increase in mental health filings this year. Though this increase was not as great as FY 1979-80 (21.7 percent) the upward trend is still evident. Most of the 189 new cases were accounted for in the Tenth District which had 118 additional filings.

Terminations increased 13.4 percent this year leaving only 39 more cases filed than terminated.

WATER COURTS

Water court filings and terminations were up for the period. Filings increased 22.2 percent for the period, up from 1,899 to 2,321 cases. The number of claims (structures) increased 58.2 percent, up from 4,929 to 7,799 claims. This increase in

claims reflects the general increase in new case filings, which is partly due to a large number of federal filings and well filings in Division 3. Terminations nearly kept pace with filings, increasing by 21 percent during FY 1980-81, up from 2,142 to 2,606 cases.

During the year, the water courts adopted standard definitions for the assignment of case numbers, and for the assessment of filing fees. These procedures were effective July 1, 1981.

THE COUNTY COURTS

Despite efforts by judges and court personnel, the county courts were not able to keep up with the continued rise in case filings during FY 1980-81. Although terminations increased 7.6 percent this year, filings outnumbered dispositions by 16,315 cases. Filings have increased a total of 36.6 percent since FY 1974-75.

FY 1980-81 filing increases and terminations by case type occurred as follows:

Case Type	Filing Increase	Termination Increase
Civil	11.9%	10.7%
Small Claims	4.4%	1.2%
Traffic	5.5%	7.6%
Misdeameanor	6.3%	5.8%
TOTAL	6.6%	7.6%
	Advisements	Complaints
Felony	7.9%	10.7%

The caseload projection model, described in the district court section, identifies the following variables as factors which may influence case filings:

Case Type	Variables
Civil	Population Sales Tax Revenue
Small Claims	Time
Traffic	Motor Vehicle Registrations Liquor Sales
Misdemeanors	Population Liquor Sales

Total filings increased in most counties with Ouray County Court leading all counties with a 100.4 percent increase. Seven small counties, however, had substantial decreases of ten percent or greater including Huerfano, Clear Creek, Hinsdale, Custer, Conejos, Costilla and Kiowa counties.

CIVIL

Civil filings have increased over 11 percent in each of the last three years. Filings increased by 11 percent in FY 1978-79, 12 percent in FY 1979-80 and 11.9 percent in FY 1980-81. This increase parallels the overall increase in civil activity seen in the district court.

Terminations rose 10.7 percent in FY 1980-81. This follows a 9.4 percent increase in terminations for the previous year. Filings, however, continue to rise faster than terminations.

SMALL CLAIMS

Small claims filings have increased each year since the courts inception in 1976. The addition of 454 filings represents a 4.4 percent increase over last year. This continued increase indicates that more litigants are turning to small claims courts to settle disputes. Raising the jurisdictional limit to $1,000 is expected to increase the number of cases filed in this court.

Small claims terminations rose 1.2 percent over FY 1979-80, yet there were 111 more cases filed than terminated.

TRAFFIC

Traffic filings rose 5.5 percent in FY 1980-81. Traffic filings constitute the largest portion of county court cases filed, comprising 66 percent of the total caseload during fiscal year 1980-81.

Traffic case terminations were up 7.6 percent over last year. This compares to a 2 percent increase in terminations experienced in FY 1979-80.

MISDEMEANOR

Misdemeanor filings rose by 6.3 percent, which corresponds to the increase in criminal filings in the district court. Misdemeanor cases comprise over 12.1 percent of the total county court caseload.

Terminations were up 5.8 percent over last year with the addition of 1,557 cases.

FELONY

Felony advisements and complaints increased again during FY 1980-81. Advisements increased by 7.9 percent, while complaints increased 10.7 percent.

The largest increases in the metropolitan counties occurred in Arapahoe, Larimer, Adams, and Boulder.

Explanatory Note to County Court Tables

The county court figures are supplied by the clerks of court under a standard monthly manual reporting system. The Denver County Court, although not a part of the state funded system, supplied figures which are included in some of the tables. Denver is not included in the percentages or totals unless specifically noted.

PROBATION

The availability of alternative programs within a community, as well as the attitudes of local police, the district attorney, the judiciary and the public affect the size of the probation caseload. Consequently, the caseload totals should not be interpreted as a measure of either juvenile delinquency nor criminal activity within geographic areas. The figures are an index of the number of people who have been referred to the court for investigation and the number of offenders placed under the supervision of a probation officer.

There was an increase in the number of adult investigations in most districts again this year reflecting renewed growth in the probation workload. Legislative changes made in FY 1979-80 which mandated that an alcohol specialist conduct an evaluation of all alcohol related traffic offenses and that Probation Departments were no longer funded to do personal recognizance bond investigations, resulted in a decrease in adult investigations during that year.

Greater detail in reporting investigations continued this year. Domestic relations reports are counted separately whereas in prior years they were included in the "other" category. Deferred prosecution and pre-sentence investigations can now be distinguished as to the number completed for the district court and the number for the county court.

The number of investigations conducted on juveniles was down by 18.3 percent, which was caused primarily by the elimination of the "inter-agency" category from the monthly statistical report form. Previously these investigations were counted in a separate category and included in determining the total investigation workload. Preliminary and detention investigations reflected this overall decrease by a drop of 13.7 percent and 6.6 percent, respectively. Intake investigations were up by 19 percent, however, and Social Summary Reports continued last year's increase by 8 percent. Social Summaries are lengthy reports to the court describing the juvenile's background to assist the judge in sentencing.

The adult supervision caseload was up by 4.4 percent this year as verified by statewide audit of all adult supervision caseloads conducted in February, 1981 as a part of the adult weighted caseload study.

The juvenile supervision caseload increased by 6.9 percent, despite a drop in the number of reported investigations. This increase in the supervision caseload underscores the fact that the drop in investigations was a decrease in reportable numbers rather than a decrease in actual workload.

Last year's increase in restitution payments to victims continued this year by 19.5 percent. This jump represents a 28.4 percent increase from FY 1975-76 as depicted in Table LVIII.

APPENDIX

TABLES

Table I.
Caseload of the Colorado Appellate Courts,
FY 1964-65 - FY 1980-81 a3

Table II.
Caseload of the Supreme Court,
FY 1964-65 - FY 1980-81 a5

Table III.
Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court,
FY 1969-70 - FY 1980-81 a6

Table IV.
Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court,
FY 1977-78 - FY 1980-81 a6

TABLE V.
Distribution of Case Terminations in the Supreme Court,
FY 1964-65 - FY 1980-81 a7

TABLE VI.
Average Number of Written Opinions per Supreme Court Justice,
FY 1964-65 - FY 1980-81 a8

TABLE VII.
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue the
Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1980-81 a8

TABLE VIII.
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue the
Final Day of the Fiscal Year 1980-81 a8

TABLE IX.
Distribution of Cases Pending in the Supreme Court the
Last Day of Fiscal Year, FY 1964-65 - FY 1980-81 a9

TABLE X.
Caseload of the Court of Appeals,
FY 1969-70 - FY 1980-81 a13

TABLE XI.
Distribution of Case Filings in the Court of Appeals,
FY 1969-70 - FY 1980-81 a14

TABLE XII.
Distribution of Case Terminations in the Court of Appeals,
FY 1969-70 - FY 1980-81 a14

TABLE XIII.
Distribution of Court of Appeals Cases the
Final Day of the Fiscal Year, 1980-81 a15

TABLE XIV.
Distribution of Cases Pending in the Court of Appeals the
Last Day of the Fiscal Year, FY 1969-70 - FY 1980-81 a15

TABLE XV.
District Court Caseload,
FY 1977-78 - FY 1980-81 a19

TABLE XVI.
District Court Filings and Percent Change,
FY 1977-78 - FY 1980-81, By District a20

Table XVII.
Average New Filings and Terminations per Judge (and Referree) For FY 1980 and FY 1981 a21
Table XVIII.
Domestic Relations Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1980-81 a22
Table XIX.
Civil Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1980-81 a24
Table XX.
Probate Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1980-81 a26
Table XXI.
Juvenile Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1980-81 a28
Table XXII.
Mental Health Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1980-81 a30
Table XXIII.
Criminal Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1980-81 a32
Table XXIV.
Total Caseflow in the District Courts By District and County, FY 1980-81 a34
Table XXV.
Domestic Relations Filings By Type of Case, FY 1980-81 a36
Table XXVI.
Civil Case Filings by Type of Case, FY 1980-81 a38
Table XXVII.
Probate Filings by Type of Case, FY 1980-81 a40
Table XXVIII.
Juvenile Case Filings by Type of Case, FY 1980-81 a42
Table XXIX.
Mental Health Filings by Type of Case, FY 1980-81 a44
Table XXX.
Criminal Offense Filings by Type of Offense, FY 1980-81 a46
Table XXXI.
Offense and Non-Offense Filings Plus Total Criminal Filings, FY 1980-81 a48
Table XXXII.
Domestic Relations Terminations By Type of Case Filed, FY 1980-81 a50
Table XXXIII.
Civil Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1980-81 a52
Table XXXIV.
Probate Terminations by Type of Case Filed, FY 1980-81 a54

Table XXXV.
Juvenile Terminations by Type of Case Filed,
FY 1980-81 a56
Table XXXVI.
Mental Health Terminations By Type of Case Filed,
FY 1980-81 a58
Table XXXVII.
Criminal Offense Terminations By Type of Case Filed,
FY 1980-81 a60
Table XXXVIII.
Offense and Non-Offense Terminations By Type of Case Filed,
FY 1980-81 a62
Table XXXIX.
Caseload of the Water Courts,
FY 1977-78 - FY 1980-81 a69
Table XL.
Number of New Cases Filed and Number of New Claims in Colorado
Water Courts, FY 1977-78 - FY 1980-81 a70
Table XLI.
County Court Caseload,
FY 1974-75 - FY 1980-81 a73
Table XLII.
Civil Caseflow in the County Courts By District and County,
FY 1980-81 a74
Table XLIII.
Small Claims Caseflow in the County Courts By District and County,
FY 1980-81 a76
Table XLIV.
Traffic Caseflow in the County Courts By District and County,
FY 1980-81. a78
Table XLV.
Misdemeanor Caseflow in the County Courts By District and County,
FY 1980-81 a80
Table XLVI.
Total Caseflow in the County Courts By District and County,
FY 1980-81 a82
Table XLVII.
Felony Preliminaries in the County Court By Type,
FY 1980-81 a84
Table XLVIII.
County Court Filings and Percent Change,
FY 1976-77 - FY 1980-81 a86
Table XLIX.
County Trials and Jury Trials in the County Court By District and County,
FY 1980-81 a88
Table L.
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court Civil Case Filings, By
Money Amount, FY 1980-81 a90

Table LI.
Number and Percent Distribution of County Court Small Claims Filings, By Money Amount, FY 1980-81 a92
Table LII.
Number and Percent Distribution of Felony Complaints Disposed of in County Court, FY 1980-81 a94
Table LIII.
Probation Department Supervision and Investigation Caseloads - State Totals, FY 1976-77 - 1980-81 a97
Table LIV.
Probation Department Supervision Caseloads by District, FY 1980-81 a98
Table LV.
Adult Probation Department Investigations By District, FY 1980-81 a99
Table LVI.
Juvenile Probation Department Investigations By District, FY 1980-81 a99
Table LVII.
Restitution Paid to Victims By Juvenile and Adult Probationers, FY 1980-81 a100
Table LVIII.
Restitution Paid to Victims by Juvenile and Adult Probationers, FY 1975-76 - FY 1980-81 a101
Table LIX.
Comparison Between Probation Caseload and Restitution Collected From Probationers, FY 1975-76 - FY 1980-81 a102

THE SUPREME COURT

TABLE I. CASELOAD OF THE COLORADO APPELLATE COURTS
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	APPEALS FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	APPEALS TERM.	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
Supreme Court					
1964-65	565	484	1049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1519	496	1023
Supreme Court and Court of Appeals					
1969-70	1023	677	1700	645	1055
1970-71	1055	845	1900	1013	887
1971-72	887	926	1813	1035	778
1972-73	778	1051	1829	1046	783
1973-74	783	1022	1805	967	838
1974-75	838	1370	2208	1250	958
1975-76	958	1480	2438	1421[a]	1017
1976-77	1017	1780	2797	1539	1258
1977-78	1258	1900	3158	1820[a]	1338
1978-79	1353[b]	2060	3413	1901[a]	1512
1979-80	1512	2021	3533	1921[a]	1612
1980-81	1612	2179	3791	2132	1659

[a]Court of Appeals opinions withdrawn in following fiscal year.
[b]Revised pending figure, due to Supreme Court audit.



TABLE II. CASELOAD OF THE SUPREME COURT
FY 1964-65 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	APPEALS FILED	TOTAL CASELOAD	APPEALS TERM.	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
Supreme Court					
1964-65	565	484	1049	447	602
1965-66	602	581	1183	437	746
1966-67	746	640	1386	542	844
1967-68	844	574	1418	519	899
1968-69	899	620	1519	496	1023
1969-70	1023	568	1591	484[a]	847
1970-71	847	544	1391	581[b]	511
1971-72	511	517	1028	605	423
1972-73	423	606	1029	602	427
1973-74	427	611	1038	559	479
1974-75	479	553	1032	666	366
1975-76	366	651	1017	674	343
1976-77	343	735	1078	704	374
1977-78	374	854	1228	893	335
1978-79	350[c]	941	1291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1364	893	471[d]
1980-81	471	966	1437	979	458[e]

[a]In addition, 260 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

[b]In addition, 299 backlog cases were transferred to the Court of Appeals.

[c]Revised pending figure.

[d]Includes 15 cases awaiting formal closing.

[e]Includes 13 cases awaiting formal closing.



TABLE III. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS IN THE SUPREME COURT, FY 1969-70 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	DIRECT FILINGS	TRANSFERS FROM COURT OF APPEALS	REOPENED CASES	TOTAL FILINGS
1969-70	564	4	0	568
1970-71	528	16	0	544
1971-72	508	9	0	517
1972-73	582	20	4	606
1973-74	575	30	6	611
1974-75	517	33	3	553
1975-76	569	81	1	651
1976-77	658	75	2	735
1977-78	784	66	4	854
1978-79	852	89	0	941
1979-80	817	130	3	950
1980-81	900	54	12	966

TABLE IV. DISTRIBUTION BY TYPE OF CASE FILED IN THE SUPREME COURT FY 1977-78 TO FY 1980-81

	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 7980	FY 30-81
Criminal Appeals	99	96	151	72
Original Proceedings	251	284	276	333
Petitions in Certiorari	353	375	347	417
Civil Appeals (including water cases, P.U.C. decisions and constitutional questions)	63	81	57	47
Interlocutories	26	25	31	17
Statutory Review	0	3	7	7
Habeas Corpus	26	49	26	30
Bail Reduction	1	0	0	0
Interrogatories	3	0	1	2
Reopened Cases	4	0	3	12
Rules 21.1	0	1	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	1	0	0
Original Proceedings (in Discipline)	28	26	51	29
TOTAL	854	941	950	966

TABLE V. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS
IN THE SUPREME COURT, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	DISPOSED OF BY WRITTEN OPINION	DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	TRANSFERRED TO COURT OF APPEALS	TOTAL TERMS	% OF WRITTEN OPINIONS TO DISPOSITIONS
1964-65	254	193	447		447	56.8
1965-66	251	186	437		437	57.4
1966-67	325	217	542		542	60.0
1967-68	321	198	519		519	61.8
1968-69	299	197	496		496	60.3
1969-70	230	254	484	260	744	47.5
1970-71	346	235	581	299	880	59.6
1971-72	352	245	597	8	605	59.0
1972-73	291	308	599	3	602	48.6
1973-74	303	253	556	3	559	54.5
1974-75	343	315	658	8	666	52.1
1975-76	293	377	670	4	674	43.7
1976-77	285	411	696	8	704	40.9
1977-78	322	564	886	7	893	36.3
1978-79	284	587	871	6	877	32.6
1979-80	291	596	887	6	893	32.8
1980-81	294	683	979	2	979	30.1

TABLE VI. AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS PER SUPREME COURT JUSTICE, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS BY JUSTICES[a]	AVERAGE NUMBER OF WRITTEN OPINIONS PER JUSTICE
1964-65	254	36.3
1965-66	251	35.9
1966-67	315	45.0
1967-68	305	43.6
1968-69	274	39.1
1969-70	225	32.1
1970-71	314	44.9
1971-72	319	45.6
1972-73	291	41.6
1973-74	303	43.3
1974-75	343	49.0
1975-76	293	41.9
1976-77	285	40.7
1977-78	322	46.0
1978-79	284	40.6
1979-80	291	41.6
1980-81	294	42.0

[a]Opinions written by visiting judges in FY 1966-67 - 1971-72 not included.

TABLE VII. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1980-81

	CERT.	APPEALS	GRIEVANCES	TOTAL
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	15	28	0	43
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	50	78	0	128
Cases submitted without argument	3	30	0	33
Opinion announced awaiting action on rehearing	5	21	0	26
	73	157	0	230

TABLE VIII. DISTRIBUTION OF SUPREME COURT CASES NOT AT ISSUE THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1980-81

	TOTAL
PENDING CASES	
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	35
Appeals pending	85
Appeals on limited remand	2
Appeals held in abeyance	3
GRIEVANCE MATTERS	
Pending	16
Referred to grievance committee	5
	146

TABLE IX. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN THE SUPREME COURT THE LAST DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1964-65 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	CASES AT ISSUE[a]	CASES AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI ACCEPTANCE	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING	PERCENT AT ISSUE OR AWAITING DECISION ON CERTIORARI
1964-65	379		223	602	63.0
1965-66	477		269	746	63.9
1966-67	474		370	844	56.2
1967-68	565		334	899	62.8
1968-69	643		380	1023	62.9
1969-70	562		285	847	66.4
1970-71	337		174	511	65.9
1971-72	278		145	423	65.7
1972-73	194		233	427	45.4
1973-74	230		249	479	48.0
1974-75	304		62	366	83.1
1975-76	282		61	343	82.2
1976-77	295		79	374	78.9
1977-78	244		91	335	72.8
1978-79	200	56	158	414[b]	61.8
1979-80	278	40	138	471[c]	67.5
1980-81	230	69	146	445	67.2

[a] Prior to FY 1978-79, cases at issue and those awaiting decision on certiorari acceptance were combined.

[b] Includes 15 cases in which decisions were announced but cases were awaiting formal closing.

[c] Does not include 13 cases in which decisions were announced and cases are awaiting formal closing.

THE COURT OF APPEALS

TABLE X. CASELOAD OF THE COURT OF APPEALS,
FY 1969-70 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	CASES PENDING JULY 1	NEW CASES[b]	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMI-NATIONS	CASES PENDING JUNE 30
1969-70[a]	0	373	373	165	208
1970-71	208	616	824	448	376
1971-72	376	426	802	447	355
1972-73	355	468	823	467	356
1973-74	356	444	800	441	359
1974-75	359	858	1217	625	592
1975-76	592	915	1507	833[c]	674
1976-77	674	1128	1802	918	884
1977-78	884	1119	2003	1000[c]	1003
1978-79	1003	1214	2217	1119[c]	1098
1979-80	1098	1207	2305	1164[c]	1141
1980-81	1139	1273	2412	1213[d]	1199

a Since the Court opened January 1, 1970, FY 1969-70 figures are for six months.

b Includes reopened cases and cases remanded from the U.S. and the Colorado Supreme Courts.

c One opinion was withdrawn in the following fiscal year.

d Two opinions were withdrawn in the following fiscal year.



TABLE XI. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE FILINGS[a] IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FY 1969-70 TO FY 1980-81

	69-70[b]	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79	79-80	80-81
Civil Appeals[c]	93	279	363	390	386	536	566	634	715	795	816	823
Transfers from Supreme Court	260	299	8	3	3	3	3	6	3	2	2	
Industrial Comm.	20	38	55	75	55	36	85	165	82	73	96	123
Criminal Appeals						278	259	321	315	340	276	280
Transfers from Supreme Court						5	2	2	4	4	4	
Non-adversary sentence review											13	47
TOTAL	373	616	426	468	444	858	915	1128	1119	1214	1207	1273

TABLE XII. DISTRIBUTION OF CASE TERMINATIONS IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FY 1969-70 TO FY 1980-81

	69-70[b]	70-71	71-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79	79-80	80-81
Closed by opinion (published)[d]	139	390	368	357	337	467	559[f]	445	554	308	284	325
Closed by opinion (unpublished)[e]								148	97[f]	437[f]	436	466
Closed without opinion	22	42	70	90	74	125	193	250	283	285	316	364
Transferred to Supreme Court	4	16	9	20	30	33	81	75	66	89	130	58
TOTAL	165	448	447	467	441	625	833[f]	918	1000[f]	1119[f]	1166	1213

a Includes 5 reopened and remanded cases from the U.S. and the Colorado Supreme Courts.

b Since the Court opened January 1, 1970, FY 1969-70 figures are for six months.

c Includes appeals from courts, and administrative boards and agencies within jurisdiction except Industrial Commission.

d Prior to December 8, 1977, referred to as written opinion.

e From July 1, 1976 - December 8, 1977, referred to as memorandum opinion.

f One opinion was withdrawn in the following fiscal year.

TABLE XIII. DISTRIBUTION OF COURT OF APPEALS CASES THE FINAL DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1980-81

Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	
Criminal appeals	64
Civil appeals	258
Industrial Commission appeals	17
TOTAL	339
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	
Criminal appeals	35
Civil appeals	80
Industrial Commission appeals	4
TOTAL	119
Cases submitted without oral argument	108
TOTAL cases at issue	566[a]
TOTAL cases not yet at issue	633
TOTAL cases open	1199

[a] 50 cases not included: opinions written but awaiting action on rehearing.

TABLE XIV. DISTRIBUTION OF CASES PENDING IN THE COURT OF APPEALS THE LAST DAY OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FY 1969-70 TO FY 1980-81

FISCAL YEAR	CASES AT ISSUE[a]	CASES NOT YET AT ISSUE	TOTAL PENDING[a]	PERCENT AT ISSUE TO TOTAL
1969-70	119	89	208	57.2
1970-71	240	136	376	63.8
1971-72	183	172	355	51.5
1972-73	148	208	356	41.6
1973-74	175	184	359	48.7
1974-75	169	423	592	28.5
1975-76	252	421	673[b]	37.4
1976-77	257	627	884	29.1
1977-78	261	741	1002[b]	26.0
1978-79	395	702	1097[b]	36.0
1979-80	527	612	1141	46.4
1980-81	566	633	1199	47.2

[a] Cases in which opinions were written but were awaiting action on rehearing were not included.

[b] Opinions withdrawn in the following fiscal year, changing the pending count.

THE DISTRICT COURTS

TABLE XV. DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD - FY 1977-78 TO FY 1980-81[a]

	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81
DOMESTIC RELATIONS				
Cases Pending July 1	19,350	23,122	25,822	27,802
New Cases Filed	31,677	33,888	34,505	36,137
Post Judgment Actions	7,713	7,924	8,730	9,039
TOTAL Caseload	59,240	64,934	69,057	72,978
Cases Terminated	27,720	28,968	31,184	33,450
Post Judgment Terminations	8,398	10,144	10,071	10,434
Cases Pending June 30	23,122	25,822	27,802	29,094
CIVIL[b]				
Cases Pending July 1	24,843	27,501	32,044	38,748
New Cases Filed	27,523	30,566	37,365	42,723
Post Judgment Actions	7,111	6,932	7,892	9,468
TOTAL Caseload	59,477	64,999	77,301	90,939
Cases Terminated	24,080	25,071	29,032	37,853
Post Judgment Terminations	7,896	7,884	9,521	11,068
Cases Pending June 30	27,501	32,044	38,748	42,018
PROBATE				
Cases Pending July 1	19,205	20,439	18,183[d]	19,084
New Cases Filed	6,570	6,963	7,223	7,719
Post Judgment Actions	697	638	482	482
TOTAL Caseload	26,472	28,040	25,888	27,285
Cases Terminated	5,504	9,539[c]	6,051	5,833
Post Judgment Terminations	529	793	753	407
Cases Pending June 30	20,439	17,708	19,084	21,045
JUVENILE				
Cases Pending July 1	11,564	14,038	16,733	19,654
New Cases Filed	16,550	16,497	16,687	18,703
Post Judgment Actions	6,317	7,162	6,227	6,525
TOTAL Caseload	34,431	37,697	39,647	44,882
Cases Terminated	13,751	13,361	13,682	15,012
Post Judgment Terminations	6,642	7,603	6,311	6,771
Cases Pending June 30	14,038	16,733	19,654	23,099
MENTAL HEALTH				
Cases Pending July 1	1,169	1,268	1,280	1,295
New Cases Filed	2,183	2,073	2,523	2,713
Post Judgment Actions	750	970	655	608
TOTAL Caseload	4,102	4,311	4,458	4,616
Cases Terminated	2,120	1,993	2,358	2,674
Post Judgment Terminations	714	1,038	805	534
Cases Pending June 30	1,268	1,280	1,295	1,408
CRIMINAL				
Cases Pending July 1	12,415	11,603	12,562	15,346
New Cases Filed	11,404	11,614	13,410	15,002
Post Judgment Actions	3,621	3,673	4,114	4,712
TOTAL Caseload	27,440	26,890	30,086	35,060
Cases Terminated	9,296	9,661	10,351	12,414
Post Judgment Terminations	6,541	4,667	4,389	5,257
Cases Pending June 30	11,603	12,562	15,346	17,389
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	89,046	97,971	106,624[d]	121,929
New Cases Filed	95,907	101,601	111,713	122,997
Post Judgment Actions	26,209	27,299	28,100	30,834
TOTAL Caseload	211,162	226,871	246,437	275,760
Cases Terminated	82,471	88,593[c]	92,658	107,236
Post Judgment Terminations	30,720	32,129	31,850	34,471
Cases Pending June 30	97,971	106,149	121,929	134,053

[a] All district courts plus Denver Superior, Denver Juvenile, and Denver Probate.

[b] Does not include water cases.

[c] Includes 4369 statistical terminations of old cases in Denver.

[d] Revised pending figure.

TABLE XVI. DISTRICT COURT FILINGS AND PERCENT CHANGE[a]
FY 1977-78 TO FY 1980-81, BY DISTRICT

DISTRICT	New Cases Filed				Percent Change 79-80 80-81	Percent Change 77-78 80-81
	1977-78	197879	1979-80	1980-81		
1	9,913	10,321	11,167	11,938	6.9	20.4
2						
District	15,896	17,673	19,350	21,954	13.4	38.1
Superior	2,065	2,265	2,660	3,226	21.2	56.2
Juvenile	3,794	3,674	3,537	4,186	18.3	10.3
Probate	2,087	2,161	2,356	2,490	5.6	19.3
3	806	896	1,074	1,003	-7	24.4
4	11,937	12,368	13,555	14,756	8.8	23.6
5	1,495	1,696	1,843	2,219	20.4	48.4
6	1,211	1,289	1,349	1,415	4.8	16.8
7	1,688	1,671	1,940	2,216	14.2	31.2
8	3,453	3,817	4,728	5,340	12.9	54.6
9	1,323	1,551	1,786	1,860	4.1	40.5
10	4,370	4,750	5,007	5,387	7.5	23.2
11	1,921	1,992	2,015	2,206	9.4	14.8
12	1,343	1,274	1,263	1,347	6.6	.3
13	2,116	1,895	2,023	2,327	15.0	9.9
14	1,226	1,387	1,429	1,638	14.6	33.6
15	824	807	854	727	-15.1	-11.2
16	1,006	902	1,127	1,222	8.4	21.4
17	7,444	7,493	8,348	9,223	10.4	23.8
18	8,507	9,151	10,235	10,785	5.3	26.7
19	3,367	3,851	4,220	4,532	7.3	34.6
20	4,759	5,250	6,098	6,508	6.7	36.7
21	2,782	2,896	3,118	3695	18.5	32.8
22	574	571	631	797	26.3	38.9
STATE TOTAL	95,907	101,601	111,713	122,997	10.1	28.2

[a] Water cases not included.

TABLE XVII. AVERAGE NEW FILINGS AND TERMINATIONS[a] PER JUDGE (AND REFEREE) FOR FY 1980 AND FY 1981

DISTRICT	NEW CASES FILED FY '80	NEW CASES FILED FY '81	TERMINATIONS FY '80	TERMINATIONS FY'81	NO. OF JUDGES AND REFEREES FY '80	NO. OF JUDGES AND REFEREES FY '81
1	1116.7	1193.8	931.8	1044.3	10	10
2						
District	879.5	997.9	723.6	823.2	22	22
Superior	2660.0	3226	1998.0	2955	1	1
Juvenile	707.4	837.2	533.2[b]	418.4	5	5
Probate	2356.0	2490	2089.0	367.2	1	1
3	537.0	501.5	560.5	699.5	2	2
4	1129.6	1229.6	933.5	1191.1	12	12
5	921.5	1109.5	725.0	922.5	2	2
6	674.5	707.5	568.5	853.5	2	2
7	970.0	1108	810.5	931.5	2	2
8	1182.0	1335	887.5	1103.5	4	4
9	595.3	620	364.0	587.3	3	3
10	834.5	897.8	786.0	855.6	6	6
11	1007.5	735.3	974.5	1162.5	2	3
12	631.5	673.5	613.5	607.5	2	2
13	505.8	581.7	479.8	614	4	4
14	714.5	819	660.5	782.5	2	2
15	427.0	363.5	415.0	343	2	2
16	563.5	611	527.0	637	2	2
17	1043.5	1152.8	910.4	944.5	8	8
18	1137.2	567.6	896.6	1012.7	9	10
19	1055.0	1133	702.3	990.2	4	4
20	1016.3	1084.6	835.3	881.5	6	6
21	1039.3	1231.6	951.0	1078	3	3
22	631.0	797	453.0	704	1	1
STATE AVERAGE PERCENT CHANGE	954.8	1033.6	791.9	901.1	117	119

[a] Figures do not include water cases or water referees.

TABLE XVIII. DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST							
Gilpin	26	26	4	56	42	3	11
Jefferson	3265	4140	1077	8482	3625	1228	3629
TOTAL	3291	4166	1081	8538	3667	1231	3640
2ND							
Denver	7519	7524	2191	17234	6058	2548	8628
3RD							
Huerfano	37	92	15	144	93	0	51
Las Animas	91	145	50	286	197	27	62
TOTAL	128	237	65	430	290	27	113
4TH							
El Paso	3569	4661	1462	9692	4802	1261	3629
Teller	36	64	7	107	72	35	
TOTAL	3605	4725	1469	9799	4874	1261	3664
5TH							
Clear Creek	30	93	12	135	79	19	37
Eagle	97	146	23	266	131	30	105
Lake	41	156	37	234	136	27	71
Summit	120	128	21	269	113	44	112
TOTAL	288	523	93	904	459	120	325
6TH							
Archuleta	43	48	4	95	35	1	59
La Plata	144	379	179	702	512	0	190
San Juan	2	12	0	14	9	0	5
TOTAL	189	439	183	811	556	1	254
7TH							
Delta	118	325	10	453	299	25	129
Gunnison	68	100	2	170	103	0	67
Hinsdale	4	2	1	7	1	1	5
Montrose	127	333	30	490	293	41	156
Ouray	7	9	3	19	11	2	6
San Miguel	35	37	1	73	18	8	47
TOTAL	359	806	47	1212	725	77	410
8TH							
Jackson	18	14	2	34	10	0	24
Larimer	1096	1676	316	3088	1541	286	1261
TOTAL	1114	1690	318	3122	1551	286	1285
9TH							
Garfield	364	291	37	692	319	0	373
Pitkin	156	129	7	292	132	54	106
Rio Blanco	48	88	22	158	70	15	73
TOTAL	568	508	66	1142	521	69	552
10TH							
Pueblo	827	1321	550	2698	1244	582	872
11TH							
Chaffee	98	209	48	355	203	43	109
Custer	4	8	0	12	7	2	3
Fremont	191	469	114	774	507	41	226
Park	43	51	2	96	55	0	41
TOTAL	336	737	164	1237	772	86	379

TABLE XVIII (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH							
Alamosa	94	169	72	335	170	64	101
Conejos	17	29	9	55	25	11	19
Costilla	13	20	2	35	22	1	12
Mineral	4	5	0	9	4	1	4
Rio Grande	34	143	11	188	122	0	66
Saguache	13	40	9	62	33	7	22
TOTAL	175	406	103	684	376	84	224
13TH							
Kit Carson	26	55	1	82	55	2	25
Logan	99	186	48	333	228	0	105
Morgan	119	269	78	466	323	4	139
Phillips	18	46	7	71	49	0	22
Sedgwick	8	20	5	33	19	0	14
Washington	28	54	4	86	35	6	45
Yuma	42	78	26	146	81	0	65
TOTAL	340	708	169	1217	790	12	415
14TH							
Grand	55	95	8	158	96	2	60
Moffat	113	216	7	336	186	11	139
Routt	82	170	3	255	154	3	98
TOTAL	250	481	18	749	436	16	297
15TH							
Baca	31	15	7	53	21	6	26
Cheyenne	9	16	1	26	10	0	16
Kiowa	4	11	0	15	4	1	10
Prowers	70	124	16	210	112	12	86
TOTAL	114	166	24	304	147	19	138
16TH							
Bent	26	33	1	60	34	0	26
Crowley	16	9	1	26	10	0	16
Otero	128	247	33	408	257	24	127
TOTAL	170	289	35	494	301	24	169
17TH							
Adams	1856	3123	729	5708	2752	921	2035
18TH							
Arapahoe	2066	2911	181	5158	2767	219	2172
Douglas	105	180	26	311	155	18	138
Elbert	34	42	13	89	29	17	43
Lincoln	19	32	10	61	37	11	13
TOTAL	2224	3165	230	5619	2988	265	2366
19TH							
Weld	1245	1223	303	2771	1220	682	869
20TH							
Boulder	2181	2269	588	5038	2272	1442	1324
21ST							
Mesa	836	1343	595	2774	1169	608	997
22ND							
Dolores	5	19	-1	23	13	0	10
Montezuma	182	269	19	470	269	73	128
TOTAL	187	288	18	493	282	73	138
STATE TOTAL	27802	36137	9039	72978	33450	10434	29094

TABLE XIX. CIVIL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS[a]
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST							
Gilpin	55	45	5	105	60	5	40
Jefferson	3508	3892	975	8375	3651	1371	3353
TOTAL	3563	3937	980	8480	3711	1376	3393
2ND							
Denver District	11428	11606	4006	26040	10276	4880	11884
Denver Superior	3497	3137	245	6879	2881	221	3777
TOTAL	14925	14743	4251	33919	13157	5101	15661
3RD							
Huerfano	114	86	8	208	66	0	142
Las Animas	123	196	30	349	224	0	125
TOTAL	237	282	38	557	290	0	267
4TH							
El Paso	2743	3441	626	6810	2600	809	3401
Teller	69	116	15	200	125	0	75
TOTAL	2812	3557	641	7010	2725	809	3476
5TH							
Clear Creek	92	99	3	194	37	15	142
Eagle	411	471	71	953	380	87	486
Lake	63	116	12	191	88	0	103
Summit	462	380	30	872	368	68	436
TOTAL	1028	1066	116	2210	873	170	1167
6TH							
Archuleta	169	98	2	269	77	2	190
La Plata	389	444	113	946	523	5	418
San Juan	14	33	1	48	15	2	31
TOTAL	572	575	116	1263	615	9	639
7TH							
Delta	77	162	12	251	141	19	91
Gunnison	119	235	3	357	182	10	165
Hinsdale	26	7	6	39	13	7	19
Montrose	164	222	28	414	182	10	222
Ouray	32	34	0	66	31	0	35
San Miguel	205	52	7	264	49	18	197
TOTAL	623	712	56	1391	598	64	729
8TH							
Jackson	19	21	0	40	8	6	26
Larimer	1239	1584	321	3144	1425	317	1402
TOTAL	1258	1605	321	3184	1433	323	1428
9TH							
Garfield	49	337	129	959	534	0	425
Pitkin	716	405	11	1132	261	50	821
Rio Blanco	81	49	5	135	48	2	85
TOTAL	1290	791	145	2226	843	52	1331
10TH							
Pueblo	733	1242	566	2541	1321	383	835
11TH							
Chaffee	118	129	16	263	135	9	119
Custer	29	27	18	74	34	0	40
Fremont	268	356	105	729	369	132	228
Park	115	171	31	317	163	0	154
TOTAL	530	683	170	1383	701	141	541

[a] Water cases not included.

TABLE XIX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH							
Alamosa	72	132	23	227	124	12	91
Conejos	40	38	10	88	40	14	34
Costilla	28	30	5	63	37	3	23
Mineral	5	9	0	14	4	1	9
Rio Grande	84	100	8	192	93	9	90
Saguache	57	45	11	113	41	12	60
TOTAL	286	354	57	697	339	51	307
13TH							
Kit Carson	37	78	4	119	73	0	64
Logan	132	134	18	284	163	12	109
Morgan	151	243	14	408	229	0	179
Phillips	49	15	1	65	14	0	51
Sedgwick	21	16	4	41	15	0	26
Washington	25	49	3	77	30	2	45
Yuma	208	64	10	282	89	0	193
TOTAL	623	599	54	1276	613	14	649
14TH							
Grand	146	127	11	284	123	50	111
Moffat	158	164	37	359	162	46	151
Routt	295	260	13	568	279	26	263
TOTAL	599	551	61	1211	564	122	525
15TH							
Baca	82	52	0	134	44	2	88
Cheyenne	28	16	0	44	12	1	31
Kiowa	28	27	2	57	20	2	35
Prowers	118	129	5	252	135	2	115
TOTAL	256	224	7	487	211	7	269
16TH							
Bent	34	37	3	74	37	0	37
Crowley	10	15	1	26	15	3	8
Otero	130	172	19	321	196	0	125
TOTAL	174	224	23	421	248	3	170
17TH							
Adams	1985	3296	801	6082	2684	991	2407
18TH							
Arapahoe	2858	3258	182	6298	2416	374	3508
Douglas	394	315	41	750	300	50	400
Elbert	70	81	6	157	49	31	77
Lincoln	10	23	0	33	11	0	22
TOTAL	3332	3677	229	7238	2776	455	4007
19TH							
Weld	1526	1188	53	2767	1259	128	1380
20TH							
Boulder	1698	2209	230	4137	1848	273	2016
21ST							
Mesa	547	915	532	1994	809	551	634
22ND							
Dolores	11	25	2	38	20	2	16
Montezuma	140	268	19	427	215	41	171
TOTAL	151	293	21	465	235	43	187
STATE TOTAL	38748	42723	94680	90939	37253	11068	42018

TABLE XX. PROBATE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST							
Gilpin	29	11	4	44	18	0	26
Jefferson	2020	810	18	2848	578	26	2244
TOTAL	2049	821	22	2892	596	26	2270
2ND							
Denver Probate	5517	1857	145	7519	1216	75	6228
3RD							
Huerfano	83	25	2	110	18	0	92
Las Animas	249	37	3	289	83	2	204
TOTAL	332	62	5	399	101	2	296
4TH							
El Paso	1681	675	21	2377	433	16	1928
Teller	52	32	4	88	23	0	65
TOTAL	1733	707	25	2465	456	16	1993
5TH							
Clear Creek	61	15	3	79	21	7	51
Eagle	43	25	2	70	16	1	53
Lake	43	22	2	67	14	0	53
Summit	56	18	0	74	5	0	69
TOTAL	203	80	7	290	56	8	226
6TH							
Archuleta	37	23	0	60	9	0	51
La Plata	257	98	8	363	71	0	292
San Juan	7	3	0	10	2	0	8
TOTAL	301	124	8	433	82	0	351
7TH							
Delta	197	97	1	295	36	1	258
Gunnison	94	33	7	134	29	0	105
Hinsdale	6	3	2	11	4	1	6
Montrose	171	76	11	258	44	37	177
Ouray	18	8	0	26	8	0	18
San Miguel	37	7	8	52	10	0	42
TOTAL	523	224	29	776	131	39	606
8TH							
Jackson	23	7	0	30	2	0	28
Larimer	639	310	2	951	198	27	726
TOTAL	662	317	2	981	200	27	754
9TH							
Garfield	261	57	7	325	17	0	308
Pitkin	138	46	8	192	11	2	179
Rio Blanco	57	29	6	92	17	0	75
TOTAL	456	132	21	609	45	2	562
10TH							
Pueblo	732	366	31	1129	309	34	786
11TH							
Chaffee	126	42	15	183	53	0	130
Custer	11	1	0	12	1	0	11
Fremont	215	92	25	332	112	0	220
Park	30	28	1	59	18	3	38
TOTAL	382	163	41	586	184	3	399

TABLE XX (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH							
Alamosa	54	29	2	85	26	3	56
Conejos	37	35	0	72	21	1	50
Costilla	21	18	1	40	15	2	23
Mineral	10	4	0	14	6	0	8
Rio Grande	62	33	1	96	32	3	61
Saguache	31	17	1	49	17	2	30
TOTAL	215	136	5	356	117	11	228
13TH							
Kit Carson	72	42	3	117	71	1	45
Logan	156	86	8	250	82	0	168
Morgan	169	77	7	253	71	0	182
Phillips	53	28	0	81	22	0	59
Sedgwick	24	15	7	46	22	0	24
Washington	97	50	1	148	31	0	117
Yuma	205	44	1	250	29	3	218
TOTAL	776	342	27	1145	328	4	813
14TH							
Grand	52	25	0	77	24	7	46
Moffat	114	54	4	172	31	8	133
Routt	111	57	7	175	39	0	136
TOTAL	277	136	11	424	94	15	315
15TH							
Baca	199	34	1	234	44	1	189
Cheyenne	45	22	1	68	20	8	40
Kiowa	45	31	0	76	30	5	41
Prowers	157	66	3	226	58	0	168
TOTAL	446	153	5	604	152	14	438
16TH							
Bent	51	27	7	85	25	0	60
Crowley	44	21	0	65	29	1	35
Otero	148	79	10	237	60	0	177
TOTAL	243	127	17	387	114	1	272
17TH							
Adams	571	373	6	950	367	27	556
18TH							
Arapahoe	968	481	6	1455	311	19	1125
Douglas	124	38	3	165	36	0	129
Elbert	39	22	0	61	25	3	33
Lincoln	49	34	1	84	35	1	48
TOTAL	1180	575	10	1765	407	23	1335
19TH							
Weld	664	323	11	998	297	27	674
20TH							
Boulder	1327	393	8	1728	285	0	1443
21ST							
Mesa	378	234	42	654	224	35	395
22ND							
Dolores	14	7	1	22	12	1	9
Montezuma	103	67	3	173	60	17	96
TOTAL	117	74	4	195	72	18	105
STATE TOTAL	19084	7719	482	27285	5833	407	21045

TABLE XXI. JUVENILE CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST							
Gilpin	18	5	5	28	17	1	10
Jefferson	1092	1627	253	2972	1227	463	1282
TOTAL	1110	1632	258	3000	1244	464	1292
2ND							
Denver Juvenile	8124	4186	890	13200	2092	876	10232
3RD							
Huerfano	41	57	17	115	79	12	24
Las Animas	503	148	58	709	332	101	276
TOTAL	544	205	75	824	411	113	300
4TH							
El Paso	1592	2341	864	4797	2662	726	1409
Teller	26	32	17	75	43	0	32
TOTAL	1618	2373	881	4872	2705	726	1441
5TH							
Clear Creek	23	25	10	58	23	7	28
Eagle	41	51	12	104	43	7	54
Lake	56	68	26	150	75	0	75
Summit	67	45	10	122	63	32	27
TOTAL	187	189	58	434	204	46	184
6TH							
Archuleta	16	17	3	36	16	14	6
La Plata	95	107	84	286	190	0	96
San Juan	3	0	0	3	2	0	1
TOTAL	114	124	87	325	208	14	103
7TH							
Delta	39	97	6	142	84	5	53
Gunnison	14	21	6	41	31	0	10
Hinsdale	0	1	1	2	1	0	1
Montrose	81	105	25	211	104	25	82
Ouray	5	11	0	16	6	0	10
San Miguel	11	15	2	28	8	0	20
TOTAL	150	250	40	440	233	30	176
8TH							
Jackson	14	7	0	21	4	0	17
Larimer	158	592	98	848	535	115	198
TOTAL	172	599	98	869	539	115	215
9TH							
Garfield	114	83	61	258	81	38	139
Pitkin	45	19	5	69	12	1	56
Rio Blanco	8	10	10	28	14	0	14
TOTAL	167	112	76	355	107	39	209
10TH							
Pueblo	775	1608	905	3288	1431	1057	800
11TH							
Chaffee	59	94	30	183	82	44	57
Custer	3	5	2	10	7	0	3
Fremont	134	147	114	395	205	61	129
Park	23	29	10	62	23	0	39
TOTAL	219	275	156	650	317	105	228

TABLE XXI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH							
Alamosa	31	57	28	116	46	27	43
Conejos	29	35	13	77	33	10	34
Costilla	13	19	2	34	13	0	21
Mineral	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Rio Grande	47	104	44	195	89	56	50
Saguache	22	38	14	74	34	14	26
TOTAL	143	253	101	497	216	107	174
13TH							
Kit Carson	13	23	2	38	25	1	12
Logan	21	86	33	140	93	11	36
Morgan	57	209	81	347	238	0	109
Phillips	5	19	3	27	17	0	10
Sedgwick	10	5	1	16	8	0	8
Washington	24	13	6	43	20	0	23
Yuma	16	37	6	59	30	7	22
TOTAL	146	392	132	670	431	19	220
14TH							
Grand	61	67	11	139	87	14	38
Moffat	60	85	14	159	98	26	35
Routt	29	56	10	95	76	0	19
TOTAL	150	208	35	393	261	40	92
15TH							
Baca	6	10	0	16	7	0	9
Cheyenne	0	5	0	5	2	0	3
Kiowa	0	4	0	4	3	0	1
Prowers	85	66	7	158	76	16	66
TOTAL	91	85	7	183	88	16	79
16TH							
Bent	11	29	8	48	31	1	16
Crowley	6	8	1	15	8	3	4
Otero	123	266	106	495	327	51	117
TOTAL	140	303	115	558	366	55	137
17TH							
Adams	2121	1647	124	3892	1001	285	2606
18TH							
Arapahoe	964	1529	1649	4142	1439	1616	1087
Douglas	35	98	20	153	74	27	52
Elbert	21	30	22	73	30	19	24
Lincoln	19	23	11	53	34	8	11
TOTAL	1039	1680	1702	4421	1577	1670	1174
19TH							
Weld	1595	920	35	2550	399	91	2060
20TH							
Boulder	707	788	196	1691	396	323	972
21ST							
Mesa	315	800	541	1656	717	564	375
22ND							
Dolores	4	7	0	11	8	0	3
Montezuma	23	67	13	103	60	16	27
TOTAL	27	74	13	114	68	16	30
STATE TOTAL	19654	18703	6525	44882	15012	6771	23099

TABLE XXII. MENTAL HEALTH CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	182	160	72	414	179	72	163
TOTAL	182	160	72	414	179	72	163
2ND							
Denver Probate	323	633	329	1285	620	325	340
3RD							
Huerfano	5	10	1	16	12	2	2
Las Animas	3	36	5	44	34	5	5
TOTAL	8	46	6	60	46	7	7
4TH							
El Paso	204	541	68	813	655	0	158
Teller	2	0	0	2	2	0	0
TOTAL	206	541	68	815	657	0	158
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eagle	2	2	0	4	4	0	0
Lake	1	1	0	2	2	0	0
Summit	4	5	0	9	4	0	5
TOTAL	7	8	0	15	10	0	5
6TH							
Archuleta	9	3	0	12	0	0	12
La Plata	4	23	6	33	28	0	5
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	13	26	6	45	28	0	17
7TH							
Delta	1	7	0	8	7	0	1
Gunnison	0	3	1	4	3	0	1
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	4	29	11	44	18	10	16
Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5	39	12	56	28	10	18
8TH							
Jackson	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
Larimer	6	60	2	68	42	13	13
TOTAL	7	60	2	69	42	13	14
9TH							
Garfield	47	8	0	55	6	1	48
Pitkin	5	2	1	8	3	4	1
Rio Blanco	0	4	2	6	5	1	0
TOTAL	52	14	3	69	14	6	49
10TH							
Pueblo	182	530	68	780	495	60	225
11TH							
Chaffee	3	12	0	15	13	1	1
Custer	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Fremont	2	35	2	39	25	2	12
Park	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
TOTAL	6	48	2	56	40	3	13

TABLE XXII (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH							
Alamosa	8	33	2	43	33	3	7
Conejos	2	4	0	6	5	1	0
Costilla	1	5	0	6	6	0	0
Mineral	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
Rio Grande	3	11	1	15	8	2	5
Saguache	0	3	1	4	3	0	1
TOTAL	14	56	5	15	56	6	13
13TH							
Kit Carson	2	7	0	9	8	0	1
Logan	1	7	1	9	8	0	1
Morgan	3	35	2	40	24	0	16
Phillips	0	2	0	2	1	0	1
Sedgwick	1	1	0	2	2	0	0
Washington	2	1	0	3	0	0	3
Yuma	3	5	0	8	0	0	8
TOTAL	12	58	3	73	43	0	30
14TH							
Grand	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Moffat	0	9	0	9	7	0	2
Routt	1	2	0	3	1	0	2
TOTAL	1	12	0	13	9	0	4
15TH							
Baca	0	4	0	4	3	0	1
Cheyenne	2	4	0	6	4	0	2
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	0	14	1	15	12	0	3
TOTAL	2	22	1	25	19	0	6
16TH							
Bent	3	37	3	43	24	0	19
Crowley	1	4	0	5	5	0	0
Otero	7	28	0	35	29	0	6
TOTAL	11	69	3	83	58	0	25
17TH							
Adams	20	78	4	102	74	8	20
18TH							
Arapahoe	26	121	14	161	116	17	28
Douglas	3	5	1	9	7	1	1
Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	29	126	15	170	123	18	29
19TH							
Weld	7	25	0	32	24	2	6
20TH							
Boulder	193	94	5	292	50	0	242
21ST							
Mesa	14	64	5	83	58	4	21
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	1	3	0	4	1	0	3
TOTAL	1	3	0	4	1	0	3
STATE TOTAL	1295	2712	609	4616	2674	534	1408

TABLE XXIII. CRIMINAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST							
Gilpin	13	18	8	39	28	0	11
Jefferson	931	1204	307	2442	1018	440	984
TOTAL	944	1222	315	2481	1046	440	995
2ND							
Denver District	3411	2824	816	7051	1778	1203	4070
Denver Superior	210	89	4	303	74	1	228
TOTAL	3621	2913	820	7354	1852	1204	4298
3RD							
Huerfano	57	42	13	112	53	0	59
Las Animas	145	129	43	317	208	0	109
TOTAL	202	171	56	429	261	0	168
4TH							
El Paso	2340	2788	1350	6478	2815	1057	2606
Teller	65	65	17	147	62	7	78
TOTAL	2405	2853	1367	6625	2877	1064	2684
5TH							
Clear Creek	39	40	13	92	31	9	52
Eagle	85	153	32	270	83	33	154
Lake	51	76	41	168	74	0	94
Summit	99	84	16	199	55	48	96
TOTAL	274	353	102	729	243	90	396
6TH							
Archuleta	44	24	5	73	22	0	51
La Plata	164	91	116	371	191	0	180
San Juan	5	12	0	17	5	0	12
TOTAL	213	127	121	461	218	0	243
7TH							
Delta	23	56	5	84	43	9	32
Gunnison	21	40	13	74	38	0	36
Hinsdale	4	3	0	7	0	0	7
Montrose	50	59	9	118	55	10	53
Ouray	3	8	0	11	2	1	8
San Miguel	9	19	5	33	9	0	24
TOTAL	110	185	32	327	147	20	160
8TH							
Jackson	14	4	0	18	2	0	16
Larimer	1387	1065	250	2702	647	490	1565
TOTAL	1401	1069	250	2720	649	49	1581
9TH							
Garfield	151	152	33	336	123	29	184
Pitkin	123	126	12	261	85	8	168
Rio Blanco	8	24	13	45	24	0	21
TOTAL	282	302	58	642	232	37	373
10TH							
Pueblo	297	320	226	843	334	271	238
11TH							
Chaffee	82	59	17	158	59	17	82
Custer	3	5	1	9	6	0	3
Fremont	184	216	131	531	230	106	195
Park	20	20	1	41	16	0	25
TOTAL	289	300	150	739	311	123	305

TABLE XXIII (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH							
Alamosa	40	37	17	94	36	15	43
Conejos	7	22	2	31	12	0	19
Costilla	9	8	0	17	2	0	15
Mineral	2	0	0	2	2	0	0
Rio Grande	31	58	8	97	45	8	44
Saguache	8	17	7	32	14	8	10
TOTAL	97	142	34	273	111	31	131
13TH							
Kit Carson	12	14	0	26	16	0	10
Logan	44	64	7	115	49	7	59
Morgan	94	117	57	268	157	0	111
Phillips	4	10	3	17	7	0	10
Sedgwick	2	2	0	4	2	0	2
Washington	7	15	3	25	11	0	14
Yuma	5	6	4	15	9	0	6
TOTAL	168	228	74	47	251	7	212
14TH							
Grand	36	91	21	148	48	25	75
Moffat	53	94	8	155	95	9	51
Routt	71	65	10	146	58	10	78
TOTAL	160	250	39	449	201	44	204
15TH							
Baca	27	14	1	42	11	0	31
Cheyenne	15	3	3	21	2	4	15
Kiowa	3	6	1	10	4	0	6
Prowers	56	54	9	119	52	10	57
TOTAL	101	77	14	192	69	14	109
16TH							
Bent	16	33	6	55	29	3	23
Crowley	7	6	2	15	5	3	7
Otero	57	171	44	272	153	19	100
TOTAL	80	210	52	342	187	25	130
17TH							
Adams	675	706	264	1645	678	461	506
18TH							
Arapahoe	1653	1427	51	3131	1107	23	2001
Douglas	96	99	29	224	107	0	117
Elbert	5	15	0	20	11	1	8
Lincoln	17	21	4	42	19	17	6
TOTAL	1771	1562	84	3417	1244	41	2132
19TH							
Weld	1021	853	238	2112	762	318	1032
20TH							
Boulder	931	755	109	1795	438	277	1080
21ST							
Mesa	276	339	301	916	257	288	371
22ND							
Dolores	1	6	2	9	5	3	1
Montezuma	27	59	4	90	41	9	40
TOTAL	28	65	6	99	46	12	41
STATE TOTAL	15346	15002	4712	35060	12414	52570	17389

TABLE XXIV. TOTAL CASEFLOW IN THE DISTRICT COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST							
Gilpin	141	105	26	272	165	9	98
Jefferson	10998	11833	2702	25533	10278	3600	11655
TOTAL	11139	11938	2728	25805	10443	3609	11753
2ND							
Denver District	22358	21954	7013	51325	18112	8631	24582
Denver Superior	3707	3226	249	7182	2955	222	4005
Denver Juvenile	8124	4186	890	13200	2092	876	10232
Denver Probate	5840	2490	474	8804	1836	400	6568
TOTAL	40029	31856	8626	80511	24995	10129	45387
3RD							
Huerfano	337	312	56	705	321	14	370
Las Animas	1114	691	189	1994	1078	135	781
TOTAL	1451	1003	245	2699	1399	149	1151
4TH							
El Paso	12129	14447	4391	30967	13967	3869	13131
Teller	250	309	60	619	327	7	285
TOTAL	12379	14756	4451	31586	14294	3876	13416
5TH							
Clear Creek	245	272	41	558	191	57	310
Eagle	679	848	140	1667	657	158	852
Lake	255	439	118	812	389	27	396
Summit	808	660	77	1545	608	192	745
TOTAL	1987	2219	346	4582	1845	434	2303
6TH							
Archuleta	318	213	14	545	159	17	369
La Plata	1053	1142	506	2701	1515	5	1181
San Juan	31	60	1	92	33	2	57
TOTAL	1402	1415	521	3338	1707	24	1607
7TH							
Delta	455	744	34	1233	610	59	564
Gunnison	316	432	32	780	386	10	384
Hinsdale	40	16	10	66	19	9	38
Montrose	597	824	114	1535	696	133	706
Ouray	65	70	3	138	58	3	77
San Miguel	297	130	23	450	94	26	330
TOTAL	1770	2216	216	4202	1863	240	2099
8TH							
Jackson	89	53	2	144	26	6	112
Larimer	4525	5287	989	10801	4388	1248	5165
TOTAL	4614	534	991	10945	4414	12540	5277
9TH							
Garfield	1430	928	267	2625	1080	68	1477
Pitkin	1183	728	43	1954	504	119	1331
Rio Blanco	202	204	58	464	178	18	268
TOTAL	2815	1860	368	5043	1762	205	3076
10TH							
Pueblo	3546	5387	2346	11279	5134	2389	3756
11TH							
Chaffee	486	545	126	1157	545	114	498
Custer	50	47	21	118	56	2	60
Fremont	994	1315	491	2800	1448	342	1010
Park	232	299	45	576	276	3	297
TOTAL	1762	2206	683	4651	2325	461	1865

TABLE XXIV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	POST JUDGMENT ACTIONS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	POST JUDGMENT TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH							
Alamosa	299	457	144	900	435	124	341
Conejos	132	163	34	329	136	37	156
Costilla	85	100	10	195	95	6	94
Mineral	22	18	1	41	18	2	21
Rio Grande	261	449	73	783	389	78	316
Saguache	131	160	43	334	142	43	149
TOTAL	930	1347	305	2582	1215	290	1077
13TH							
Kit Carson	162	219	10	391	248	4	139
Logan	453	563	115	1131	623	30	478
Morgan	593	950	239	1782	1042	4	736
Phillips	129	120	14	263	110	0	153
Sedgwick	66	59	17	142	68	0	74
Washington	183	182	17	382	127	8	247
Yuma	479	234	47	760	238	10	512
TOTAL	2065	2327	4590	4851	2456	56	2339
14TH							
Grand	350	406	51	807	379	98	330
Moffat	498	622	70	1190	579	100	511
Routt	589	610	43	1242	607	39	596
TOTAL	1437	1638	164	3239	15650	237	1437
15TH							
Baca	345	129	9	483	130	9	344
Cheyenne	99	66	5	170	50	13	107
Kiowa	80	79	3	162	61	8	93
Prowers	486	453	41	980	445	40	495
TOTAL	1010	727	58	1795	686	70	1039
16TH							
Bent	141	196	28	365	180	4	181
Crowley	84	63	5	152	72	10	70
Otero	593	963	212	1768	1022	94	652
TOTAL	818	1222	245	2285	1274	108	903
17TH							
Adams	7228	9223	1928	18379	7556	2693	8130
18TH							
Arapahoe	8535	9727	2083	20345	8156	2268	9921
Douglas	757	735	120	1612	679	96	837
Elbert	169	190	41	400	144	71	185
Lincoln	114	133	26	273	136	37	100
TOTAL	9575	10785	227	2263	9115	2472	1043
19TH							
Weld	6058	4532	640	1123	3961	1248	6021
20TH							
Boulder	7037	6508	1136	14681	5289	2315	7077
21ST							
Mesa	2366	3695	2016	8077	3234	2050	2793
22ND							
Dolores	35	64	4	103	58	6	39
Montezuma	476	733	.58	1267	646	156	465
TOTAL	511	797	62	1370	704	162	504
STATE TOTAL	121929	122997	30834	275760	107236	34471	134053

TABLE XXV. DOMESTIC RELATIONS FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1980-81.*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARATION	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE	CHILD CUSTODY[a]	RECIPROCAL NON-SUPPORT[b] INTER-STATE	INTRA-STATE	MISC.[c]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	11	0	0	1	8	1	5	26
Jefferson	3248	81	3	32	676	70	30	4140
TOTAL	3259	81	3	33	684	71	35	4166
2ND								
Denver Dist.	6344	47	7	38	967	78	43	7524
3RD								
Huerfano	47	1	0	0	26	18	0	92
Las Animas	88	1	2	0	30	21	3	145
TOTAL	135	2	2	0	56	39	3	237
4TH								
El Paso	3219	87	12	30	1268	45	0	4661
Teller	31	1	0	2	28	1	1	64
TOTAL	3250	88	12	32	1296	46	1	4725
5TH								
Clear Creek	62	0	0	1	21	0	0	93
Eagle	101	4	0	1	32	7	1	146
Lake	93	3	0	1	34	23	2	156
Summit	88	3	0	1	27	4	5	128
TOTAL	344	10	0	4	114	34	17	523
6TH								
Archuleta	31	0	0	0	12	1	4	48
La Plata	261	10	2	4	87	11	4	379
San Juan	8	0	1	0	1	2	0	12
TOTAL	300	10	3	4	100	14	8	439
7TH								
Delta	199	5	1	8	89	23	0	325
Gunnison	71	1	1	0	23	4	0	100
Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Montrose	261	2	1	0	50	15	4	333
Ouray	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	9
San Miguel	28	1	0	0	2	2	4	37
TOTAL	568	9	3	8	166	44	8	806
8TH								
Jackson	10	1	0	0	3	0	0	14
Larimer	1248	14	4	13	334	46	17	1676
TOTAL	1258	15	4	13	337	46	17	1690
9TH								
Garfield	240	0	3	5	26	9	8	291
Pitkin	101	1	1	1	7	3	15	129
Rio Blanco	54	1	2	0	15	8	8	88
TOTAL	395	2	6	6	48	20	31	508
10TH								
Pueblo	1081	21	5	16	171	27	0	1321
11TH								
Chaffee	137	3	0	4	39	26	0	209
Custer	3	1	0	0	1	1	2	8
Fremont	280	4	3	4	110	64	4	469
Park	21	1	0	0	13	8	8	51
TOTAL	441	9	3	8	163	99	14	737

* See page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE	LEGAL SEPARATION	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE	CHILD CUSTODY[a]	RECIPROCAL NON-SUPPORT[b] INTER-STATE	INTRA-STATE	MISC.[c]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	143	1	0	0	21	3	1	169
Conejos	16	1	0	1	8	3	0	29
Costilla	7	1	0	1	3	5	3	20
Mineral	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	5
Rio Grande	88	0	0	0	43	10	2	143
Saguache	24	0	0	0	14	2	0	40
TOTAL	281	4	0	2	89	24	6	406
13TH								
Kit Carson	46	0	0	0	6	3	0	55
Logan	139	1	1	0	35	10	0	186
Morgan	195	4	2	1	51	10	6	269
Phillips	26	2	0	2	10	6	0	46
Sedgwick	15	0	0	0	4	0	1	20
Washington	43	3	1	0	6	1	0	54
Yuma	51	0	0	0	18	8	1	78
TOTAL	515	10	4	3	130	38	8	708
14TH								
Grand	72	1	0	1	17	2	2	95
Moffat	165	3	1	1	38	7	1	216
Routt	117	4	0	3	35	9	2	170
TOTAL	354	8	1	5	90	18	5	481
15TH								
Baca	10	0	0	0	4	1	0	15
Cheyenne	10	1	0	1	1	0	3	16
Kiowa	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
Prowers	88	0	2	1	22	11	0	124
TOTAL	118	1	2	2	28	12	3	166
16TH								
Bent	19	0	0	1	12	1	0	33
Crowley	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	9
Otero	181	5	3	1	43	10	4	247
TOTAL	207	5	3	2	57	11	4	289
17TH								
Adams	2059	25	9	18	847	91	74	3123
18TH								
Arapahoe	2126	58	12	3	667	21	24	2911
Douglas	142	2	1	3	27	5	0	180
Elbert	29	1	0	0	7	0	5	42
Lincoln	27	0	0	0	2	0	3	32
TOTAL	2324	61	13	6	703	26	32	3165
19TH								
Weld	809	9	7	10	209	47	132	1223
20TH								
Boulder	1729	36	6	29	323	44	102	2269
21ST								
Mesa	944	5	0	4	291	96	3	1343
22ND								
Dolores	12	0	0	0	5	1	1	19
Montezuma	178	3	5	0	73	8	2	269
TOTAL	190	3	5	0	78	9	3	288
STATE TOTAL	26905	461	93	248	6947	934	549	36137
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	74.4	1.3	.3	.7	19.2	2.6	1.5	100

TABLE XXVI. CIVIL CASE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT & COUNTY	NEGLIENCE -PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE[a]	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH	REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY[b]	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT.[c]	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC.[d]	TOTAL[e]
1ST										
Gilpin	1	1	1	0	19	0	8	0	15	45
Jefferson	165	194	123	11	647	42	2286	26	398	3892
TOTAL	166	195	124	11	666	42	2294	26	413	3937
2ND										
Denver	679	550	474	45	2701	150	5563	0	1444	11606
Denver Superior	1	9	271	0	20	3	2774	33	26	3137
TOTAL	680	559	745	45	2721	153	8337	33	1470	14743
3RD										
Huerfano	0	0	5	0	39	1	34	0	7	86
Las Animas	3	3	6	1	42	1	112	1	27	196
TOTAL	3	3	11	1	81	2	146	1	34	282
4TH										
El Paso	195	140	131	13	669	18	1846	10	419	3441
Teller	2	6	2	1	68	1	22	0	14	116
TOTAL	197	146	133	14	737	19	1868	10	433	3557
5TH										
Clear Creek	0	6	0	1	35	0	31	0	26	99
Eagle	6	6	9	1	185	4	183	0	77	471
Lake	1	11	6	0	43	3	29	0	23	116
Summit	9	8	7	2	86	3	179	3	83	380
TOTAL	16	31	22	4	349	10	422	3	209	1066
6TH										
Archuleta	0	1	0	0	28	0	49	1	19	98
La Plata	19	16	14	0	115	2	209	2	67	444
San Juan	0	0	0	0	17	0	9	0	7	33
TOTAL	19	17	14	0	160	2	267	3	93	575
7TH										
Delta	2	2	8	1	42	0	89	0	18	162
Gunnison	2	5	4	1	80	0	120	0	23	235
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	0	7
Montrose	6	2	0	0	32	3	149	1	29	222
Ouray	0	0	3	0	12	2	7	1	8	34
San Miguel	0	0	0	1	9	1	23	0	18	52
TOTAL	10	10	15	3	179	6	391	2	96	712
8TH										
Jackson	0	0	0	0	7	1	4	0	9	21
Larimer	29	42	20	4	377	15	849	9	239	1584
TOTAL	29	42	20	4	384	16	853	9	248	1605
9TH										
Garfield	5	5	12	3	78	1	184	1	48	337
Pitkin	10	19	7	1	79	3	191	1	94	405
Rio Blanco	1	1	1	1	12	1	23	0	9	49
TOTAL	16	25	20	5	169	5	398	2	151	791
10TH										
Pueblo	37	82	48	6	298	13	619	7	132	1242
11TH										
Chaffee	3	6	0	0	36	1	37	0	46	129
Custer	1	1	0	0	18	0	7	0	0	27
Fremont	7	4	3	1	60	3	149	1	128	356
Park		2	0	1	1	88	5	31	1	42
TOTAL	13	11	4	2	202	9	224	2	216	683

* See page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT & COUNTY	NEGLIENCE -PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE[a]	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH	REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY[b]	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT.[c]	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC.[d]	TOTAL[e]
12TH										
Alamosa	2	0	3	0	23	1	83	0	20	132
Conejos	1	1	1	0	11	0	19	0	5	38
Costilla	0	1	0	0	13	1	12	0	3	30
Mineral	0	1	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	9
Rio Grande	3	4	4	0	24	0	59	0	6	100
Saguache	0	1	2	0	16	0	13	0	13	45
TOTAL	6	8	10	0	92	2	189	0	47	354
13TH										
Kit Carson	0	1	5	0	18	1	40	0	13	78
Logan	4	3	6	0	25	0	70	1	25	134
Morgan	6	8	12	0	45	9	134	1	28	243
Phillips	2	0	0	1	3	0	8	0	1	15
Sedgwick	0	1	1	0	5	1	7	0	1	16
Washington	3	0	0	0	5	1	33	0	7	49
Yuma	0	0	3	0	17	0	37	1	6	64
TOTAL	15	13	27	1	118	12	329	3	81	599
14TH										
Grand	0	3	1	0	54	2	57	0	10	127
Moffat	0	0	1	0	36	7	103	0	17	164
Routt	8	2	11	1	77	6	122	1	32	260
TOTAL	8	5	13	1	167	15	282	1	59	551
15TH										
Baca	0	2	0	0	25	0	17	0	8	52
Cheyenne	2	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	7	16
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	11	1	11	0	4	27
Prowers	1	9	8	0	29	0	60	0	22	129
TOTAL	3	11	8	0	68	1	92	0	41	224
16TH										
Bent		3	0	0	0	10	1	17	0	6
Crowley	0	0	0	0	3	0	10	0	2	15
Otero	2	2	6	2	37	3	108	0	12	172
TOTAL	5	2	6	2	50	4	135	0	20	224
17TH										
Adams	119	129	127	8	512	16	1272	6	1107	3296
18TH										
Arapahoe	179	93	149	17	652	11	1632	4	521	3258
Douglas	9	7	11	2	96	10	136	0	44	315
Elbert	0	1	0	0	37	0	34	1	8	81
Lincoln	1	0	1	0	7	0	9	0	5	23
TOTAL	189	101	161	19	792	21	1811	5	578	3677
19TH										
Weld	41	39	53	7	247	13	633	4	151	1188
20TH										
Boulder	128	112	82	11	420	61	1067	23	305	2209
21ST										
Mesa	14	11	24	3	161	1	618	7	76	915
22ND										
Dolores	0	0	1	0	13	0	9	0	2	25
Montezuma	3	1	4	0	98	0	139	0	23	268
TOTAL	3	1	5	0	111	0	148	0	25	293
STATE TOTAL	1717	1553	1672	147	8684	423	22395	147	5985	42723
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	4.0	3.6	3.9	.4	20.3	1.0	52.4	.4	14.0	100

TABLE XXVII. PROBATE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS[a]	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC.[b]	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	2	3	2	3	0	1	11
Jefferson	101	340	66	122	173	8	810
TOTAL	103	343	68	125	173	9	821
2ND							
Denver	291	975	109	210	1	271	1857
3RD							
Huerfano	16	5	0	2	0	2	25
Las Animas	1	3	4	6	0	3	37
TOTAL	27	18	4	8	0	5	62
4TH							
El Paso	88	400	12	106	24	45	675
Teller	8	17	2	2	0	3	32
TOTAL	96	417	14	108	24	48	707
5TH							
Clear Creek	4	3	4	0	0	4	15
Eagle	6	10	1	4	1	3	25
Lake	2	10	5	0	5	0	22
Summit	1	11	4	1	0	1	18
TOTAL	13	34	14	5	6	8	80
6TH							
Archuleta	6	11	2	0	0	4	23
La Plata	22	49	6	11	4	6	98
San Juan	1	1	0	1	0	0	3
TOTAL	29	61	8	12	4	10	124
7TH							
Delta	20	65	2	6	1	3	97
Gunnison	5	20	0	3	0	5	3
Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
Montrose	17	34	5	7	2	11	76
Ouray	1	6	0	1	0	0	8
San Miguel	1	1	0	0	1	4	7
TOTAL	44	127	7	17	4	25	224
8TH							
Jackson	2	5	0	0	0	0	7
Larimer	44	168	16	32	7	43	310
TOTAL	46	173	16	32	7	43	317
9TH							
Garfield	22	24	4	1	2	4	57
Pitkin	13	11	6	3	0	13	46
Rio Blanco	6	18	0	4	0	1	29
TOTAL	41	53	10	8	2	18	132
10TH							
Pueblo	75	207	30	44	3	7	366
11TH							
Chaffee	11	27	0	2	1	1	42
Custer	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Fremont	21	55	2	11	0	3	92
Park	3	11	3	0	0	11	28
TOTAL	35	93	5	13	1	16	163

*See page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXVII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS[a]	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC.[b]	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	6	17	2	2	0	2	29
Conejos	8	7	1	1	17	1	35
Costilla	6	4	0	0	0	8	18
Mineral	0	3	0	1	0	0	4
Rio Grande	11	11	3	1	0	7	33
Saguache	5	12	0	0	0	0	17
TOTAL	36	54	6	5	17	18	136
13TH							
Kit Carson	9	12	0	0	4	17	42
Logan	24	45	1	8	0	8	86
Morgan	7	50	10	3	2	5	77
Phillips	5	18	0	4	0	1	28
Sedgwick	3	9	0	1	0	2	15
Washington	13	33	0	1	0	3	50
Yuma	11	26	0	0	0	7	44
TOTAL	72	193	11	17	6	43	342
14TH							
Grand	1	1	0	3	0	20	25
Moffat	15	33	1	1	0	4	54
Routt	14	19	5	6	0	13	57
TOTAL	30	53	6	10	0	37	136
15TH							
Baca	8	20	0	1	0	5	34
Cheyenne	4	4	0	2	0	12	22
Kiowa	10	17	0	0	0	4	31
Prowers	18	32	7	4	0	5	66
TOTAL	40	73	7	7	0	26	153
16TH							
Bent	6	13	1	3	0	4	27
Crowley	6	7	2	0	0	6	21
Otero	19	32	3	14	0	11	79
TOTAL	31	52	6	17	0	21	127
17TH							
Adams	90	163	36	44	18	22	373
18TH							
Arapahoe	89	269	21	70	12	20	481
Douglas	6	15	2	5	8	2	38
Elbert	5	10	0	1	0	6	22
Lincoln	5	11	2	1	13	2	34
TOTAL	105	305	25	77	33	30	575
19TH							
Weld	48	176	15	30	18	36	323
20TH							
Boulder	66	229	21	51	0	26	393
21ST							
Mesa	63	133	9	26	0	3	234
22ND							
Dolores	2	5	0	0	0	0	7
Montezuma	23	33	4	5	2	0	67
TOTAL	25	38	4	5	2	0	74
STATE TOTAL	1406	3970	431	871	319	722	7719
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	18.2	51.4	5.6	11.3	4.1	9.4	100

TABLE XXVIII. JUVENILE CASE FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE[a] - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COURT	DELIN-QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETI-TIONS[b]	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS[c]	RELINQUISH-MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT[d]	MISC.[e]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
Jefferson	900	1	109	55	251	205	106	1627
TOTAL	902	1	110	55	251	205	108	1632
2ND								
Denver	1088	0	478	0	1	1022	1597	4186
3RD								
Huerfano	13	7	4	0	2	26	5	57
Las Animas	39	0	12	4	14	61	18	148
TOTAL	52	7	16	4	16	87	23	205
4TH								
El Paso	682	0	513	95	298	593	160	2341
Teller	12	0	13	0	3	4	0	32
TOTAL	694	0	526	95	301	597	160	2373
5TH								
Clear Creek	8	3	5	0	6	2	1	25
Eagle	17	0	17	2	3	1	11	51
Lake	39	2	1	0	9	9	8	68
Summit	30	2	3	4	5	1	0	45
TOTAL	94	7	26	6	23	13	20	189
6TH								
Archuleta	2	0	1	0	1	2	11	17
La Plata	29	12	13	8	20	14	11	107
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	31	12	14	8	21	16	22	124
7TH								
Delta	30	0	3	5	23	32	4	97
Gunnison	5	0	8	2	5	1	0	21
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Montrose	38	1	28	4	17	12	5	105
Ouray	2	0	5	0	3	0	1	11
San Miguel	5	0	4	0	0	5	1	15
TOTAL	80	1	48	11	48	50	12	250
8TH								
Jackson	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	7
Larimer	250	0	61	13	105	137	26	592
TOTAL	254	0	61	13	108	137	26	599
9TH								
Garfield	32	1	10	7	15	3	5	83
Pitkin	9	0	6	0	2	0	2	19
Rio Blanco	5	0	1	0	3	0	1	10
TOTAL	46	1	17	7	20	13	8	112
10TH								
Pueblo	605	0	181	48	88	506	180	1608
11TH								
Chaffee	32	0	22	0	9	23	8	94
Custer	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Fremont	44	0	35	5	15	39	9	147
Park	12	0	9	0	4	0	4	29
TOTAL	89	0	66	6	29	63	22	275

* See page a65 for footnotes.

TABLE XXVIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COURT	DELIN-QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETI-TIONS[b]	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS[c]	RELINQUISH-MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT[d]	MISC.[e]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	24	0	9	1	6	5	12	57
Conejos	13	0	2	3	3	9	5	35
Costilla	3	0	1	1	3	9	2	19
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	30	0	14	1	6	26	27	104
Saguache	16	1	3	0	2	15	1	38
TOTAL	86	1	29	6	20	64	47	253
13TH								
Kit Carson	2	0	6	2	6	4	3	23
Logan	16	0	8	2	17	14	29	86
Morgan	22	0	40	2	21	101	23	209
Phillips	2	0	10	0	5	1	1	19
Sedgwick	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
Washington	3	0	2	0	5	3	0	13
Yuma	1	0	9	1	14	0	12	37
TOTAL	46	0	79	7	69	123	68	392
14TH								
Grand	54	0	3	0	6	0	4	67
Moffat	24	0	35	7	13	2	4	85
Routt	29	1	6	1	11	0	8	56
TOTAL	107	1	44	8	30	2	16	208
15TH								
Baca	3	0	0	0	6	1	0	10
Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	5
Kiowa	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	4
Prowers	15	0	9	2	16	23	1	66
TOTAL	20	0	9	2	27	26	1	85
16TH								
Bent	1	2	3	1	2	19	1	29
Crowley	3	0	4	0	1	0	0	8
Otero	73	0	20	4	31	89	49	266
TOTAL	77	2	27	5	34	108	50	303
17TH								
Adams	582	2	257	44	223	500	39	1647
18TH								
Arapahoe	601	0	210	33	228	362	95	1529
Douglas	42	0	42	0	16	11	4	98
Elbert	9	0	2	0	7	12	0	30
Lincoln	3	0	5	0	3	11	1	23
TOTAL	655	0	242	33	254	396	100	1680
19TH								
Weld	462	1	130	19	99	200	9	920
20TH								
Boulder	438	0	72	19	130	50	79	788
21ST								
Mesa	479	12	91	17	88	90	23	800
22ND								
Dolores	1	0	2	0	3	1	0	7
Montezuma	13	2	10	1	19	19	3	67
TOTAL	14	2	12	1	22	20	3	74
STATE TOTAL	6901	50	2535	414	1902	4288	2613	18703
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	36.9	.3	13.5	2.2	10.2	22.9	14.0	100

TABLE XXIX. MENTAL HEALTH FILINGS BY TYPE OF CASE - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	------MENTAL ILLNESS------ EVALUATION[a]	SHORT TERM[b]	LONG TERM[c]	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS[d]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[e]	MISC.[f]	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	35	70	0	24	28	3	160
TOTAL	35	70	0	24	28	3	160
2ND							
Denver	133	436	1	0	53	10	633
3RD							
Huerfano	3	4	0	0	2	1	10
Las Animas	7	26	0	0	0	3	36
TOTAL	10	30	0	0	2	4	46
4TH							
El Paso	397	23	1	0	102	18	541
Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	397	23	1	0	102	18	541
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eagle	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Lake	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Summit	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
TOTAL	0	7	0	0	1	0	8
6TH							
Archuleta	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
La Plata	5	11	0	0	7	0	23
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	7	11	0	0	8	0	26
7TH							
Delta	4	3	0	0	0	0	7
Gunnison	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	0	23	0	0	5	1	29
Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	28	0	0	6	1	39
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	6	14	0	2	25	13	60
TOTAL	6	14	0	2	25	13	60
9TH							
Garfield	1	5	0	0	0	2	8
Pitkin	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Rio Blanco	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
TOTAL	2	8	0	0	0	4	14
10TH							
Pueblo	73	378	2	0	60	17	530
11TH							
Chaffee	0	11	0	1	0	0	12
Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Fremont	0	34	0	0	1	0	35
Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	46	0	1	1	0	48

* See page a66 for footnotes.

TABLE XXIX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	------MENTAL ILLNESS------ EVALUATION[a]	SHORT TERM[b]	LONG TERM[c]	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS[d]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[e]	MISC.[f]	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	6	23	0	0	4	0	33
Conejos	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Costilla	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	1	8	0	0	2	0	11
Saguache	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	7	43	0	0	6	0	56
13TH							
Kit Carson	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
Logan	6	0	0	0	0	1	7
Morgan	28	1	0	0	6	0	35
Phillips	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Washington	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Yuma	4	0	0	0	0	1	5
TOTAL	41	9	0	0	6	2	58
14TH							
Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Moffat	2	7	0	0	0	0	9
Routt	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	3	9	0	0	0	0	12
15TH							
Baca	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
Cheyenne	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	6	7	0	0	1	0	14
TOTAL	9	12	0	0	1	0	22
16TH							
Bent	0	36	1	0	0	0	37
Crowley	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Otero	2	25	0	0	1	0	28
TOTAL	2	65	1	0	1	0	69
17TH							
Adams	20	43	0	1	10	4	78
18TH							
Arapahoe	111	1	0	0	7	2	121
Douglas	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	111	5	0	0	7	3	126
19TH							
Weld	0	25	0	0	0	0	25
20TH							
Boulder	13	68	1	6	6	0	94
21ST							
Mesa	20	31	0	1	12	0	64
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
STATE TOTAL	894	1363	6	35	335	79	2712
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	33.0	50.2	.2	1.3	12.3	3.0	100

TABLE XXX. CRIMINAL OFFENSE FILINGS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC.[e] OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
1ST							
Gilpin	2	5	0	0	3	6	16
Jefferson	141	576	58	19	119	253	1166
TOTAL	143	581	58	19	122	259	1182
2ND							
Denver	367	1349	271	3	340	156	2486
3RD							
Huerfano	9	12	2	0	3	8	34
Las Animas	31	53	13	1	0	9	107
TOTAL	40	65	15	1	3	17	141
4TH							
El Paso	500	1426	250	33	179	297	2685
Teller	13	42	0	4	1	2	62
TOTAL	513	1468	250	37	180	299	2747
5TH							
Clear Creek	10	22	0	1	1	6	40
Eagle	21	52	13	7	32	6	131
Lake	6	38	6	4	3	14	71
Summit	14	31	1	12	7	16	81
TOTAL	51	143	20	24	43	42	323
6TH							
Archuleta	4	3	0	0	2	10	19
La Plata	17	37	7	5	4	18	88
San Juan	1	1	0	0	0	7	9
TOTAL	22	41	7	5	6	35	116
7TH							
Delta	5	18	1	9	6	15	54
Gunnison	10	12	7	1	5	3	38
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Montrose	2	14	3	1	1	34	55
Ouray	1	5	0	0	1	0	7
San Miguel	2	8	0	0	6	3	19
TOTAL	20	57	11	11	19	58	176
8TH							
Jackson	0	2	0	0	1	1	4
Larimer	153	541	83	13	71	152	1013
TOTAL	153	543	83	13	72	153	1017
9TH							
Garfield	29	56	19	19	13	7	143
Pitkin	18	49	7	6	20	20	120
Rio Blanco	4	2	0	2	2	5	15
TOTAL	51	107	26	27	35	32	278
10TH							
Pueblo	48	129	13	2	22	94	308
11TH							
Chaffee	12	22	4	11	3	6	58
Custer	2	1	2	0	0	0	5
Fremont	38	65	30	38	4	26	201
Park	4	7	0	0	0	9	20
TOTAL	56	95	36	49	7	41	284

* See page a66 for footnotes.

TABLE XXX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC.[e] OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS
12TH							
Alamosa	6	25	3	1	0	1	36
Conejos	6	10	0	1	0	4	21
Costilla	3	4	0	0	0	0	7
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	5	15	5	0	2	28	55
Saguache	4	8	4	0	0	2	18
TOTAL	24	62	12	2	2	35	137
13TH							
Kit Carson	3	6	0	0	2	1	12
Logan	7	18	9	1	1	15	51
Morgan	22	49	8	1	11	12	103
Phillips	0	6	0	1	0	2	9
Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
Washington	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
Yuma	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	33	94	17	3	14	31	192
14TH							
Grand	12	21	3	4	12	28	80
Moffat	14	21	2	0	1	31	69
Routt	5	18	1	0	29	7	60
TOTAL	31	60	6	4	42	66	209
15TH							
Baca	3	9	0	0	0	2	14
Cheyenne	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
Kiowa	0	2	2	0	0	1	5
Prowers	9	14	7	2	15	5	52
TOTAL	13	26	10	2	15	8	74
16TH							
Bent	7	20	2	1	0	0	30
Crowley	2	1	2	0	0	1	6
Otero	33	81	22	3	6	14	159
TOTAL	42	102	26	4	6	15	195
17TH							
Adams	139	297	38	14	40	128	656
18TH							
Arapahoe	160	694	131	10	116	249	1360
Douglas	24	35	8	1	1	19	88
Elbert	4	9	0	0	0	0	13
Lincoln	3	5	0	1	0	9	18
TOTAL	191	743	139	12	117	277	1479
19TH							
Weld	205	386	81	8	32	99	811
20TH							
Boulder	133	344	36	9	100	42	664
21ST							
Mesa	57	194	13	17	25	24	330
22ND							
Dolores	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
Montezuma	13	27	4	0	5	8	57
TOTAL	16	30	4	0	5	8	63
STATE TOTAL	2348	6916	1172	266	1247	1919	13868
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	16.9	49.9	8.5	1.9	9.0	13.8	100

TABLE XXXI. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE FILINGS PLUS TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS - FY 1980-1981*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE FILINGS[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
1ST				
Gilpin	16	2	0	18
Jefferson	1166	38	0	1204
TOTAL	1182	40	0	1222
2ND				
Denver	2486	0	338	2824
Denver Superior	0	89	0	89
TOTAL	2486	89	338	2913
3RD				
Huerfano	34	7	1	42
Las Animas	107	5	17	129
TOTAL	141	12	18	171
4TH				
El Paso	2685	22	81	2788
Teller	62	1	2	65
TOTAL	2747	23	83	2853
5TH				
Clear Creek	40	0	0	40
Eagle	131	2	20	153
Lake	71	3	2	76
Summit	81	2	1	84
TOTAL	323	7	23	353
6TH				
Archuleta	19	0	5	24
La Plata	88	1	2	91
San Juan	9	0	3	12
TOTAL	116	1	10	127
7TH				
Delta	54	1	1	56
Gunnison	38	0	2	40
Hinsdale	3	0	0	3
Montrose	55	0	3	58
Ouray	7	1	0	8
San Miguel	19	0	0	19
TOTAL	176	2	6	184
8TH				
Jackson	4	0	0	4
Larimer	1013	15	37	1065
TOTAL	1017	15	37	1069
9TH				
Garfield	143	8	1	152
Pitkin	120	4	2	126
Rio Blanco	15	5	4	24
TOTAL	278	17	7	302
10TH				
Pueblo	308	12	0	320
11TH				
Chaffee	58	0	1	59
Custer	5	0	0	5
Fremont	201	4	11	216
Park	20	0	0	20
TOTAL	284	4	12	300

* See page a66 for footnotes.

TABLE XXXI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE FILINGS	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE FILINGS[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL FILINGS
12TH				
Alamosa	36	1	0	37
Conejos	21	1	0	22
Costilla	7	0	1	8
Mineral	0	0	0	0
Rio Grande	55	0	3	58
Saguache	18	0	0	18
TOTAL	137	2	4	143
13TH				
Kit Carson	12	0	2	14
Logan	51	6	7	64
Morgan	103	2	12	117
Phillips	9	0	1	10
Sedgwick	2	0	0	2
Washington	12	2	1	15
Yuma	3	0	3	6
TOTAL	192	10	26	228
14TH				
Grand	80	0	11	91
Moffat	69	13	12	94
Routt	60	2	3	65
TOTAL	209	15	26	250
15TH				
Baca	14	0	0	14
Cheyenne	3	0	0	3
Kiowa	5	1	0	6
Prowers	52	0	2	54
TOTAL	74	1	2	77
16TH				
Bent	30	0	3	33
Crowley	6	0	0	6
Otero	159	1	11	171
TOTAL	195	1	14	10
17TH				
Adams	656	41	9	706
18TH				
Arapahoe	1360	57	10	1427
Douglas	88	7	4	99
Elbert	13	2	0	15
Lincoln	18	0	3	21
TOTAL	1479	66	17	1562
19TH				
Weld	811	28	14	853
20TH				
Boulder	664	79	12	755
21ST				
Mesa	330	9	0	339
22ND				
Dolores	6	0	0	6
Montezuma	57	1	1	59
TOTAL	63	1	1	65
STATE TOTAL	13868	475	659	15002
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	92.4	3.2	4.4	100

TABLE XXXII. DOMESTIC RELATIONS TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE[a]	LEGAL SEPARATION[b]	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE[c]	CHILD CUSTODY[d]	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT[e] INTRA-STATE	MISC.[f]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	15	0	0	0	10	2	15	42
Jefferson	2793	53	3	14	674	68	20	3625
TOTAL	2808	53	3	14	684	70	35	3667
2ND								
Denver	5046	61	8	17	850	58	18	6058
3RD								
Huerfano	35	1	1	0	26	16	14	93
Las Animas	95	2	1	1	35	22	41	197
TOTAL	130	3	2	1	61	38	55	290
4TH								
El Paso	3104	73	10	23	1307	53	232	4802
Teller	40	1	0	1	18	1	11	72
TOTAL	3144	74	10	24	1325	54	243	4874
5TH								
Clear Creek	56	1	0	3	17	1	1	79
Eagle	91	1	0	0	33	6	0	131
Lake	84	2	1	1	19	19	10	136
Summit	84	0	0	0	25	3	1	113
TOTAL	315	4	1	4	94	29	12	459
6TH								
Archuleta	16	0	0	0	12	0	7	35
La Plata	245	7	0	2	81	11	166	512
San Juan	6	0	0	0	1	2	0	9
TOTAL	267	7	0	2	94	13	173	556
7TH								
Delta	186	3	1	2	85	20	2	299
Gunnison	75	1	1	0	22	3	1	103
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Montrose	236	0	1	0	42	12	2	293
Ouray	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	11
San Miguel	13	0	0	0	5	0	0	18
TOTAL	520	4	3	2	155	36	5	725
8TH								
Jackson	7	0	0	0	3	0	0	10
Larimer	1178	29	1	8	283	35	7	1541
TOTAL	1185	29	1	8	286	35	7	1551
9TH								
Garfield	239	1	3	2	27	11	36	319
Pitkin	114	2	0	0	14	2	0	132
Rio Blanco	47	0	2	0	10	5	6	70
TOTAL	400	3	5	2	51	18	42	521
10TH								
Pueblo	1004	10	6	18	169	33	4	1244
11TH								
Chaffee	138	4	2	1	35	23	0	203
Custer	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	7
Fremont	321	4	4	5	111	61	1	507
Park	22	0	0	0	8	9	16	55
TOTAL	485	9	6	6	155	94	17	772

* See Domestic Relations filings footnotes (page 65).

TABLE XXXII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE[a]	LEGAL SEPARATION[b]	INVALIDITY OF MARRIAGE[c]	CHILD CUSTODY[d]	RECIPROCAL INTER-STATE	NON-SUPPORT[e] INTRA-STATE	MISC.[f]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	146	0	1	0	20	2	1	170
Conejos	14	0	0	2	7	2	0	25
Costilla	11	1	0	0	4	3	3	22
Mineral	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Rio Grande	52	0	0	1	44	10	15	122
Saguache	14	0	0	0	14	5	0	33
TOTAL	240	1	1	3	89	23	19	376
13TH								
Kit Carson	47	1	0	0	5	2	0	55
Logan	139	0	0	1	24	1	63	228
Morgan	189	3	3	0	36	7	85	323
Phillips	21	2	0	0	11	5	10	49
Sedgwick	12	0	0	0	4	0	3	19
Washington	27	2	1	0	4	1	0	35
Yuma	40	0	0	0	5	4	32	81
TOTAL	475	8	4	1	89	20	193	790
14TH								
Grand	71	1	0	2	12	5	5	96
Moffat	136	7	1	4	28	9	1	186
Routt	114	3	0	4	21	10	2	154
TOTAL	321	11	1	10	61	24	8	436
15TH								
Baca	14	0	0	0	6	1	0	21
Cheyenne	5	0	0	1	1	0	3	10
Kiowa	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Prowers	85	0	1	1	17	8	0	112
TOTAL	108	0	1	2	24	9	3	147
16TH								
Bent	23	0	0	1	8	1	1	34
Crowley	6	1	0	0	1	0	2	10
Otero	193	4	4	2	38	7	9	257
TOTAL	222	5	4	3	47	8	12	301
17TH								
Adams	1778	17	8	18	809	86	36	2752
18TH								
Arapahoe	2045	58	17	7	603	23	14	2767
Douglas	122	3	0	0	22	2	6	155
Elbert	22	0	0	0	4	0	3	29
Lincoln	25	0	0	0	5	2	5	37
TOTAL	2214	61	17	7	634	27	28	2988
19TH								
Weld	958	12	7	16	182	36	9	1220
20TH								
Boulder	1707	57	6	24	332	48	98	2272
21ST								
Mesa	909	5	1	6	182	63	3	1169
22ND								
Dolores	6	0	0	0	6	1	0	13
Montezuma	184	1	0	3	68	13	0	269
TOTAL	190	1	0	3	74	14	0	282
STATE TOTAL	24426	435	95	191	6447	836	1020	33450
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	73.0	1.3	.3	.6	19.3	2.5	3.0	100

TABLE XXXIII. CIVIL* TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1980-81

DISTRICT & COUNTY	NEGLIGENCE: PERSONAL INJURY - MOTOR VEHICLE[a]	NEGLIGENCE: PERSONAL INJURY - OTHER	NEGLIGENCE: PROPERTY DAMAGE	NEGLIGENCE: WRONGFUL DEATH	REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY[b]	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT.[c]	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC.[d]	TOTAL[e]
1ST										
Gilpin	0	1	0	0	22	0	11	0	26	60
Jefferson	189	151	92	16	622	70	2105	17	389	3651
TOTAL	189	152	92	16	644	70	2116	17	415	3711
2ND										
Denver	633	466	354	45	2186	159	5260	0	1173	10276
Denver Superior	0	5	232	0	17	2	2580	29	26	2891
TOTAL	633	471	586	45	2203	161	7840	29	1199	13167
3RD										
Huerfano	1	0	4	0	29	0	20	0	12	66
Las Animas	1	1	5	0	41	3	109	0	64	224
TOTAL	2	1	9	0	70	3	129	0	76	290
4TH										
El Paso	166	91	89	13	470	26	1484	9	252	2600
Teller	0	2	2	0	63	1	31	0	26	125
TOTAL	166	93	91	13	533	27	1515	9	278	2725
5TH										
Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	18	1	15	0	2	37
Eagle	7	8	6	0	128	9	171	0	51	380
Lake	2	7	2	0	31	1	19	0	26	88
Summit	8	1	13	0	79	9	188	3	67	368
TOTAL	17	17	21	0	256	20	393	3	146	873
6TH										
Archuleta	0	2	0	0	9	0	24	0	42	77
La Plata	16	10	17	1	117	5	182	2	173	523
San Juan	0	0	0	0	12	0	2	0	1	15
TOTAL	16	12	17	1	138	5	208	2	216	615
7TH										
Delta	0	3	4	0	33	0	85	0	16	141
Gunnison	5	3	0	1	64	0	98	0	11	182
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	1	13
Montrose	1	1	1	0	20	2	138	1	18	182
Ouray	0	0	1	0	9	1	15	1	4	31
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	18	1	25	1	4	49
TOTAL	6	7	6	1	151	4	366	3	54	598
8TH										
Jackson	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	8
Larimer	42	39	25	6	354	21	776	4	158	1425
TOTAL	42	39	25	6	359	21	779	4	158	1433
9TH										
Garfield	7	18	9	1	72	11	186	1	229	534
Pitkin	8	11	4	0	70	4	134	1	29	261
Rio Blanco	0	0	2	0	9	2	21	0	14	48
TOTAL	15	29	15	1	151	17	341	2	272	843
10TH										
Pueblo	60	66	33	5	247	11	557	4	338	1321
11TH										
Chaffee	1	6	1	1	44	0	37	0	45	135
Custer	1	0	0	0	21	0	5	0	7	34
Fremont	4	12	0	2	60	43	115	1	132	369
Park	1	3	1	0	64	2	14	1	77	163
TOTAL	7	21	2	3	189	45	171	2	261	701

TABLE XXXIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT & COUNTY	NEGLIGENCE: -PERSONAL INJURY- MOTOR VEHICLE[a]	OTHER	PROPERTY DAMAGE	WRONGFUL DEATH	REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY[b]	ADMIN. REVIEW AND LOCAL GOVT.[c]	MONEY DEMANDS	COUNTY COURT APPEALS	MISC.[d]	TOTAL[e]
12TH										
Alamosa	4	1	8	0	26	1	61	0	23	124
Conejos	2	1	0	0	15	0	16	0	6	40
Costilla	0	2	0	0	13	1	18	0	3	37
Mineral	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
Rio Grande	3	5	2	1	14	0	62	0	6	93
Saguache	1	1	2	1	14	0	14	0	8	41
TOTAL	10	10	12	2	85	2	171	0	47	339
13TH										
Kit Carson	1	0	2	0	17	1	38	0	14	73
Logan	1	1	5	1	25	2	73	1	54	163
Morgan	4	5	12	2	47	11	107	0	41	229
Phillips	0	1	1	1	3	0	5	0	3	14
Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	5	15
Washington	2	0	0	0	3	0	17	0	8	30
Yuma	0	0	3	0	11	0	42	0	33	89
TOTAL	8	7	23	4	107	14	291	1	158	613
14TH										
Grand	5	0	0	0	54	1	40	0	23	123
Moffat	1	2	4	0	39	2	91	3	20	162
Routt	4	4	4	1	72	8	107	3	16	279
TOTAL	10	6	8	1	165	11	238	6	119	564
15TH										
Baca	1	0	0	0	23	0	13	0	7	44
Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	0	6	12
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	9	1	9	0	1	20
Prowers	1	5	6	0	32	0	71	0	20	135
TOTAL	2	5	7	0	68	1	94	0	34	211
16TH										
Bent	1	0	0	0	11	1	17	0	7	37
Crowley	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	15
Otero	4	6	7	2	49	2	97	0	29	196
TOTAL	5	6	7	2	65	3	119	0	41	248
17TH										
Adams	106	112	90	7	354	6	1061	2	945	2684
18TH										
Arapahoe	147	75	90	11	516	3	1238	2	334	2416
Douglas	7	6	7	2	89	9	136	0	44	300
Elbert	0	1	0	0	31	0	16	1	0	49
Lincoln	1	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	2	11
TOTAL	155	82	97	13	641	12	1393	3	380	2776
19TH										
Weld	54	30	50	8	269	13	669	3	163	1259
20TH										
Boulder	98	86	58	6	375	61	916	23	225	1848
21ST										
Mesa	13	14	20	3	136	1	554	5	63	809
22ND										
Dolores	0	1	1	0	10	0	6	0	2	20
Montezuma	1	4	3	1	70	0	116	0	20	215
TOTAL	1	5	4	1	80	0	122	0	22	235
STATE TOTAL	1615	1271	1274	138	7286	508	20043	118	5610	37853
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	4.3	3.4	3.4	.4	19.2	1.3	52.9	.3	14.8	100

TABLE XXXIV. PROBATE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS[a]	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC.[b]	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	3	0	0	0	0	15	18
Jefferson	63	240	22	76	169	8	578
TOTAL	66	240	22	76	169	23	596
2ND							
Denver	187	744	37	84	1	163	1216
3RD							
Huerfano	9	5	1	2	0	1	18
Las Animas	34	31	3	11	0	4	83
TOTAL	43	36	4	13	0	5	101
4TH							
El Paso	53	292	15	43	4	26	433
Teller	2	9	1	1	0	10	23
TOTAL	55	301	16	44	4	36	456
5TH							
Clear Creek	4	8	6	2	0	1	21
Eagle	0	8	0	3	2	3	16
Lake	1	7	0	0	4	2	14
Summit	1	1	1	0	0	2	5
TOTAL	6	24	7	5	6	8	56
6TH							
Archuleta	2	3	0	0	0	4	9
La Plata	12	32	2	5	4	16	71
San Juan	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	14	37	2	5	4	20	82
7TH							
Delta	7	22	0	5	0	2	36
Gunnison	2	13	4	1	0	9	29
Hinsdale	1	1	0	0	0	2	4
Montrose	15	20	1	5	0	3	44
Ouray	2	4	1	1	0	0	8
San Miguel	0	8	0	0	2	0	10
TOTAL	27	68	6	12	2	16	137
8TH							
Jackson	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Larimer	33	122	12	17	6	8	198
TOTAL	34	123	12	17	6	8	200
9TH							
Garfield	2	7	0	0	1	7	17
Pitkin	4	5	0	2	0	0	11
Rio Blanco	4	7	0	0	0	6	17
TOTAL	10	19	0	2	1	13	45
10TH							
Pueblo	75	168	21	39	3	3	309
11TH							
Chaffee	11	20	0	5	1	16	53
Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Fremont	22	56	6	13	0	15	112
Park	3	4	2	0	0	9	18
TOTAL	36	81	8	18	1	40	184

*See Probate filings footnotes (page a65).

TABLE XXXIV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	INTESTATES	TESTATES	GUARDIANSHIPS[a]	CONSERVATORSHIPS	SMALL ESTATES	MISC.[b]	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	4	16	3	2	0	1	26
Conejos	1	3	0	0	17	0	21
Costilla	7	2	0	1	0	5	15
Mineral	1	4	0	0	0	1	6
Rio Grande	8	16	1	0	0	7	32
Saguache	10	6	0	0	1	0	17
TOTAL	31	47	4	3	18	14	117
13TH							
Kit Carson	17	29	0	3	4	18	71
Logan	16	45	3	4	0	14	82
Morgan	15	34	8	1	2	11	71
Phillips	7	13	0	0	0	2	22
Sedgwick	4	9	1	0	0	8	22
Washington	8	17	1	0	0	5	31
Yuma	12	13	0	1	0	3	29
TOTAL	79	160	13	9	6	61	328
14TH							
Grand	7	7	1	1	0	8	24
Moffat	9	13	0	0	1	8	31
Routt	5	17	2	2	0	13	39
TOTAL	21	37	3	3	1	29	94
15TH							
Baca	13	24	1	1	0	5	44
Cheyenne	2	8	0	0	0	10	20
Kiowa	11	14	0	1	0	4	30
Prowers	11	40	1	1	0	5	58
TOTAL	37	86	2	3	0	24	152
16TH							
Bent	5	8	0	1	0	11	25
Crowley	7	8	2	0	0	12	29
Otero	11	25	5	1	0	18	60
TOTAL	23	41	7	2	0	41	114
17TH							
Adams	101	168	39	40	18	1	367
18TH							
Arapahoe	39	203	15	22	11	21	311
Douglas	6	15	4	1	8	2	36
Elbert	8	4	3	1	0	9	25
Lincoln	6	13	1	0	13	2	35
TOTAL	59	235	23	24	32	34	407
19TH							
Weld	54	153	7	17	18	48	297
20TH							
Boulder	33	186	41	16	0	9	285
21ST							
Mesa	58	123	20	17	1	5	224
22ND							
Dolores	5	7	0	0	0	0	12
Montezuma	21	28	2	5	3	1	60
TOTAL	26	35	2	5	3	1	72
STATE TOTAL	1075	3112	296	454	294	602	5833
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	18.4	53.4	5.1	7.8	5.0	10.3	100

TABLE XXXV. JUVENILE TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE[a] FILED - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	DELIN-QUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETI-TIONS[b]	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS[c]	RELINQUISH-MENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT[d]	MISC.[e]	TOTAL
1ST								
Gilpin	10	0	0	0	0	0	7	17
Jefferson	726	12	47	38	267	60	77	1227
TOTAL	736	12	47	38	267	60	84	1244
2ND								
Denver	609	7	244	0	0	375	857	2092
3RD								
Huerfano	10	6	5	0	1	24	33	79
Las Animas	138	18	25	2	14	116	19	332
TOTAL	148	24	30	2	15	140	52	411
4TH								
El Paso	702	49	488	90	250	302	781	2662
Teller	10	0	12	0	4	3	14	43
TOTAL	712	49	500	90	254	305	795	2705
5TH								
Clear Creek	12	2	3	0	4	1	1	23
Eagle	13	0	20	2	1	0	7	43
Lake	29	1	8	0	10	6	21	75
Summit	46	1	5	4	3	0	4	63
TOTAL	100	4	36	6	18	7	33	204
6TH								
Archuleta	5	0	1	0	0	4	6	16
La Plata	26	7	17	8	20	21	91	190
San Juan	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	32	8	18	8	20	25	97	208
7TH								
Delta	34	0	1	4	23	19	3	84
Gunnison	9	0	8	2	6	1	5	31
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Montrose	38	2	20	4	20	8	12	104
Ouray	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	6
San Miguel	2	0	2	0	3	1	0	8
TOTAL	85	2	32	10	55	29	21	234
8TH								
Jackson	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
Larimer	204	10	56	10	87	135	33	535
TOTAL	207	10	56	10	88	135	33	539
9TH								
Garfield	32	2	12	5	16	9	5	81
Pitkin	6	0	3	0	3	0	0	12
Rio Blanco	3	0	1	0	2	0	8	14
TOTAL	41	2	16	5	21	9	13	107
10TH								
Pueblo	506	25	149	44	91	472	144	1431
11TH								
Chaffee	23	0	25	0	7	19	8	82
Custer	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	7
Fremont	63	4	33	7	35	47	16	205
Park	5	0	5	0	0	0	13	23
TOTAL	92	4	63	7	44	67	40	317

* See Juvenile filings footnotes (page a65).

TABLE XXXV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COURT	DELINQUENCY PETITIONS	CHINO PETITIONS[b]	DEPENDENCY, NEGLECT PETITIONS[c]	RELINQUISHMENTS	ADOPTIONS	PATERNITY & SUPPORT[d]	MISC.[e]	TOTAL
12TH								
Alamosa	19	1	6	1	5	5	9	46
Conejos	9	0	3	3	4	12	2	33
Costilla	1	0	1	1	2	8	0	13
Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Rio Grande	34	1	5	2	6	26	15	89
Saguache	13	1	3	0	4	12	1	34
TOTAL	76	3	18	7	22	63	27	216
13TH								
Kit Carson	2	0	9	2	6	4	2	25
Logan	12	0	16	3	17	12	33	93
Morgan	12	0	28	3	24	86	85	238
Phillips	1	0	6	0	6	2	2	17
Sedgwick	5	0	0	0	1	1	1	8
Washington	3	0	3	0	9	0	5	20
Yuma	1	0	7	1	14	0	7	30
TOTAL	31	0	74	9	77	105	135	431
14TH								
Grand	73	3	1	0	4	0	6	87
Moffat	27	1	20	6	15	5	24	98
Routt	31	2	8	1	16	0	18	76
TOTAL	131	6	29	7	35	5	48	261
15TH								
Baca	1	0	0	0	5	1	0	7
Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Kiowa	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
Prowers	21	1	12	2	14	18	8	76
TOTAL	23	1	12	2	23	19	8	88
16TH								
Bent	2	0	2	1	2	19	5	31
Crowley	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	8
Otero	70	0	25	2	29	78	123	327
TOTAL	75	0	30	3	31	98	129	366
17TH								
Adams	328	5	197	16	228	200	27	1001
18TH								
Arapahoe	637	0	166	22	225	329	60	1439
Douglas	33	0	9	0	23	9	0	74
Elbert	6	0	3	0	8	13	0	30
Lincoln	4	0	7	0	2	17	4	34
TOTAL	680	0	185	22	258	368	64	1577
19TH								
Weld	233	12	84	10	48	8	4	399
20TH								
Boulder	196	7	50	15	94	9	25	396
21ST								
Mesa	390	16	84	17	91	92	27	717
22ND								
Dolores	1	0	2	0	4	1	0	8
Montezuma	10	1	8	1	16	22	2	60
TOTAL	1	1	10	1	20	23	2	68
STATE TOTAL STATE TOTAL	5442	198	1964	329	1800	2614	2665	15012
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	36.3	1.3	13.1	2.2	12.0	17.4	17.7	100

TABLE XXXVI. MENTAL HEALTH TERMINATIONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	------MENTAL ILLNESS------ EVALUATION[a]	SHORT TERM[b]	LONG TERM[c]	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS[d]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[e]	MISC.[f]	TOTAL
1ST							
Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	42	90	0	4	42	0	179
TOTAL	43	90	0	4	42	0	179
2ND							
Denver	117	450	2	0	43	8	620
3RD							
Huerfano	3	5	0	0	2	2	12
Las Animas	9	22	0	0	0	3	34
TOTAL	12	27	0	0	2	5	46
4TH							
El Paso	372	53	0	1	149	80	655
Teller	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	373	54	0	1	149	80	657
5TH							
Clear Creek	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
Eagle	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Lake	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	8	0	0	1	0	10
6TH							
Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
La Plata	5	9	0	0	8	6	28
San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5	9	0	0	8	6	28
7TH							
Delta	4	3	0	0	0	0	7
Gunnison	0	1	0	0	1	1	3
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	0	16	0	0	2	0	18
Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	20	0	0	3	1	28
8TH							
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer	5	11	0	1	24	1	42
TOTAL	5	11	0	1	24	1	42
9TH							
Garfield	0	5	0	0	0	1	6
Pitkin	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Rio Blanco	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
TOTAL	2	10	0	0	0	2	14
10TH							
Pueblo	75	327	7	6	63	17	495
11TH							
Chaffee	0	13	0	0	0	0	13
Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Fremont	0	25	0	0	0	0	25
Park	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	0	40	0	0	0	0	40

* See Mental Health filings footnotes (page a66).

TABLE XXXVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	------MENTAL ILLNESS------ EVALUATION[a]	SHORT TERM[b]	LONG TERM[c]	DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED ADMISSIONS[d]	ALCOHOLISM COMMITMENTS[e]	MISC.[f]	TOTAL
12TH							
Alamosa	6	24	0	0	3	0	33
Conejos	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Costilla	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Rio Grande	1	6	0	0	1	0	8
Saguache	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	7	45	0	0	4	0	56
13TH							
Kit Carson	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Logan	6	0	0	0	0	2	8
Morgan	19	1	0	0	2	2	24
Phillips	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sedgwick	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	28	9	0	0	2	4	43
14TH							
Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Moffat	2	5	0	0	0	0	7
Routt	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	2	7	0	0	0	0	9
15TH							
Baca	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Cheyenne	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prowers	4	7	1	0	0	0	12
TOTAL	6	12	0	0	1	0	19
16TH							
Bent	0	24	0	0	0	0	24
Crowley	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Otero	2	24	0	0	1	2	29
TOTAL	2	53	0	0	1	2	58
17TH							
Adams	17	41	1	2	12	1	74
18TH							
Arapahoe	107	1	0	0	7	1	116
Douglas	0	5	0	0	0	2	7
Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	107	6	0	0	7	3	123
19TH							
Weld	0	24	0	0	0	0	24
20TH							
Boulder	6	40	0	0	3	1	50
21ST							
Mesa	18	27	0	0	12	1	58
22ND							
Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montezuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
STATE TOTAL	831	1310	10	14	377	132	2674
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	31.1	49	.4	.5	14.1	4.9	100

TABLE XXXVII. CRIMINAL OFFENSE TERMINATONS BY TYPE OF CASE FILED - FY 1980-81*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC.[e] OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.
1ST							
Gilpin	5	3	0	1	3	5	17
Jefferson	137	457	59	17	95	48	813
TOTAL	142	460	59	18	98	53	830
2ND							
Denver	231	869	144	2	193	151	1590
3RD							
Huerfano	4	8	1	0	2	8	23
Las Animas	43	64	18	1	4	14	144
TOTAL	47	72	19	1	6	22	167
4TH							
El Paso	519	1464	203	51	189	291	2717
Teller	6	33	1	3	1	16	60
TOTAL	525	1497	204	54	190	307	2777
5TH							
Clear Creek	5	17	0	0	1	7	30
Eagle	20	16	7	6	11	6	66
Lake	6	20	2	5	1	8	42
Summit	9	19	2	6	5	6	47
TOTAL	40	72	11	17	18	27	185
6TH							
Archuleta	1	1	1	0	2	2	7
La Plata	13	40	4	2	3	11	73
San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	3	4
TOTAL	15	41	5	2	5	16	84
7TH							
Delta	4	18	0	8	2	9	41
Gunnison	9	5	3	0	3	3	23
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montrose	7	18	4	11	1	12	53
Ouray	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
San Miguel	3	3	0	0	1	1	8
TOTAL	23	45	7	19	8	25	127
8TH							
Jackson	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Larimer	100	358	52	11	29	84	634
TOTAL	100	359	52	11	30	84	636
9TH							
Garfield	17	48	12	13	4	13	107
Pitkin	17	32	5	5	7	14	80
Rio Blanco	2	3	0	0	0	1	6
TOTAL	36	83	17	18	11	28	193
10TH							
Pueblo	50	144	16	13	25	78	326
11TH							
Chaffee	16	17	5	7	4	5	54
Custer	2	1	1	0	0	0	4
Fremont	36	67	24	50	8	28	213
Park	5	4	0	0	0	4	13
TOTAL	59	89	30	57	12	37	284

* See Criminal Offense filings footnotes (page a66).

TABLE XXXVII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	OFFENSES AGAINST THE PERSON[a]	OFFENSES AGAINST PROPERTY[b]	OFFENSES INVOLVING FRAUD[c]	OFFENSES INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS[d]	DRUG AND NARCOTIC OFFENSES	MISC.[e] OFFENSES	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.
12TH							
Alamosa	11	17	3	1	0	4	36
Conejos	3	4	0	1	0	3	11
Costilla	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Mineral	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Rio Grande	5	14	3	0	3	17	42
Saguache	4	5	3	0	0	2	14
TOTAL	23	43	10	2	3	26	107
13TH							
Kit Carson	3	8	0	0	0	2	13
Logan	3	13	2	1	2	11	32
Morgan	11	51	6	1	5	17	91
Phillips	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Washington	0	4	0	0	0	1	5
Yuma	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL	18	82	8	2	7	33	150
14TH							
Grand	8	12	1	3	5	12	41
Moffat	12	28	10	0	2	15	67
Routt	10	14	1	2	12	7	46
TOTAL	30	54	12	5	19	34	154
15TH							
Baca	3	6	1	0	0	1	11
Cheyenne	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Kiowa	0	1	2	0	0	1	4
Prowers	10	13	6	3	13	4	49
TOTAL	13	21	10	3	13	6	66
16TH							
Bent	3	17	2	1	0	3	26
Crowley	2	2	1	0	0	0	5
Otero	24	60	13	2	4	12	115
TOTAL	29	79	16	3	4	15	146
17TH							
Adams	105	281	28	15	28	176	633
18TH							
Arapahoe	137	544	84	11	72	215	1063
Douglas	12	32	9	3	0	10	66
Elbert	5	6	0	0	0	0	11
Lincoln	2	10	3	1	0	1	17
TOTAL	156	592	96	15	72	226	1157
19TH							
Weld	184	332	70	8	34	104	732
20TH							
Boulder	79	227	22	11	37	30	406
21ST							
Mesa	49	152	11	13	8	19	252
22ND							
Dolores	2	2	0	0	0	1	5
Montezuma	5	15	6	0	8	6	40
TOTAL	7	17	6	0	8	7	45
STATE TOTAL	1961	5611	853	289	829	1504	11047
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	17.8	50.8	7.7	2.6	7.5	13.6	100

TABLE XXXVIII. OFFENSE AND NON-OFFENSE TERMINATIONS
BY TYPE OF CASES FILED - FY 1980-1981*

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE TERM.[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
1ST				
Gilpin	17	11	0	28
Jefferson	813	22	183	1018
TOTAL	830	33	183	1046
2ND				
Denver	1590	0	188	1778
Denver Superior	0	73	1	74
TOTAL	1590	73	189	1852
3RD				
Huerfano	23	9	21	53
Las Animas	144	3	61	208
TOTAL	167	12	82	261
4TH				
El Paso	2717	23	75	2815
Teller	60	2	0	62
TOTAL	2777	25	75	2877
5TH				
Clear Creek	30	1	0	31
Eagle	66	2	15	83
Lake	42	1	31	74
Summit	47	6	2	55
TOTAL	185	10	48	243
6TH				
Archuleta	7	0	15	22
La Plata	73	1	117	191
San Juan	4	0	1	5
TOTAL	84	1	133	218
7TH				
Delta	41	1	1	43
Gunnison	23	1	14	38
Hinsdale	0	0	0	0
Montrose	53	0	2	55
Ouray	2	0	0	2
San Miguel	8	1	0	9
TOTAL	127	3	17	147
8TH				
Jackson	2	0	0	2
Larimer	634	5	8	647
TOTAL	636	5	8	649
9TH				
Garfield	107	14	2	123
Pitkin	80	2	3	85
Rio Blanco	6	3	15	24
TOTAL	193	19	20	232
10TH				
Pueblo	326	8	0	334
11TH				
Chaffee	54	1	4	59
Custer	4	0	2	6
Fremont	213	4	13	230
Park	13	0	3	16
TOTAL	284	5	22	311

* See Offense and Non-Offense filings footnotes (page a66).

TABLE XXXVIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL OFFENSE TERM.	MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY COURT APPEALS	OTHER NON-OFFENSE TERM.[a]	TOTAL CRIMINAL TERM.
12TH				
Alamosa	36	0	0	36
Conejos	11	1	0	12
Costilla	2	0	0	2
Mineral	2	0	0	2
Rio Grande	42	2	1	45
Saguache	14	0	0	14
TOTAL	107	3	1	111
13TH				
Kit Carson	13	0	3	16
Logan	32	8	9	49
Morgan	91	1	65	157
Phillips	3	0	4	7
Sedgwick	2	0	0	2
Washington	5	3	3	11
Yuma	4	0	5	9
TOTAL	150	12	89	251
14TH				
Grand	41	1	6	48
Moffat	67	13	15	95
Routt	46	10	2	58
TOTAL	154	24	23	201
15TH				
Baca	11	0	0	11
Cheyenne	2	0	0	2
Kiowa	4	0	0	4
Prowers	49	0	3	52
TOTAL	66	0	3	69
16TH				
Bent	26	0	3	29
Crowley	5	0	0	5
Otero	115	3	35	153
TOTAL	146	3	38	187
17TH				
Adams	633	40	5	678
18TH				
Arapahoe	1063	29	15	1107
Douglas	66	4	37	107
Elbert	11	0	0	11
Lincoln	17	1	1	19
TOTAL	1157	34	53	1244
19TH				
Weld	732	18	12	762
20TH				
Boulder	406	24	8	438
21ST				
Mesa	252	3	2	257
22ND				
Dolores	5	0	0	5
Montezuma	40	0	1	41
TOTAL	45	0	1	46
STATE TOTAL	11047	355	1012	12414
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL	88.9	2.9	8.2	100

Footnotes for

THE DISTRICT COURTS

Table XXV. Domestic Relations Filings
by Type of Case - FY 1980-81

a Separate petitions for custody proceedings, not to be confused with custody hearings within dissolution actions.

b Petitions under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act.

c Includes determination of status and other miscellaneous cases.

Table XXVI. Civil Case Filings by
Type of Case - FY 1980-81

a Includes related property damage.

b Includes Quiet Titles, Condemnations, Replevins, Foreclosures, Mechanics' Liens, etc.

c Includes reviews of P.U.C. and other administrative or regulatory agencies, cases involving city and county government, etc.

d Includes Habeas Corpus, Mandamus and other Remedial Writs, Injunctions, etc.

e Does not include water cases filed in water courts.

Table XXVII. Probate Filings by Type of Case - FY 1980-81

a Includes both juvenile and adult guardianships.

b Includes foreign wills, separate trusteeships, etc.

Table XXVIII. Juvenile Case Filings by Type of Case - FY 1980-81

a Does not include Informal Adjustment cases handled by the probation department and not by the court.

b Children in Need of Oversight petitions.

c Includes Child Abuse cases.

d Includes Paternity only, Support only, and Paternity and Support cases.

e Includes Guardianships, Contributing to Delinquency, Requests for Marriage, etc.

TABLE XXIX. Mental Health Filings by Type of Case - FY 1980-81

a Petition for Evaluation.

b Certification for Short-term Treatment.

c Petitions for Long-term Treatment.

d Includes Short and Long-term Treatment Admission.

e Petition for Involuntary Commitment of Alcoholic.

f Includes Other, Petition for Sterilization, and Objection to Admission or Retention.

Table XXX. Criminal Offense Filings by Type of Offense - FY 1980-81

a Includes murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, etc.

b Includes arson, burglary, theft, robbery, etc.

c Includes forgery, fraud by credit card, bad checks, etc.

d Includes escape and offenses relating to custody, bribery and corrupt influences, perjury, and other Article 8 offenses.

e Includes offenses involving the Family Relation; Offenses Relating to Morals; Offenses Against Public Peace, Order and Decency; Criminal Non-Support, etc.

Table XXXI. Offense and Non-Offense Filings
Plus Total Criminal Filings FY 1980-81

a Includes extraditions, revocations, parole and release from commitment hearings, etc.

THE WATER COURTS

TABLE XXXIX. CASELOAD OF THE WATER COURTS

	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81
DIVISION ONE				
Cases Pending July 1	1881	1511	1532	1411
New Cases Filed	716	450	399	520
Post Judgment Actions	49	98	50	20
TOTAL Caseload	1946	2059	1981	1951
Cases Terminated	352	515	534	395
Post Judgment Terminations	83	12	36	75
Cases Pending June 30	1511	1532	1411	1481
DIVISION TWO				
Cases Pending July 1	198	249	280	224
New Cases Filed	268	229	136	240
Post Judgment Actions	16	34	6	16
TOTAL Caseload	482	512	422	480
Cases Terminated	211	193	179	244
Post Judgment Terminations	22	39	19	32
Cases Pending June 30	249	280	224	204
DIVISION THREE				
Cases Pending July 1	576	542	445	286
New Cases Filed	176	137	83	178
Post Judgment Actions	234	38	19	85
TOTAL Caseload	986	717	547	549
Cases Terminated	194	253	233	131
Post Judgment Terminations	250	19	28	100
Cases Pending June 30	542	445	286	318
DIVISION FOUR				
Cases Pending July 1	203	368	334	257
New Cases Filed	330	380	342	449
Post Judgment Actions	81	0	53	19
TOTAL Caseload	614	748	729	725
Cases Terminated	234	414	417	417
Post Judgment Terminations	12	0	55	23
Cases Pending June 30	368	334	257	285
DIVISION FIVE				
Cases Pending July 1	522	676	750	820
New Cases Filed	478	377	507	453
Post Judgment Actions	30	37	59	200
TOTAL Caseload	1030	1090	1316	1473
Cases Terminated	3583	326	484	722
Post Judgment Terminations	1	14	12	91
Cases Pending June 30	676	750	820	660
DIVISION SIX				
Cases Pending July 1	184	402	368	385
New Cases Filed	305	161	238	316
Post Judgment Actions	0	24	127	96
TOTAL Caseload	489	587	733	797
Cases Terminated	87	139	205	459
Post Judgment Terminations	0	80	143	0
Cases Pending June 30	402	368	385	338
DIVISION SEVEN				
Cases Pending July 1	226	247	308	405
New Cases Filed	135	140	194	165
Post Judgment Actions	50	29	13	5
TOTAL Caseload	411	416	515	575
Cases Terminated	105	77	90	238
Post Judgment Terminations	59	31	20	42
Cases Pending June 30	247	308	405	295
TOTAL				
Cases Pending July 1	3090	3995	4017	3788
New Cases Filed	2408	1874	1899	2321
Post Judgment Actions	460	260	327	441
TOTAL Caseload	5958	6129	6243	6550
Cases Terminated	1536	1917	2142	2606
Post Judgment Terminations	427	195	313	363
Cases Pending June 30	3995	4017	3788	3581

TABLE XL. NUMBER OF NEW CASES FILED AND NUMBER OF NEW CLAIMS IN COLORADO WATER COURTS, FY 1977-78 THROUGH FY 1980-81

DIVISION	FY 1977-78 FILINGS	FY 1977-78 CLAIMS	FY 1978-79 FILINGS	FY 1978-79 CLAIMS	FY 1979-80 FILINGS	FY 1979-80 CLAIMS	FY 1980-81 FILINGS	FY 1980-81 CLAIMS
1	716	1579	450	6006	399	1556	520	1821
2	268	1483	229	3771	136	875	240	978
3	176	1126	137	240	83	267	178	2117[a]
4	330	681	380	582	342	439	449	613
5	478	789	377	673	507	1063	453	1427
6	305	368	161	246	238	430	316	441
7	135	201	140	343	194	299	165	402
TOTAL	2408	6227	1874	11861	1899	4929	2321	7799
PERCENT CHANGE			-22.2	90.5	1.3	-58.4	22.2	58.2

[a] Due in part to U.S. Reserved Rights Claims now in 3rd Division Water Court.



THE COUNTY COURTS

TABLE XLI. COUNTY COURT CASELOAD[a]
FY 1974-75 TO FY 1980-81

	FY 74-75	FY 75-76	FY 76-77	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81
CIVIL							
Cases Pending July 1	6,438	8,248	8,695	9,486	8,906[c]	10,552[d]	13,250
New Cases Filed	24,281	30,643	32,268	32,508	35,952	40,211	45,423
Total Caseload	30,719	38,891	40,963	41,994	44,858	50,763	58,673
Cases Terminated	22,471	30,196	31,477	30,691	34,295	37,513	42,242
Cases Pending June 30	8,248	8,695	9,486	11,303	10,563	13,250	16,431
SMALL CLAIMS[b]							
Cases Pending July 1			0	1,324	1,657[c]	2,533[d]	3,738
New Cases Filed			5,181	7,955	9,502	10,319	10,910
Total Caseload			5,181	9,279	11,159	12,852	14,648
Cases Terminated			3,857	6,987	8,616	9,114	9,347
Cases Pending June 30			1,324	2,292	2,543	3,738	5,301
TRAFFIC							
Cases Pending July 1	30,273	35,982	34,759	41,419	43,802[c]	48,889[d]	59,818
New Cases Filed	139,311	132,768	138,792	144,990	152,805	161,817	174,355
Total Caseload	169,584	168,750	173,551	186,409	196,607	210,706	234,173
Cases Terminated	133,602	133,991	132,132	140,912	147,653	150,888	165,126
Cases Pending June 30	35,982	34,579	41,419	45,497	48,954	59,818	69,047
MISDEMEANOR							
Cases Pending July 1	9,030	11,022	11,226	12,208	11,152[c]	12,256[d]	14,779
New Cases Filed	22,308	23,823	24,169	25,340	27,081	29,299	31,890
Total Caseload	31,338	34,845	35,395	37,548	38,233	41,555	46,669
Cases Terminated	20,316	23,619	23,187	23,561	25,963	26,776	28,870
Cases Pending June 30	11,022	11,226	12,208	13,987	12,270	14,779	17,799
TOTAL							
Cases Pending July 1	45,741	55,252	54,680	64,437	65,517[c]	74,230[d]	91,585
New Cases Filed	185,900	187,234	200,410	210,793	225,340	241,646	262,578
Total Caseload	231,641	242,486	255,090	275,230	290,857	315,876	354,163
Cases Terminated	176,389	187,806	190,653	202,151	216,527	224,291	245,585
Cases Pending June 30	55,252	54,680	64,437	73,079	74,330	91,585	108,578

[a]Excludes Denver County Court

[b]Since the Small Claims Division was created October 1, 1976, the FY 1976-77 figures are for 9 months.

[c]Due to a statewide audit of pending cases on June 30, 1979, the July 1, 1978 pending court is a mathematically derived figure.

[d]Revised pending figure.

TABLE XLII. CIVIL CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST					
Gilpin	14	15	29	14	15
Jefferson	514	5648	6162	5638	524
TOTAL	528	5663	6191	5652	539
2ND					
Denver	6966	21116	28082	13466	14616
3RD					
Huerfano	80	102	182	79	103
Las Animas	296	313	609	338	271
TOTAL	376	415	791	417	374
4TH					
El Paso	982	6480	7462	6121	1341
Teller	14	47	61	49	12
TOTAL	996	6527	7523	6170	1353
5TH					
Clear Creek	7	53	60	39	21
Eagle (Eagle)	91	163	254	126	128
Eagle (Basalt)	21	29	50	17	33
Lake	21	206	227	146	81
Summit	117	193	310	235	75
TOTAL	257	644	901	563	338
6TH					
Archuleta	65	159	224	83	141
La Plata	188	419	607	300	307
San Juan	1	16	17	14	3
TOTAL	254	594	848	397	451
7TH					
Delta	135	312	447	343	104
Gunnison	106	248	354	259	95
Hinsdale	0	1	1	1	0
Montrose (Montrose)	57	258	315	248	67
Montrose (Nucla)	19	94	113	97	16
Ouray	5	16	21	11	10
San Miguel	18	37	55	30	25
TOTAL	340	966	1306	989	317
8TH					
Jackson	5	2	7	5	2
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	309	1516	1825	1521	304
Larimer (Estes Park)	15	16	31	18	13
Larimer (Loveland)	117	538	655	485	170
TOTAL	446	2072	2518	2029	489
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	68	270	338	206	132
Garfield (Rifle)	15	43	58	50	8
Pitkin	78	182	260	156	104
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	22	28	50	23	27
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	7	28	35	17	18
TOTAL	190	551	741	452	289
10TH					
Pueblo	445	3274	3719	3387	332
11TH					
Chaffee	29	60	89	78	11
Custer	1	3	4	2	2
Fremont	90	192	282	185	97
Park	16	47	63	34	29
TOTAL	136	302	438	299	139

TABLE XLII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH					
Alamosa	34	141	175	157	18
Conejos	8	44	52	36	16
Costilla	8	5	13	8	5
Mineral	0	5	5	3	2
Rio Grande	57	145	202	141	61
Saguache	5	27	32	17	15
TOTAL	112	367	479	362	117
13TH					
Kit Carson	18	72	90	61	29
Logan	113	263	376	293	83
Morgan	125	253	378	270	108
Phillips	10	32	42	37	5
Sedgwick	2	19	21	19	2
Washington	11	48	59	35	24
Yuma	32	173	205	158	47
TOTAL	311	860	1171	873	298
14TH					
Grand	42	58	100	69	31
Moffat (Craig)	92	378	470	251	219
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	2	2	2	0
Routt	139	168	307	170	137
TOTAL	273	606	879	492	387
15TH					
Baca	17	40	57	45	12
Cheyenne	26	13	39	28	11
Kiowa	4	11	15	8	7
Prowers	119	239	358	259	99
TOTAL	166	303	469	340	129
16TH					
Bent	6	42	48	32	16
Crowley	5	12	17	17	0
Otero	144	405	549	317	232
TOTAL	155	459	614	366	248
17TH					
Adams	2939	7205	10144	6452	3692
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	333	1687	2020	1545	475
Arapahoe (Aurora)	892	4709	5601	4156	1445
Douglas	39	119	158	136	22
Elbert	32	46	78	45	33
Lincoln	14	32	46	34	12
TOTAL	1310	6593	7903	5916	1987
19TH					
Weld	943	1975	2918	1672	1246
20TH					
Boulder	1236	3626	4862	2950	1912
21ST					
Mesa	1780	2269	4049	2362	1687
22ND					
Dolores	1	5	6	5	1
Montezuma	56	147	203	97	106
TOTAL	57	152	209	102	107
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	13250	45423	58673	42242	16431
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	20216	66539	86755	55708	31047

[a]Includes Longmont branch court cases.

TABLE XLIII. SMALL CLAIMS CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST					
Gilpin	1	15	16	13	3
Jefferson	374	1531	1905	1381	524
TOTAL	375	1546	1921	1394	527
2ND					
Denver	1510	2773	4283	1221	3062
3RD					
Huerfano	30	40	70	37	33
Las Animas	30	100	130	91	39
TOTAL	60	140	200	128	72
4TH					
El Paso	342	1257	1599	1051	548
Teller	6	35	41	34	7
TOTAL	348	1292	1640	1085	555
5TH					
Clear Creek	5	44	49	33	16
Eagle (Eagle)	7	143	150	144	6
Eagle (Basalt)	12	22	34	15	19
Lake	7	51	58	45	13
Summit	48	120	168	114	54
TOTAL	79	380	459	351	108
6TH					
Archuleta	2	17	19	15	4
La Plata	129	304	433	307	126
San Juan	0	10	10	4	6
TOTAL	131	331	462	326	136
7TH					
Delta	36	212	248	211	37
Gunnison	55	105	160	118	42
Hinsdale	1	2	3	2	1
Montrose (Montrose)	12	106	118	98	20
Montrose (Nucla)	13	10	23	16	7
Ouray	1	9	10	8	2
San Miguel	20	45	65	37	28
TOTAL	138	489	627	490	137
8TH					
Jackson	11	19	30	18	12
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	233	607	840	567	273
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	244	626	870	585	285
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	35	111	146	86	60
Garfield (Rifle)	21	56	77	60	17
Pitkin	117	154	271	102	169
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	11	38	49	39	10
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	2	33	35	28	7
TOTAL	186	392	578	315	263
10TH					
Pueblo	144	494	638	456	182
11TH					
Chaffee	2	66	68	55	13
Custer	2	28	30	29	1
Fremont	58	110	168	62	106
Park	6	18	24	21	3
TOTAL	68	222	290	167	123

TABLE XLIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH					
Alamosa	13	84	97	87	10
Conejos	13	41	54	31	23
Costilla	11	15	26	17	9
Mineral	0	2	2	2	0
Rio Grande	5	44	49	43	6
Saguache	2	27	29	24	5
TOTAL	44	213	257	204	53
13TH					
Kit Carson	2	13	15	14	1
Logan	20	95	115	85	30
Morgan	42	65	107	53	54
Phillips	9	26	35	25	10
Sedgwick	7	19	26	23	3
Washington	6	19	25	24	1
Yuma	7	34	41	38	3
TOTAL	93	271	364	262	102
14TH					
Grand	5	36	41	28	13
Moffat (Craig)	8	71	79	45	34
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	5	5	5	0
Routt	46	133	179	113	66
TOTAL	59	245	304	191	113
15TH					
Baca	11	39	50	38	12
Cheyenne	3	4	7	3	4
Kiowa	0	2	2	1	1
Prowers	33	71	104	81	23
TOTAL	47	116	163	123	40
16TH					
Bent	3	27	30	25	5
Crowley	6	12	18	18	0
Otero	60	121	181	138	43
TOTAL	69	160	229	181	48
17TH					
Adams	543	824	1367	620	747
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	147	696	843	561	282
Arapahoe (Aurora)	160	578	738	468	270
Douglas	32	92	124	106	18
Elbert	26	29	55	41	14
Lincoln	7	7	14	13	1
TOTAL	372	1402	1774	1189	585
19TH					
Weld	125	365	490	363	127
20TH[a]					
Boulder	372	1005	1377	721	656
21ST					
Mesa	231	334	565	158	407
22ND					
Dolores	0	4	4	4	0
Montezuma	10	59	69	34	35
TOTAL	10	63	73	38	35
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	3738	10910	14648	9347	5301
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	5248	13683	18931	10568	8363

[a]Includes Longmont branch court cases.

TABLE XLIV. TRAFFIC CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST					
Gilpin	171	522	693	593	100
Jefferson	5212	18097	23309	18161	5148
TOTAL	5383	18619	24002	18754	5248
2ND					
Denver	4411	7966	12377	12377	0
3RD					
Huerfano	1021	1217	2238	1455	783
Las Animas	589	1566	2155	1541	614
TOTAL	1610	2783	4393	2996	1397
4TH					
El Paso	5123	15658	20781	14551	6230
Teller	97	670	767	590	177
TOTAL	5220	16328	21548	15141	6407
5TH					
Clear Creek	908	2286	3194	2520	674
Eagle (Eagle)	1367	2311	3678	2495	1183
Eagle (Basalt)	125	341	466	283	183
Lake	158	669	827	659	168
Summit	1040	2418	3458	2631	827
TOTAL	3598	8025	11623	8588	3035
6TH					
Archuleta	115	420	535	358	177
La Plata	894	2374	3268	2361	907
San Juan	18	84	102	63	39
TOTAL	1027	2878	3905	2782	1123
7TH					
Delta	387	1763	2150	1861	289
Gunnison	454	1270	1724	1238	486
Hinsdale	1	11	12	10	2
Montrose (Montrose)	311	1556	1867	1485	382
Montrose (Nucla)	211	351	562	311	251
Ouray	53	433	486	329	157
San Miguel	292	403	695	312	383
TOTAL	1709	5787	7496	5546	1950
8TH					
Jackson	83	182	265	133	132
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	2131	7394	9525	6880	2645
Larimer (Estes Park)	234	845	1079	739	340
Larimer (Loveland)	1234	3806	5040	3573	1467
TOTAL	3682	12227	15909	11325	4584
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	441	2078	2519	2124	395
Garfield (Rifle)	348	1738	2086	1551	535
Pitkin	336	1008	1344	1013	331
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	197	659	856	730	126
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	97	556	653	598	55
TOTAL	1419	6039	7458	6016	1442
10TH					
Pueblo	2018	7129	9147	6966	2181
11TH					
Chaffee	396	1956	2352	1697	655
Custer	4	47	51	42	9
Fremont	875	2111	2986	2212	774
Park	352	768	1120	758	362
TOTAL	1627	4882	6509	4709	1800

TABLE XLIV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH					
Alamosa	282	1027	1309	1038	271
Conejos	210	365	575	400	175
Costilla	146	329	475	402	73
Mineral	106	146	252	197	55
Rio Grande	279	873	1152	919	233
Saguache	55	347	402	328	74
TOTAL	1078	3087	4165	3284	881
13TH					
Kit Carson	345	728	1073	824	249
Logan	893	2712	3605	2689	916
Morgan	777	2363	3140	2186	954
Phillips	56	218	274	203	71
Sedgwick	183	340	523	358	165
Washington	106	431	537	386	151
Yuma	196	479	675	461	214
TOTAL	2556	7271	9827	7107	2720
14TH					
Grand	534	1309	1843	1237	606
Moffat (Craig)	542	2027	2569	1649	920
Moffat (Dinosaur)	86	244	330	194	136
Routt	668	2108	2776	2135	641
TOTAL	1830	5688	7518	5215	2303
15TH					
Baca	52	200	252	175	77
Cheyenne	129	247	376	292	84
Kiowa	175	319	494	343	151
Prowers	316	869	1185	880	305
TOTAL	672	1635	2307	1690	617
16TH					
Bent	209	472	681	436	245
Crowley	46	219	265	205	60
Otero	616	1901	2517	1887	630
TOTAL	871	2592	3463	2528	935
17TH					
Adams	5540	13478	19018	12803	6215
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	2950	9009	11959	9307	2652
Arapahoe (Aurora)	2878	7166	10044	6153	3891
Douglas	1937	6093	8030	5851	2179
Elbert	114	468	582	423	159
Lincoln	196	885	1081	848	233
TOTAL	8075	23621	31696	22582	9114
19TH					
Weld	3189	11311	14500	10194	4306
20TH					
Boulder	6239	14410	20649	10668	9981
21ST					
Mesa	2212	5064	7276	4902	2374
22ND					
Dolores	15	97	112	91	21
Montezuma	248	1404	1652	1239	413
TOTAL	263	1501	1764	1330	434
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	59818	174355	234173	165126	69047
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	64229	182321	246550	177503	69047

TABLE XLV. MISDEMEANOR CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST					
Gilpin	38	128	166	130	36
Jefferson	1290	3052	4342	2968	1374
TOTAL	1328	3180	4508	3098	1410
2ND					
Denver	2718	2023	4741	2086	2655
3RD					
Huerfano	99	83	182	109	73
Las Animas	147	426	573	383	190
TOTAL	246	509	755	492	263
4TH					
El Paso	2444	6347	8791	5919	2872
Teller	52	102	154	110	44
TOTAL	2496	6449	8945	6029	2916
5TH					
Clear Creek	69	148	217	167	50
Eagle (Eagle)	159	234	393	233	160
Eagle (Basalt)	10	53	63	40	23
Lake	28	178	206	189	17
Summit	138	292	430	304	126
TOTAL	404	905	1309	933	376
6TH					
Archuleta	13	68	81	50	31
La Plata	139	244	383	236	147
San Juan	6	10	16	11	5
TOTAL	158	322	480	297	183
7TH					
Delta	67	195	262	218	44
Gunnison	192	283	475	312	163
Hinsdale	3	16	19	13	6
Montrose (Montrose)	52	129	181	146	35
Montrose (Nucla)	31	31	62	22	40
Ouray	2	35	37	26	11
San Miguel	45	100	145	81	64
TOTAL	392	789	1181	818	363
8TH					
Jackson	54	73	127	52	75
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	1047	2299	3346	2051	1295
Larimer (Estes Park)	26	143	169	91	78
Larimer (Loveland)	362	493	855	462	393
TOTAL	1489	3008	4497	2656	1841
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	94	256	350	261	89
Garfield (Rifle)	26	143	169	113	56
Pitkin	85	223	308	201	107
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	25	160	185	94	91
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	17	64	81	68	13
TOTAL	247	846	1093	737	356
10TH					
Pueblo	808	2127	2935	1911	1024
11TH					
Chaffee	46	240	286	212	74
Custer	6	10	16	12	4
Fremont	199	360	559	349	210
Park	66	175	241	149	92
TOTAL	317	785	1102	722	380

TABLE XLV. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH					
Alamosa	71	260	331	232	99
Conejos	27	63	90	68	22
Costilla	27	107	134	108	26
Mineral	1	4	5	3	2
Rio Grande	36	78	114	61	53
Saguache	22	87	109	81	28
TOTAL	184	599	783	553	230
13TH					
Kit Carson	24	74	98	62	36
Logan	153	279	432	236	196
Morgan	147	303	450	258	192
Phillips	13	32	45	34	11
Sedgwick	7	17	24	14	10
Washington	6	35	41	31	10
Yuma	13	54	67	51	16
TOTAL	363	794	1157	686	471
14TH					
Grand	128	262	390	222	168
Moffat (Craig)	39	171	210	161	49
Moffat (Dinosaur)	2	15	17	11	6
Routt	184	448	632	424	211
TOTAL	353	896	1249	818	431
15TH					
Baca	20	50	70	48	22
Cheyenne	3	23	26	19	7
Kiowa	5	11	16	7	9
Prowers	68	63	131	77	54
TOTAL	96	147	243	151	92
16TH					
Bent	13	48	61	25	36
Crowley	9	11	20	20	0
Otero	63	355	418	353	65
TOTAL	85	414	499	398	101
17TH					
Adams	481	1155	1636	1061	575
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	727	1400	2127	1290	837
Arapahoe (Aurora)	770	735	1505	633	872
Douglas	127	370	497	366	131
Elbert	5	18	23	10	13
Lincoln	17	71	88	73	15
TOTAL	1646	2594	4240	2372	1868
19TH					
Weld	1013	1986	2999	1673	1326
20TH					
Boulder	1875	2744	4619	2092	2527
21ST					
Mesa	700	1414	2114	1126	988
22ND					
Dolores	4	10	14	9	5
Montezuma	94	217	311	238	73
TOTAL	98	227	325	247	78
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	14779	31890	46669	28870	17799
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	17497	33913	51410	30956	20454

TABLE XLVI. TOTAL CASEFLOW IN THE COUNTY COURTS
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
1ST					
Gilpin	224	680	904	750	154
Jefferson	7390	28328	35718	28148	7570
TOTAL	7614	29008	36622	28898	7724
2ND					
Denver	22406	33878	56284	29150	27134
3RD					
Huerfano	1230	1442	2672	1680	992
Las Animas	1062	2405	3467	2353	1114
TOTAL	2292	3847	6139	4033	2106
4TH					
El Paso	8891	29742	38633	27642	10991
Teller	169	854	1023	783	240
TOTAL	9060	30596	39656	28425	11231
5TH					
Clear Creek	989	2531	3520	2759	761
Eagle (Eagle)	1624	2851	4475	2998	1477
Eagle (Basalt)	168	445	613	355	258
Lake	214	1104	1318	1039	279
Summit	1343	3023	4366	3284	1082
TOTAL	4338	9954	14292	10435	3857
6TH					
Archuleta	195	664	859	506	353
La Plata	1350	3341	4691	3204	1487
San Juan	25	120	145	92	53
TOTAL	1570	4125	5695	3802	1893
7TH					
Delta	625	2482	3107	2644	463
Gunnison	807	1906	2713	1916	797
Hinsdale	5	30	35	26	9
Montrose (Montrose)	432	2049	2481	1977	504
Montrose (Nucla)	274	486	760	446	314
Ouray	61	493	554	374	180
San Miguel	375	585	960	460	500
TOTAL	2579	8031	10610	7843	2767
8TH					
Jackson	153	276	429	208	221
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	3720	11816	15536	11019	4517
Larimer (Estes Park)	275	1004	1279	848	431
Larimer (Loveland)	1713	4837	6550	4520	2030
TOTAL	5861	17933	23794	16595	7199
9TH					
Garfield (Glenwood)	638	2715	3353	2677	676
Garfield (Rifle)	410	1980	2390	1774	616
Pitkin	616	1567	2183	1472	711
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	255	885	1140	886	254
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	123	681	804	711	93
TOTAL	2042	7828	9870	7520	2350
10TH					
Pueblo	3415	13024	16439	12720	3719
11TH					
Chaffee	473	2322	2795	2042	753
Custer	13	88	101	85	16
Fremont	1222	2773	3995	2808	1187
Park	440	1008	1448	962	486
TOTAL	2148	6191	8339	5897	2442

TABLE XLVI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	PENDING 7/01/80	NEW FILINGS	TOTAL CASELOAD	TERMINATIONS	PENDING 6/30/81
12TH					
Alamosa	400	1512	1912	1514	398
Conejos	258	513	771	535	236
Costilla	192	456	648	535	113
Mineral	107	157	264	205	59
Rio Grande	377	1140	1517	1164	353
Saguache	84	488	572	450	122
TOTAL	1418	4266	5684	4403	1281
13TH					
Kit Carson	389	887	1276	961	315
Logan	1179	3349	4528	3303	1225
Morgan	1091	2984	4075	2767	1308
Phillips	88	308	396	299	97
Sedgwick	199	395	594	414	180
Washington	129	533	662	476	186
Yuma	248	740	988	708	280
TOTAL	3323	9196	12519	8928	3591
14TH					
Grand	709	1665	2374	1556	818
Moffat (Craig)	681	2647	3328	2106	1222
Moffat (Dinosaur)	88	266	354	212	142
Routt	1037	2857	3894	2842	1052
TOTAL	2515	7435	9950	6716	3234
15TH					
Baca	100	329	429	306	123
Cheyenne	161	287	448	342	106
Kiowa	184	343	527	359	168
Prowers	536	1242	1778	1297	481
TOTAL	981	2201	3182	2304	878
16TH					
Bent	231	589	820	518	302
Crowley	66	254	320	260	60
Otero	883	2782	3665	2695	970
TOTAL	1180	3625	4805	3473	1332
17TH					
Adams	9503	22662	32165	20936	11229
18TH					
Arapahoe (Littleton)	4157	12792	16949	12703	4246
Arapahoe (Aurora)	4700	13188	17888	11410	6478
Douglas	2135	6674	8809	6459	2350
Elbert	177	561	738	519	219
Lincoln	234	995	1229	968	261
TOTAL	11403	34210	45613	32059	13554
19TH					
Weld	5270	15637	20907	13902	7005
20TH[a]					
Boulder	9722	21785	31507	16431	15076
21ST					
Mesa	4923	9081	14004	8548	5456
22ND					
Dolores	20	116	136	109	27
Montezuma	408	1827	2235	1608	627
TOTAL	428	1943	2371	1717	654
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	91585	262578	354163	245585	108578
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	113991	296456	41044.	274735	135712

[a]Includes longmont branch court civil and small claims cases.

TABLE XLVII. FELONY PRELIMINARIES IN THE COUNTY COURT BY TYPE - FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL FELONY ADVISEMENTS	FELONY COMPLAINTS LODGED	PRELIMINARY HEARINGS
1ST			
Gilpin	32	25	8
Jefferson	1765	1731	335
TOTAL	1797	1756	343
2ND			
Denver	3508	2976	1828
3RD			
Huerfano	2	0	0
Las Animas	5	10	6
TOTAL	7	10	6
4TH			
El Paso	2833	0	0
Teller	31	0	0
TOTAL	2864	0	0
5TH			
Clear Creek	81	104	9
Eagle (Eagle)	115	216	42
Eagle (Basalt)	6	10	5
Lake	65	113	40
Summit	129	202	28
TOTAL	403	652	124
6TH			
Archuleta	0	0	0
La Plata	136	150	61
San Juan	0	0	0
TOTAL	136	150	61
7TH			
Delta	71	101	43
Gunnison	90	103	32
Hinsdale	4	4	3
Montrose (Montrose)	146	155	25
Montrose (Nucla)	6	8	1
Ouray	6	12	4
San Miguel	28	32	13
TOTAL	351	415	121
8TH			
Jackson	14	4	0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	943	0	60
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0	0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0	0
TOTAL	957	4	60
9TH			
Garfield (Glenwood)	1	0	0
Garfield (Rifle)	0	0	0
Pitkin	13	2	1
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	8	16	0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	8	7	1
TOTAL	30	25	2
10TH			
Pueblo	850	464	172
11TH			
Chaffee	15	0	0
Custer	3	3	0
Fremont	0	0	0
Park	42	37	14
TOTAL	60	40	14

TABLE XLVII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	TOTAL FELONY ADVISEMENTS	FELONY COMPLAINTS LODGED	PRELIMINARY HEARINGS
12TH			
Alamosa	74	77	10
Conejos	21	44	7
Costilla	24	26	2
Mineral	1	1	1
Rio Grande	31	80	28
Saguache	48	48	7
TOTAL	199	276	55
13TH			
Kit Carson	16	13	0
Logan	6	2	0
Morgan	2	0	2
Phillips	8	11	1
Sedgwick	5	6	1
Washington	5	10	0
Yuma	15	12	2
TOTAL	57	54	6
14TH			
Grand	83	171	15
Moffat (Craig)	60	102	19
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	0	0
Routt	55	110	18
TOTAL	198	383	52
15TH			
Baca	29	23	1
Cheyenne	2	3	0
Kiowa	5	5	1
Prowers	47	67	20
TOTAL	83	97	22
16TH			
Bent	0	6	0
Crowley	0	0	0
Otero	4	0	0
TOTAL	4	6	0
17TH			
Adams	2305	1652	267
18TH			
Arapahoe (Littleton)	430	0	0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	407	3	0
Douglas	51	0	0
Elbert	30	8	5
Lincoln	35	18	8
TOTAL	953	29	13
19TH			
Weld	363	0	9
20TH			
Boulder	1267	168	35
21ST			
Mesa	283	591	145
22ND			
Dolores	2	3	2
Montezuma	85	91	37
TOTAL	87	94	39
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	13254	6867	1546
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	16762	9843	3374

TABLE XLVIII. COUNTY COURT FILINGS AND PERCENT CHANGE, FY 1976-77 TO FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1976-77[a]	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81	PERCENT CHANGE 78-79 80-81	PERCENT CHANGE 75-76 80-81
1ST							
Gilpin	636	826	835	673	680	1.0	6.9
Jefferson	21181	21495	24169	25431	28328	11.4	33.7
TOTAL	21817	22321	25004	26104	29008	11.1	33.0
2ND							
Denver	32329	33728	39107	51395	33878	-34.1	4.8
3RD							
Huerfano	1185	1270	1586	1794	1442	-19.6	21.7
Las Animas	1879	2011	2029	2197	2405	9.5	28.0
TOTAL	3064	3281	3615	3991	3847	-3.6	25.5
4TH							
El Paso	22949	24813	27147	28035	29742	6.1	29.6
Teller	520	595	635	695	854	22.9	64.2
TOTAL	23469	25408	27782	28730	30596	6.5	30.4
5TH							
Clear Creek	4111	3608	3723	2934	2531	-13.7	-38.4
Eagle	2085	2255	2648	3194	3296	3.2	58.1
Lake	1393	1349	1364	1212	1104	-8.9	-20.8
Summit	2746	2956	3125	3266	3023	-7.4	10.1
TOTAL	10335	10168	10860	10606	9954	-6.2	-3.7
6TH							
Archuleta	577	479	535	620	664	7.1	15.1
La Plata	2958	2631	2635	3643	3341	-8.3	12.9
San Juan	70	57	66	84	120	42.9	71.4
TOTAL	3605	3167	3236	4347	4125	-5.1	14.4
7TH							
Delta	1296	1422	1541	1754	2482	41.5	91.5
Gunnison	1325	1723	1887	1910	1906	-.2	43.8
Hinsdale	21	48	25	34	30	-11.8	42.9
Montrose	1948	2134	1841	2220	2535	14.2	30.1
Ouray	253	256	250	246	493	100.4	94.9
San Miguel	333	286	388	478	585	22.4	75.7
TOTAL	5176	5869	5932	6642	8031	20.9	55.2
8TH							
Jackson	209	183	307	249	276	10.8	32.1
Larimer	10578	12199	12953	13975	17657	26.3	66.9
TOTAL	10787	12382	13260	14224	17933	26.1	66.2
9TH							
Garfield	2073	2296	2595	3540	4695	36.1	26.5
Pitkin	1185	1254	1325	1364	1567	14.9	32.2
Rio Blanco	522	806	944	1113	1566	40.7	200.0
TOTAL	3780	4356	4864	5927	7828	32.1	107.1
10TH							
Pueblo	12263	10685	11624	12388	13024	5.1	62
11TH							
Chaffee	1782	1812	1916	1991	2322	16.6	30.3
Custer	73	79	95	101	88	-12.9	20.5
Fremont	2024	2122	2491	2830	2773	-2.0	37.0
Park	989	1170	808	1009	1008	-.1	1.9
TOTAL	4868	5183	5310	5931	6191	4.4	27.2

[a] Includes small claims cases for nine months.

TABLE XLVIII. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	FY 1976-77[a]	FY 1977-78	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81	PERCENT CHANGE 79-80 80-81	PERCENT CHANGE 76-77 80-81
12TH							
Alamosa	1499	1441	1327	1533[b]	1512	-1.4	.9
Conejos	862	953	695	622[b]	513	-17.5	-40.5
Costilla	759	651	564	652	456	-30.1	-39.9
Mineral	146	151	153	269	157	-41.6	7.5
Rio Grande	1024	1040	1047	1246	1140	-8.5	11.3
Saguache	530	564	542	397	488	22.9	-7.9
TOTAL	4820	4800	4328	4719	4266	-9.6	-11.5
13TH							
Kit Carson	814	910	678	720	887	23.2	9.0
Logan	2356	2714	3320	3525	3349	-5.0	42.5
Morgan	3572	3529	3628	3043	2984	-1.9	-16.5
Phillips	170	160	152	315	308	-2.2	81.2
Sedgwick	689	755	558	414	395	-4.6	-42.7
Washington	340	334	334	383	533	39.2	56.8
Yuma	704	831	583	649	740	14.0	5.1
TOTAL	8645	9233	9253	9049	9196	1.6	6.4
14TH							
Grand	1181	1271	1729	1677	1665	-.7	41.0
Moffat	1453	1763	1956	2235	2913	30.3	100.5
Routt	1618	1459	1672	2420	2857	18.1	76.6
TOTAL	4252	4493	5357	6332	7435	17.4	74.9
15TH							
Baca	425	355	298	315	329	4.4	-22.6
Cheyenne	260	290	250	248	287	15.7	10.4
Kiowa	351	330	405	399	343	-14.0	-2.3
Prowers	1343	1201	1355	1196	1242	3.8	-7.5
TOTAL	2379	2176	2308	2158	2201	2.0	-7.5
16TH							
Bent	440	471	422	594	589	-.8	33.9
Crowley	179	278	224	280	254	-9.3	41.9
Otero	2214	2452	2221	2495	2782	11.5	25.6
TOTAL	2833	3201	2967	3369	3652	7.6	28.0
17TH							
Adams	20180	21436	21716	21672	22622	4.4	12.1
18TH							
Arapahoe	18208	19624	21186	23882	25980	8.8	42.7
Douglas	6242	6180	6486	7292	6674	-8.5	6.9
Elbert	596	581	576	576	561	-2.6	-5.9
Lincoln	925	972	818	860	995	15.7	7.6
TOTAL	25971	27357	29066	32610	34210	4.9	31.7
19TH							
Weld	10840	11934	12990	13379	15637	16.9	44.2
20TH[a]							
Boulder	13206	14674	17421	19636	21785	10.9	65.0
21ST							
Mesa	6492	7105	6630	7982	8767	9.8	35.0
22ND							
Dolores	168	130	135	128	116	-9.4	-31.0
Montezuma	1460	1434	1682	1722	1827	6.1	25.1
TOTAL	1628	1564	1817	1850	1943	5.0	19.3
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DEN.	200410	210793	225340	241646	262578	8.7	31.0
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	232739	244521	264447	293041	296456	1.2	27.4

[a]Includes Longmont branch Court Cases

[b] Projected based on eleven months.

TABLE XLIX. COURT TRIALS AND JURY TRIALS IN THE COUNTY COURT
BY DISTRICT AND COUNTY -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL COURT	CIVIL JURY	SMALL CLAIMS COURT	TRAFFIC COURT	TRAFFIC JURY	MISDEMEANOR COURT	MISDEMEANOR JURY	TOTAL COURT	TOTAL JURY
1ST									
Gilpin	0	0	0	7	1	2	0	13	1
Jefferson	151	2	571	108	49	28	30	858	81
TOTAL	151	2	571	115	50	30	30	871	82
2ND									
Denver	528	22	800	722	120	21	3	1421	145
3RD									
Huerfano	14	0	10	178	2	24	2	226	4
Las Animas	15	0	15	62	2	19	1	111	3
TOTAL	29	0	25	240	4	43	3	337	7
4TH									
El Paso	298	10	367	296	13	255	34	1216	57
Teller	6	0	13	33	4	6	2	58	6
TOTAL	304	10	380	329	17	261	36	1274	63
5TH									
Clear Creek	1	0	14	5	2	2	1	22	3
Eagle (Eagle)	20	0	23	78	0	9	0	130	0
Eagle (Basalt)	12	0	5	141	0	40	3	198	3
Lake	14	0	23	77	5	11	1	125	6
Summit	11	0	36	56	8	9	1	112	9
TOTAL	58	0	101	357	15	71	6	587	21
6TH									
Archuleta	13	0	7	108	0	16	2	144	2
La Plata	42	0	72	99	11	12	0	225	11
San Juan	1	0	2	21	0	3	0	27	0
TOTAL	56	0	81	228	11	31	2	396	13
7TH									
Delta	44	0	99	151	5	15	1	309	6
Gunnison	7	0	15	12	1	4	1	38	2
Hinsdale	0	0	1	6	0	10	0	17	0
Montrose (Montrose)	19	0	34	142	8	10	2	205	10
Montrose (Nucla)	1	0	2	14	8	0	0	17	8
Ouray	1	1	1	72	2	2	0	76	3
San Miguel	7	0	24	80	3	5	0	116	3
TOTAL	79	1	176	477	27	46	4	778	32
8TH									
Jackson	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	4	0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	77	2	153	117	18	22	20	369	40
Larimer (Estes Park)	7	0	0	62	1	8	0	77	1
Larimer (Loveland)	26	1	0	77	1	17	0	120	2
TOTAL	110	3	153	258	20	49	20	570	43
9TH									
Garfield (Glenwood)	7	1	31	30	2	2	1	70	4
Garfield (Rifle)	6	0	8	29	3	1	0	44	3
Pitkin	45	0	41	47	4	10	3	143	7
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	3	0	3	30	1	6	1	42	2
TOTAL	77	1	85	348	10	47	5	557	16
10TH									
Pueblo	70	4	94	79	9	28	16	271	29
11TH									
Chaffee	19	0	54	188	1	55	0	316	1
Custer	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	14	0
Fremont	28	0	13	91	13	10	1	142	14
Park	17	0	16	361	4	59	0	453	4
TOTAL	64	0	90	647	18	124	1	925	19

TABLE XLIX. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	CIVIL COURT	CIVIL JURY	SMALL CLAIMS COURT	TRAFFIC COURT	TRAFFIC JURY	MISDEMEANOR COURT	MISDEMEANOR JURY	TOTAL COURT	TOTAL JURY
12TH									
Alamosa	11	0	12	105	4	8	3	136	7
Conejos	2	0	12	21	1	3	0	38	1
Costilla	2	0	4	52	0	13	1	71	1
Mineral	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	0
Rio Grande	9	1	4	15	4	2	0	30	5
Saguache	2	0	13	43	1	8	0	66	1
TOTAL	26	1	45	243	10	34	4	348	15
13TH									
Kit Carson	4	0	0	44	1	10	3	58	4
Logan	30	2	20	220	5	37	1	307	8
Morgan	8	0	5	44	13	17	0	74	13
Phillips	8	0	11	41	3	8	0	68	3
Sedgwick	9	0	7	90	0	13	0	119	0
Washington	5	0	1	57	0	10	0	73	0
Yuma	129	0	26	192	2	34	1	381	3
TOTAL	193	2	70	688	24	129	5	1080	31
14TH									
Grand	21	0	22	76	7	9	0	128	7
Moffat (Craig)	60	1	20	180	13	20	0	280	14
Moffat (Dinosaur)	3	0	2	17	0	6	0	28	0
Routt	45	0	52	16	5	7	1	120	6
TOTAL	129	1	96	289	25	42	1	556	27
15TH									
Baca	1	0	0	4	2	1	1	6	3
Cheyenne	1	0	2	50	0	11	0	64	0
Kiowa	2	0	1	10	0	2	0	15	0
Prowers	6	1	14	32	1	0	1	52	3
TOTAL	10	1	17	96	3	14	2	137	6
16TH									
Bent	1	0	6	33	0	3	0	43	0
Crowley	1	1	0	23	2	1	0	25	3
Otero	14	0	30	71	5	9	0	124	5
TOTAL	16	1	36	127	7	13	0	192	8
17TH									
Adams	74	1	49	162	70	34	19	319	90
18TH									
Arapahoe (Littleton)	51	3	130	24	28	2	2	207	33
Arapahoe (Aurora)	67	0	98	22	13	2	1	189	14
Douglas	26	0	41	129	23	8	3	204	26
Elbert	23	0	15	24	2	3	0	65	2
Lincoln	3	0	4	21	3	6	0	34	3
TOTAL	170	3	288	220	69	21	6	699	78
19TH									
Weld	77	2	129	206	45	42	18	454	65
20TH									
Boulder	151	5	181	334	20	50	14	710	45
21ST									
Mesa	69	0	71	364	24	120	16	624	40
22ND									
Dolores	2	0	5	62	0	9	0	78	0
Montezuma	5	0	6	60	7	18	1	89	8
TOTAL	7	0	11	122	7	27	1	167	8
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	1920	38	2753	5929	485	1256	209	11852	738
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	2448	60	3553	6001	605	1277	212	13273	883

[a]Includes Longmont Branch court cases.

TABLE L. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF
COUNTY COURT CIVIL CASE FILINGS[a] - BY MONEY AMOUNT -- FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER $500-- No.	%	$500 TO $1,000 No.	%	NONMONEY CASES No.	%	-----TOTAL----- No.	%
1ST								
Gilpin	1	6.7	6	40.0	8	53.3	15	100.0
Jefferson	4232	74.9	1416	25.1	0	0.0	5648	100.0
TOTAL	4233	74.7	1422	25.1	8	1.4	5663	100.0
2ND								
Denver	14979	70.9	5376	25.5	761	3.6	21116	100.0
3RD								
Huerfano	69	67.6	29	28.4	4	3.9	102	100.0
Las Animas	193	61.7	90	28.8	30	9.6	313	100.0
TOTAL	262	63.1	119	28.7	34	8.2	415	100.0
4TH								
El Paso	3930	60.6	2194	33.9	356	5.5	6480	100.0
Teller	9	19.1	24	51.1	14	29.8	47	100.0
TOTAL	3939	60.3	2218	34.0	370	5.7	6527	100.0
5TH								
Clear Creek	32	60.4	14	26.4	7	13.2	53	100.0
Eagle (Eagle)	48	29.4	61	37.4	54	33.1	163	100.0
Eagle (Basalt)	13	44.8	9	31.0	7	24.1	29	100.0
Lake	153	74.3	43	20.9	10	4.9	206	100.0
Summit	89	46.1	60	31.1	44	22.8	193	100.0
TOTAL	335	52.0	187	29.0	122	18.9	644	100.0
6TH								
Archuleta	117	73.6	37	23.3	5	3.1	159	100.0
La Plata	263	62.8	92	22.0	64	15.3	419	100.0
San Juan	5	31.3	10	62.5	1	6.3	16	100.0
TOTAL	385	64.8	139	23.4	70	11.8	594	100.0
7TH								
Delta	124	39.7	125	40.1	63	20.2	312	100.0
Gunnison	174	70.2	54	21.8	20	8.1	248	100.0
Hinsdale	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
Montrose (Montrose)	114	44.2	89	34.5	55	21.3	258	100.0
Montrose (Nucla)	80	85.1	12	12.8	2	2.1	94	100.0
Ouray	5	31.3	4	25.0	7	43.8	16	100.0
San Miguel	13	35.1	12	32.4	12	32.4	37	100.0
TOTAL	510	52.8	297	30.7	159	16.5	966	100.0
8TH								
Jackson	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	813	53.6	550	36.3	153	10.1	1516	100.0
Larimer (Estes Park)	8	50.0	7	43.7	1	6.2	16	100.0
Larimer (Loveland)	318	59.1	158	29.4	62	11.5	538	100.0
TOTAL	1139	55.0	716	34.6	217	10.5	2072	100.0
9TH								
Garfield (Glenwood)	103	38.1	114	42.2	53	19.6	270	100.0
Garfield (Rifle)	8	18.6	23	53.5	12	27.9	43	100.0
Pitkin	46	25.3	78	42.9	58	31.9	182	100.0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	10	35.7	12	42.9	6	21.4	28	100.0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	22	78.6	6	21.4	0	0.0	28	100.0
TOTAL	189	34.3	233	42.3	129	23.4	551	100.0
10TH								
Pueblo	2391	73.0	763	23.3	120	3.7	3274	100.0
11TH								
Chaffee	40	66.7	19	31.7	1	1.7	60	100.0
Custer	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
Fremont	83	43.2	75	39.1	34	17.7	192	100.0
Park	17	36.2	9	19.1	21	44.7	47	100.0
TOTAL	140	46.4	106	35.1	56	18.5	302	100.0

[a] Small claims cases not included.

TABLE L. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER $500-- No.	%	$500 TO $1,000 No.	%	NONMONEY CASES No.	%	-----TOTAL----- No.	%
12TH								
Alamosa	61	43.3	58	41.1	22	15.6	141	100.0
Conejos	27	61.4	11	25.0	6	13.6	44	100.0
Costilla	1	20.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	5	100.0
Mineral	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
Rio Grande	91	62.8	49	33.8	5	3.4	145	100.0
Saguache	9	33.3	12	44.4	6	22.2	27	100.0
TOTAL	193	52.6	133	36.2	41	11.2	367	100.0
13TH								
Kit Carson	46	63.9	24	33.3	2	2.8	72	100.0
Logan	141	53.6	110	41.8	12	4.6	263	100.0
Morgan	158	62.4	83	32.8	12	4.7	253	100.0
Phillips	19	59.4	10	31.3	3	9.4	32	100.0
Sedgwick	16	84.2	3	15.8	0	0.0	19	100.0
Washington	28	58.3	18	37.5	2	4.2	48	100.0
Yuma	118	68.2	51	29.5	4	2.3	173	100.0
TOTAL	526	61.2	299	34.8	35	4.1	860	100.0
14TH								
Grand	23	39.7	18	31.0	17	29.3	58	100.0
Moffat (Craig)	254	67.2	96	25.4	28	7.4	378	100.00
Moffat (Dinosaur)	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
Routt	52	31.0	70	41.7	46	27.4	168	100.0
TOTAL	329	54.3	186	30.7	91	15.0	606	100.0
15TH								
Baca	28	70.0	12	30.0	0	0.0	40	100.0
Cheyenne	11	84.6	0	0.0	2	15.4	13	100.0
Kiowa	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	11	100.0
Prowers	183	76.6	51	21.3	5	2.1	239	100.0
TOTAL	231	76.2	65	21.4	7	2.3	303	100.0
16TH								
Bent	31	73.8	4	9.5	7	16.7	42	100.0
Crowley	7	58.3	3	25.0	2	16.7	12	100.0
Otero	268	66.2	113	27.9	24	5.9	405	100.0
TOTAL	306	66.7	120	26.1	33	7.2	459	100.0
17TH								
Adams	6460	89.7	522	7.2	223	3.1	7205	100.0
18TH								
Arapahoe (Littleton)	380	22.5	420	24.9	887	52.6	1687	100.0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	2011	42.7	1148	24.4	1550	32.9	4709	100.0
Douglas	36	30.3	42	35.3	41	34.5	119	100.0
Elbert	15	32.6	13	28.3	18	39.1	46	100.0
Lincoln	14	43.8	11	34.4	7	21.9	32	100.0
TOTAL	2456	37.2	1634	24.8	2503	38.0	6593	100.0
19TH								
Weld	1144	57.9	599	30.3	232	11.7	1975	100.0
20TH[a]								
Boulder	1800	49.7	670	18.5	1156	31.9	3626	100.0
21ST								
Mesa	1334	58.8	717	31.6	218	9.6	2269	100.0
22ND								
Dolores	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
Montezuma	62	42.2	54	36.7	31	21.1	147	100.0
TOTAL	64	42.1	57	37.5	31	20.4	152	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	28366	62.5	11202	24.7	5855	12.8	45423	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	43345	65.1	16578	24.9	6616	10.0	66539	100.0

[a]Includes Longmont branch court cases.

TABLE LI. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COUNTY COURT SMALL CLAIMS FILINGS - BY MONEY AMOUNT - FY 1980-81

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER $100--		$100 TO $300		$301 TO $500		-----TOTAL-----	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1ST								
Gilpin	6	40.0	4	26.7	5	33.3	15	100.0
Jefferson	217	14.2	611	39.9	703	45.9	1531	100.0
TOTAL	223	14.4	615	39.8	708	45.8	1546	100.0
2ND								
Denver	393	14.2	1110	40.0	1270	45.8	2773	100.0
3RD								
Huerfano	18	45.0	11	27.5	11	27.5	40	100.0
Las Animas	32	32.0	45	45.0	23	23.0	100	100.0
TOTAL	50	35.7	56	40.0	34	24.3	140	100.0
4TH								
El Paso	195	15.5	519	41.3	543	43.2	1257	100.0
Teller	9	25.7	16	45.7	10	28.6	35	100.0
TOTAL	204	15.8	535	41.4	553	42.8	1292	100.0
5TH								
Clear Creek	5	11.4	17	38.6	22	50.0	44	100.0
Eagle (Eagle)	41	28.7	47	32.9	55	38.5	143	100.0
Eagle (Basalt)	1	4.5	13	59.1	8	36.4	22	100.0
Lake	13	25.5	20	39.2	18	35.3	51	100.0
Summit	14	11.7	51	42.5	55	45.8	120	100.0
TOTAL	74	19.5	148	38.9	158	41.6	380	100.0
6TH								
Archuleta	4	23.5	6	35.3	7	41.2	17	100.0
La Plata	55	18.1	146	48.0	103	33.9	304	100.0
San Juan	3	30.0	2	20.0	5	50.0	10	100.0
TOTAL	62	18.7	154	46.5	115	34.7	331	100.0
7TH								
Delta	59	27.8	103	48.6	50	23.6	212	100.0
Gunnison	17	16.2	62	59.0	26	24.8	105	100.0
Hinsdale	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
Montrose (Montrose)	18	17.0	46	43.4	42	39.6	106	100.0
Montrose (Nucla)	2	20.0	6	60.0	2	20.0	10	100.0
Ouray	0	0.0	3	33.3	6	66.7	9	100.0
San Miguel	4	8.9	19	42.2	22	48.9	45	100.0
TOTAL	101	20.6	239	48.9	149	30.5	489	100.0
8TH								
Jackson	4	21.0	11	57.9	4	21.1	19	100.0
Larimer (Ft. Collins)	102	16.8	238	39.2	267	44.0	607	100.0
Larimer (Estes Park)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Larimer (Loveland)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	106	16.9	249	39.8	271	43.3	626	100.0
9TH								
Garfield (Glenwood)	21	18.9	50	45.0	40	36.0	111	100.0
Garfield (Rifle)	12	21.4	23	41.1	21	37.5	56	100.0
Pitkin	24	15.6	74	48.0	56	36.4	154	100.0
Rio Blanco (Meeker)	13	34.2	13	34.2	12	31.6	38	100.0
Rio Blanco (Rangely)	6	18.2	20	60.6	7	21.2	33	100.0
TOTAL	76	19.4	180	45.9	136	34.7	392	100.0
10TH								
Pueblo	87	17.6	206	41.7	201	40.7	494	100.0
11TH								
Chaffee	21	31.8	24	36.4	21	31.8	66	100.0
Custer	5	17.9	8	28.6	15	53.6	28	100.0
Fremont	24	21.8	44	40.0	42	38.2	110	100.0
Park	2	11.1	5	27.8	11	61.1	18	100.0
TOTAL	52	23.4	81	36.5	89	40.1	222	100.0

TABLE LI. (CONTINUED)

DISTRICT AND COUNTY	--UNDER $100-- No.	%	$100 TO $300 No.	%	$301 TO $500 No.	%	-----TOTAL----- No.	%
12TH								
Alamosa	16	19.0	37	44.0	31	36.9	84	100.0
Conejos	11	26.8	20	48.8	10	24.4	41	100.0
Costilla	2	13.3	7	46.7	6	40.0	15	100.0
Mineral	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
Rio Grande	15	34.1	17	38.6	12	27.3	44	100.0
Saguache	11	40.7	8	29.6	8	29.6	27	100.0
TOTAL	56	26.3	90	42.2	67	31.5	213	100.0
13TH								
Kit Carson	9	69.2	3	23.1	1	7.7	13	100.0
Logan	24	25.3	46	48.4	25	26.3	95	100.0
Morgan	24	36.9	27	41.5	14	21.5	65	100.0
Phillips	9	34.6	9	34.6	8	30.8	26	100.0
Sedgwick	4	21.1	11	57.9	4	21.1	19	100.0
Washington	5	26.3	8	42.1	6	31.6	19	100.0
Yuma	11	32.4	17	50.0	6	17.6	34	100.0
TOTAL	86	31.7	121	44.6	64	23.6	271	100.0
14TH								
Grand	9	25.0	10	27.8	17	47.2	36	100.0
Moffat (Craig)	15	21.1	17	23.9	39	54.9	71	100.0
Moffat (Dinosaur)	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	5	100.0
Routt	20	15.0	63	47.4	50	37.6	133	100.0
TOTAL	46	18.8	92	37.5	107	43.7	245	100.0
15TH								
Baca	12	30.8	19	48.7	8	20.5	39	100.0
Cheyenne	2	50.0	2	50.0	8	20.5	39	100.0
Kiowa	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0
Prowers	14	19.7	35	49.3	22	31.0	71	100.0
TOTAL	28	24.1	57	49.1	31	26.7	116	100.0
16TH								
Bent	9	33.3	11	40.7	7	25.9	27	100.0
Crowley	0	0.0	8	66.7	4	33.3	12	100.0
Otero	26	21.5	53	43.8	42	34.7	121	100.0
TOTAL	35	21.9	72	45.0	53	33.1	160	100.0
17TH								
Adams	138	16.7	322	39.1	364	44.2	824	100.0
18TH								
Arapahoe (Littleton)	104	14.9	265	38.1	327	47.0	696	100.0
Arapahoe (Aurora)	93	16.1	215	37.2	270	46.7	578	100.0
Douglas	18	19.6	29	37.5	45	48.9	92	100.0
Elbert	4	13.8	17	58.6	8	27.6	29	100.0
Lincoln	2	28.6	1	14.3	4	57.1	7	100.0
TOTAL	221	15.8	527	37.6	654	46.6	1402	100.0
19TH								
Weld	61	16.7	160	43.8	144	39.5	365	100.0
20TH[a]								
Boulder	126	12.5	312	31.1	567	56.4	1005	100.0
21ST								
Mesa	16	22.8	131	39.2	127	38.0	334	100.0
22ND								
Dolores	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	4	100.0
Montezuma	13	22.0	23	39.0	23	39.0	59	100.0
TOTAL	15	23.8	25	39.7	23	36.5	63	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITHOUT DENVER	1923	17.6	4372	40.1	4615	42.3	10910	100.0
STATE TOTAL WITH DENVER	2316	16.9	5482	40.1	5885	43.0	13683	100.0

[a]Includes Longmont branch court cases.

TABLE LII. NUMBER AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF IN COUNTY COURT[1] - FY 1980-81

	NUMBER OF FELONY COMPLAINTS DISPOSED OF	PERCENT DISTRIBUTION
Dismissals		
At Preliminary Hearing	573	4.7
W/O Preliminary Hearing	3190	26.0
TOTAL	3763	30.7
Reductions to a Misdemeanor		
At Preliminary Hearing	479	3.9
W/O Preliminary Hearing	1133	9.2
TOTAL	1612	13.1
Bindovers to District Court		
At Preliminary Hearng	2322	18.9
W/O Preliminary Hearing	4585	37.3
TOTAL	6907	56.2
Total Felony Dispositions		
At Preliminary Hearing	3374	27.5
W/O Preliminary Hearing	8908	72.5
TOTAL	12282	100.0

[1] Includes Denver



PROBATION

TABLE LIII. PROBTION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION
AND INVESTIGATION CASELOADS - STATE TOTALS
FY 1976-77 TO FY 1980-81

	FY 77-78	FY 78-79	FY 79-80	FY 80-81	PERCENT CHANGE 79-80 80-81
ADULT					
On Supervision July 1	10,498[a]	12,121[a]	13,424	12,238	-8.8
New Cases	10,025	11,288	9,476	8,963	-5.4
Total Caseload	20,523	23,409	22,900	21,201	-7.4
Terminations	8,443	9,985	10,662	8,426	-21.0
On Supervision June 30	12,080	13,424	12,238	12,775	4.4
Total Investigations	14,535	15,403	9.647	10,354	7.3
JUVENILE					
On Supervision July 1	4,861	5,100[a]	4,847	3,803	-21.5
New Cases	5,449	5,285	4,630	4368	-5.7
Total Caseload	10,310	10,385	9,477	8171	-13.8
Terminations	5,324	5,538	5,674	4,104	-27.7
On Supervision Junce 30	4,986	4,847	3,803	4,067	6.9
Total Investigations	19,856	19,641	14,767	12,066[b]	-18.3
TOTAL					
On Supervision July 1	15,359[a]	17,221[a]	18,271	16,041	-12.2
New Cases	15,474	16,573	14,106	13,331	-5.5
Total Caseload	30,833	33,794	32,377	29,372	-9.3
Terminations	13,767	15,523	16,336	12,530	-23.3
On Supervision June 30	17,066	18,271	16,041	16,842	4.9
Total Investigations	34,391	35,044	24,414	22,420	-8.2

[a] Revised pending figure.
[b] 1980-81 Juvenile Investigation total does not include Interagency investigations.

TABLE LIV. PROBATION DEPARTMENT SUPERVISION
CASELOADS BY DISTRICT - FY 1980-81

	1st		2nd		3rd		4th		5th		6th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	1178	320	2303	776	92	39	1419	380	179	36	214	75
New Cases	833	318	1523	566	65	37	1153	615	182	52	47	16
Total Caseload	2011	638	3826	1342	157	76	2572	995	361	88	261	91
Terminations	888	325	1429	601	76	46	1164	609	156	48	50	10
On Suprvsn. 6/30	1123	313	2397	741	81	30	1408	386	205	40	211	81

	7th		8th		9th		10th		11th		12th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	438	60	358	189	165	29	717	256	317	192	266	80
New Cases	594	71	208	206	171	47	435	278	233	90	162	75
Total Caseload	1032	131	566	395	336	76	1152	534	550	382	428	155
Terminations	381	45	130	171	128	33	532	239	252	223	156	71
On Suprvsn. 6/30	651	86	436	224	208	43	620	295	298	159	272	84

	13th		14th		15th		16th		17th		18th	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	123	53	104	63	90	30	94	36	765	307	1236	277
New Cases	98	70	189	63	44	35	95	47	509	250	973	388
Total Caseload	221	123	293	126	134	65	189	83	1274	557	2209	665
Terminations	75	71	95	75	43	31	53	35	533	268	714	263
On Suprvsn. 6/30	146	52	198	51	91	34	136	48	741	289	1495	402

	19th		20th		21st		22nd		State	
	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.	Adult	Juv.
On Suprvsn. 7/1	720	127	1000	310	418	143	42	25	12238	3803
New Cases	329	221	800	374	279	421	41	28	8963	4368
Total Caseload	1049	348	1800	684	697	564	83	53	21201	8171
Terminations	474	167	816	388	266	350	15	35	8426	4104
On Suprvsn. 6/30	575	181	984	296	431	214	68	18	12775	4067

TABLE LV. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1980-81

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
District Ct. Pre-Sentence	551	1075	36	966	85	78	87	228	82	175	106	34
County Court Pre-Sentence	455	168	22	465	26	47	55	11	20	289	56	127
District Ct. Def. Pros./Sent.	0	759	2	387	100	1	0	1	68	0	27	14
Domestic Relations	0	181	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	1
Other	136	10	6	115	2	0	0	27	0	1	4	6
Total Investigations	1142	2193	66	1933	213	127	142	267	170	466	196	182

	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd	STATE TOTAL
District Ct. Pre-Sentence	97	117	23	46	387	418	283	146	121	19	5160
County Court Pre-Sentence	9	3	2	10	299	117	66	139	61	12	2459
District Ct. Def. Pros./Sent.	0	4	2	28	44	37	63	283	103	12	1935
Domestic Relations	2	0	5	0	54	154	6	0	0	0	408
Other	2	9	0	3	0	49	13	3	1	5	392
Total Investigations	110	133	32	87	784	775	431	571	286	48	10354

TABLE LVI. JUVENILE PROBATION DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATIONS BY DISTRICT, FY 1980-81[a]

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
Social Summary	257	659	23	496	40	30	48	45	44	121	12	31
Detention	0	4128	11	32	26	20	0	20	0	0	16	12
Preliminary	0	448	1	72	1	11	0	2	0	0	13	39
Intake	0	268	13	16	8	11	0	88	0	447	215	43
Transfer to Criminal Court	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	0
Custody	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	0	5	0
Other	0	498	7	80	6	2	0	55	1	100	4	3
Total Investigations	257	6001	55	696	83	121	48	210	46	668	265	128

	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th	20th	21st	22nd	STATE TOTAL
Social Summary	18	62	4	35	267	214	254	150	148	28	2986
Detention	0	11	0	4	1	4	214	236	48	1	4784
Preliminary	51	132	0	0	10	2	315	119	0	4	1220
Intake	23	12	0	3	49	5	247	395	271	2	2116
Transfer to Criminal Court	1	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	0	0	52
Custody	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	5	0	28
Other	4	4	0	1	50	23	0	31	6	5	880
Total Investigations	97	221	4	43	381	249	1044	931	478	40	12066

[a]The "inter-agency" category of juvenile investigations was eliminated this year, thereby reducing the total number of investigations. However, inter-agency investigations are still a part of a probation officer's supervision workload.

TABLE LVII.

RESTITUTION PAID TO VICTIMS
BY JUVENILE AND ADULT PROBATIONERS
FY 1980-81

District	Amount Disbursed
1	$ 287,679.91
Denver Adult	299,438.99
Denver Juvenile	42,802.93
3	12,178.38
4	273,298.37
5	66,035.65
6	10,301.19
7	113,573.75
8	114,766.20
9	60,930.42
10	60,659.79
11	21,257.53
12	65,925.68
13	46,211.79
14	73,817.81
15	18,893.06
16	16,741.87
17	162,375.39
18	229,183.98
19	140,354.81
20	74,067.05
21	122,908.92
22	9,228.30
State Total	$ 2,322,631.77

TABLE LVIII.

RESTITUTION PAID TO VICTIMS

BY

JUVENILE AND ADULT PROBATIONERS

FY 1975-76 - FY 1980-81



TABLE LIX.

COMPARISON BETWEEN PROBATION CASELOAD AND

RESTITUTION COLLECTED FROM PROBATIONERS

FY 1975-76 THROUGH FY 1980-81